Stock Exchanges

Our Class A common and Class B subordinate voting shares are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbols TCK.A and TCK.B, respectively.

Our Class B subordinate voting shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol TCK.

Dividends declared on Class A and B shares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount per share</th>
<th>Payment Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.45</td>
<td>July 2, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.45</td>
<td>January 2, 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These dividends are eligible for both the federal and provincial enhanced dividend tax credits.

Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class A common shares</th>
<th>9,353,470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>566,904,857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shareholder Relations

Karen L. Dunfee, Corporate Secretary

Annual Meeting

Our annual meeting of shareholders will be held at 11:00 a.m. on Wednesday, April 23, 2014, in the Waterfront Ballroom, Fairmont Waterfront Hotel, 900 Canada Place Way, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Transfer Agents

Inquiries regarding change of address, stock transfer, registered shareholdings, dividends or lost certificates should be directed to our Registrar and Transfer Agent:

CST Trust Company

1600 – 1066 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6E 3X1

CST Trust Company provides an Answerline Service for the convenience of shareholders:

Toll-free in Canada and the U.S. 1.800.387.0825
Outside Canada and the U.S. 1.416.682.3860
Email: inquiries@canstockta.com

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC

6201 15th Avenue
Brooklyn, New York
11219
1.800.937.5449 or 718.921.8124
Email: info@amstock.com
Website: www.amstock.com
TTY: 866.703.9077 or 718.921.8386

Auditors

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants
Suite 700
250 Howe Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6C 3S7

Annual Information Form

We prepare an Annual Information Form (AIF) that is filed with the securities commissions or similar bodies in all the provinces of Canada. Copies of our AIF and annual and quarterly reports are available on request or on our website at www.teck.com, on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.
On the Cover

The images on the cover of our annual report each provide a unique perspective on our four major business units: Copper, Steelmaking Coal, Zinc and Energy.

The copper image is a close-up photograph of a copper plate produced at our CESL facility. The steelmaking coal and zinc sulphide are photomicrographs taken by Teck employee Greg Davison, Senior Process Mineralogist at Applied Research and Technology in Trail, using polished thin sections from our Greenhills and Red Dog operations, magnified 50 times.

The final image is a view of the world at night, representing how people and communities are connected by the shared need for energy.
Our Business

Teck is a diversified resource company committed to responsible mining and mineral development with business units focused on copper, steelmaking coal, zinc and energy. Headquartered in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, we own or have an interest in 13 mines in Canada, the United States, Chile and Peru, as well as one large metallurgical complex and a wind power facility in Canada. We have expertise across a wide range of activities related to exploration, development, mining and minerals processing including smelting and refining, safety, environmental protection, materials stewardship, recycling and research.

Our corporate strategy is focused on building a broadly diversified resource company, growing our production at existing operations and developing new projects in stable jurisdictions. The pursuit of sustainability guides our approach to business, and we recognize that our success depends on our ability to establish safe workplaces for our people and collaborative relationships with communities.

Mineral reserve and resource estimates for our properties are disclosed in our most recent Annual Information Form, which is available on our website at www.teck.com, or on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.

Forward-Looking Statements
This annual report contains forward-looking statements. Please refer to the “Caution on Forward-Looking Information” on page 79.

All dollar amounts expressed throughout this report are in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.
2013 Highlights

Safety

• Achieved our safest year ever and our third record-setting year in a row for safety.
• Attained a 5.6% lower reportable injury frequency than 2012, and reduced our lost-time injury frequency by 26%.

Financial

• Revenues of $9.4 billion and gross profit before depreciation of $3.7 billion.
• Cash flow from operations of $2.9 billion.
• Profit attributable to shareholders of $961 million. Adjusted profit of $1.0 billion, or $1.74 per share.
• Cash balance of $2.8 billion at end of 2013.
• Declared dividends at an annualized rate of $0.90 per share.

Operating and Development

• Achieved record annual coal sales of 26.9 million tonnes as a result of increased global steel production.
• Attained new quarterly record production for copper at 105,000 tonnes in the fourth quarter.
• Achieved record throughput at Antamina, Carmen de Andacollo, Greenhills and Red Dog.
• Received the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Certificate for our Line Creek Phase 2 project, which will maintain production and extend the mine life by 19 years.
• Identified over $380 million of annual, ongoing potential cost savings at our existing operations through our cost reduction program, of which $360 million have been implemented.
• Announced planned construction of the Fort Hills oil sands project with partners Suncor Energy Inc. and Total E&P Canada Ltd.

Sustainability

• Named to the Dow Jones Sustainability World Index (DJSI) for the fourth consecutive year. Our DJSI score placed our sustainability performance in the top 10% of the world’s 2,500 largest public companies.
• Ranked as one of the Global 100 Most Sustainable Corporations by media and investment research company Corporate Knights in January 2014, the second consecutive year we have been included on the list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue ($ in billions)</th>
<th>Profit Attributable to Shareholders ($ in billions)</th>
<th>Cash Flow from Operations ($ in billions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$9.4</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$10.3</td>
<td>$1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$11.5</td>
<td>$2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$9.2</td>
<td>$1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$7.7</td>
<td>$1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Amounts prepared in accordance with IFRS except for 2009, which were prepared using Canadian GAAP.
Operations in four countries, focused on copper, steelmaking coal, zinc and energy
To the Shareholders

We live in interesting times, in the words of the perhaps apocryphal Chinese proverb. The future is, as always, not entirely clear. Change appears to be in the air.

We all need to make decisions in the face of uncertainty, although in investment decisions we may have different time horizons that influence our choices. The short-term investor is interested in what may occur in the next quarter or two. Those of us charged with building and operating great mining companies have to take a much longer view, given that the real value of M&A or new mine development decisions will be measured by future results over much longer periods. The ‘long-term institutional investor’ may fall somewhere in-between.

While our time horizons may differ, each of us is faced with some of the same near-term questions.

Is the ‘super cycle’ over? Was it in fact a typical cycle, soon to be reversed, or a sea change as globalization created new opportunities for billions of aspiring people in ‘emerging countries’? Does the recent pullback in natural resources markets represent the latest ‘new norm’ or is it just a temporary blip in a long-term growth trend? If the latter, how should we in the industry position ourselves for it?

We cannot speak for the wide variety of investors at large, but we can speak from our own experience as a mining company that has been successful, reasonably consistently, for many years.

We have built shareholder value through a number of periods of substantial change over four decades: the inflation of the Nixon-Carter-Trudeau era; the ensuing ‘new era of resource scarcity’ promulgated by The Club of Rome; the Great Recession that followed it, caused by high interest rates, which successfully licked the inflation binge; then the difficult years that became known as ‘20 years of declining commodity prices in real terms’; the wave the industry surfed in the 2000s as the ‘Chinese miracle’ became increasingly evident; and then the ensuing ‘Global Financial Crisis’ from which we are now emerging.

In each of these new eras, the future was never obvious, and the right decisions never particularly easy. Some mining companies prospered in spite of this. Some failed, and others simply depleted away. We are happy to be able to say that ours was one of those that prospered, although in the process none of us in the industry was impervious to cyclical ups and downs.

Teck’s record of creating shareholder value over that period, with double-digit compounded annual returns, including reinvested dividends, was equal to the best in the world for significant, established mining companies. How that was done could be interesting to some, and I may even try to write a book about it sometime.

However, as Wolfgang Münchau said recently: “History is the study of events that do not repeat themselves”.

Times change. It may well be more difficult now. It does take longer to permit a new mine. NGOs and other stakeholders are more active. Investors are more demanding of immediate results. “The good old days are no longer.” Maybe we can’t grow value to the same extent?
Then again, maybe we can.

There are a few facts we can state with some confidence. One is that there actually are 2.5 billion people in China and India, many still having aspirations for a better life. There are more in other emerging countries. Barring widespread terrible governance or some other such calamity, this suggests that demand for the products they need and that the resources industry can provide will continue to grow at a reasonable pace, notwithstanding periodic ups and downs.

Another, as we have noted before, is the innate ability of entrepreneurs in any sector to create oversupply. The natural response to increased demand and stronger prices is to do just that, often to excess. As we saw during the ‘20 years of declining commodity prices’, even small surpluses can depress prices for a prolonged period. The questions, as always, are to what extent, for which commodities, and for how long?

A third is that the world’s mining industry is more consolidated than ever before, and this arguably could lead to more rational decisions on supply. Certainly, in the past year, the most common words one hears in the industry are those of restraint in capital spending on new projects. Whether that restraint will withstand the pressures of a gradually improving world economy is unclear.

These are some of the things your Board and management have considered in our strategic planning, and it may be of interest to summarize very briefly some conclusions from our annual session in 2013.

We remain cognizant of these statements from Argenti Strategic Planning, a consultancy:

“For most companies there should be little difficulty agreeing that their governing corporate objective should be to generate a return on shareholders’ capital”, realizing at the same time that “an organization is constrained in the manner it discharges the obligations imposed upon it by society. It should also be constrained by its own sense of responsibility towards those likely to be affected by its activities.”

With the past not a perfect guide and the future always uncertain, what should be our strategic objective and how can we measure it?

First, we are committed to managing for the long-term growth and health of the company, while being conscious of the shorter-term metrics upon which some investors and analysts rely.

Given the usual uncertainties about which of the universe of possible ‘new eras’ the next 10 years will bring, we declined to set any hard and fast quantitative targets for where we want Teck to be in 2023. Rather, the challenge is for us at that future time to be able to look back and realize that we had once again been the best amongst the continuing world mining companies in building shareholder value over the period.

If it occurs by 2023 that times had been good for the industry at large, that best will be a high return on investment again, perhaps even higher than earlier; if times were not so good, it will be a lower number. A rising tide lifts all ships, and vice versa, but not all to the same extent. We can only work within the confines of the external climate that evolves, but we can aim to be the best in the industry at doing that.

Our tactics will naturally depend upon what the real world presents from time to time, but the broad plan is to accomplish this by continuing to build upon, expand and upgrade a base of high-quality, long-life mining assets, being responsive to the right opportunities in a diversity of commodities in which we have capabilities. It is to do this while at all times maintaining the financial strength to be able to weather downturns, as well as respond to opportunity.

It is a simple strategic objective and plan, and one to which others might easily aspire. Success will lie in its implementation and in how our entire management team responds to it. There will be only one or two winners, and our challenge is to be one of them. I believe we can.

On behalf of the Board,

Norman B. Keevil
Chairman
Vancouver, B.C., Canada
February 26, 2014
To the Shareholders

In 2013, against a backdrop of challenging market conditions and massive write-downs across the mining industry, Teck remained strong, resilient and true to our character, resourceful. In the context of a struggling industry, we achieved record sales for steelmaking coal, exceeded copper production targets, and realized significant cost savings across our operations, all while maintaining our solid financial position.

As we enter 2014, there are reasons for cautious optimism that the global economy is in recovery — the worst of the eurozone crisis seems behind us, several key sectors of the United States economy are strengthening, and the new leadership in China remains firmly committed to GDP growth. More importantly, we know the fundamentals that drive long-term demand for our products — increasing global urbanization and a growing middle class — remain unchanged. Collectively, these indicators give us reason to anticipate sustained future demand for our major products.

Last year was special for Teck as we marked our 100th anniversary. Over a century, Teck has grown from a single operation to become Canada’s largest diversified resource company and an industry leader in sustainable mining, with operations and activities around the world. Now, more than ever, we remain keenly focused on our strategy, building long-life assets in stable jurisdictions.

I am pleased to report that we achieved a new record in safety performance in 2013, making this our third consecutive record-setting year for safety performance. Total reportable injury frequency and lost-time injury frequency were at the lowest level ever. While these results are certainly encouraging, we know there is more work to be done to achieve our vision of everyone going home safe and healthy every day.

We implemented successful cost reduction programs at each of our sites, while at the same time meeting or exceeding production guidance for each of our major products. We achieved our second-highest copper production ever and set a new record for sales of steelmaking coal. To date, as a result of our cost reduction program, we have implemented annual savings of $360 million, in addition to $150 million in one-time cost savings and deferrals. This program lowered our unit operating costs across our business units in 2013.

In 2013, Teck and our partners, Suncor and Total, announced that we will proceed with construction of the Fort Hills oil sands project. With an expected life in excess of 50 years, Fort Hills is a natural fit with both our core skills of truck and shovel mining and our business strategy of developing long-life assets in stable jurisdictions. Fort Hills and our other earlier stage projects being progressed by our Energy business unit will create value and significant cash flow, and will further diversify our portfolio for decades to come.

While production and sales were strong, much of 2013 saw prices continuing to decline for our major products, which affected our profit. Gross profit, before depreciation and amortization, was $3.7 billion in 2013 compared with $4.5 billion in 2012. The 19% decrease compared to 2012 was primarily due to lower commodity prices, particularly for steelmaking coal and copper, partly offset by our record coal sales volumes and our cost reduction program. Annual revenues in 2013 were $9.4 billion, down 9% from the previous year’s revenues of $10.3 billion.

Despite difficult market conditions, Teck’s balance sheet remains strong, with cash on hand of $2.8 billion and only US$388 million of debt due in the next three years.
We recognize that mining equities, including Teck, have had a difficult year and that there is usually a clear correlation between commodity prices and mining company share prices. We can’t control commodity prices, but we can act on our commitment to providing value to our shareholders. In addition to investing for future growth, in 2013 we declared annual dividends of $0.90 per share, returning $521 million to shareholders. We also bought back $176 million of Class B shares during the year.

We recognize that our business success depends on our ability to build positive, constructive relationships with communities in the areas in which we operate and to demonstrate our commitment to protecting the environment.

In 2013, we continued to work toward achieving the short- and long-term goals in our Sustainability Strategy. Our progress towards these goals — which are focused on community, energy, water, biodiversity, materials stewardship and our people — is an important way we drive and measure improvement against our most material sustainability challenges and opportunities.

We were the only mining company and one of just 13 Canadian companies to be named to the Global 100 Most Sustainable Corporations List in January 2014. We were also pleased to be named to the Dow Jones Sustainability World Index for the fourth consecutive year in 2013.

Our focus in 2014 will continue to be on meeting our production and cost management goals, while at the same time advancing the development projects and permitting that are critical to our long-term success.

For 2014, we have established aggressive production and cost targets for each of our operations and we will continue our Operating Excellence program to sustain the $360 million in cost savings we implemented in 2013. We will advance key permitting initiatives, including extensions of our existing steelmaking coal operations, and will continue to take a measured, responsible approach to advancing our development projects, including Quintette and Quebrada Blanca Phase 2.

We will ensure Teck remains well positioned to identify and, if appropriate, act on external growth opportunities in commodities that would enhance or complement our current portfolio. We will maintain a strong balance sheet and access to a wide range of potential sources of capital.

This year will also highlight our continued focus on sustainability and our work towards achieving our 2015 sustainability goals. We know that achieving these objectives will require a skilled, healthy workforce and strong leadership. That is why we will continue to focus on strengthening our culture of safety, enhancing employee health and wellness, supporting leadership development, and developing a people strategy that efficiently and effectively engages employees in our business priorities.

For over 100 years, Teck has been defined by people who seized opportunities, who innovated, and who made the pursuit of excellence a part of everything they do. That tradition continues today and I want to thank all of our employees for their hard work in 2013.

I would also like to recognize several of our senior leaders who have retired: Ron Vance, Senior Vice President, Corporate Development; Roger Higgins, Senior Vice President, Copper; Michael Allan, Vice President, Engineering; and Bill Fleming, Vice President, Engineering, Coal Projects and Business Improvement.

We have also welcomed new members to the senior management team: Dale Andres was promoted to Senior Vice President, Copper; Andrew Golding joined as Senior Vice President, Corporate Development; Bob Kelly was promoted to Vice President, Health and Safety; and Mark Edwards was promoted to Vice President, Community and Government Relations. In addition, Ian Kilgour was appointed to the new role of Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer.

As we head into 2014, the commitment of our employees to operating in an efficient and sustainable manner will continue to put Teck in a strong position to grow our business, deliver value and provide the materials essential to our modern society.

Donald R. Lindsay
President and Chief Executive Officer
Vancouver, B.C., Canada
February 26, 2014
Copper

Teck is a significant producer of copper, with five operating mines and large development projects in Canada and South America

For thousands of years, copper has been an essential part of people’s lives. Today, copper is the material of choice for powering our modern world as a vital component in everything from power generation to hybrid vehicles to computers and smartphones.

Demand for copper is on the rise as countries around the world see their middle classes grow and their populations become increasingly urbanized. Wherever we are, copper is at work improving our quality of life by connecting families, communities and economies.
About 65% of copper use worldwide is for electrical applications. Copper’s superior conductivity makes it a critical part of the modern electronic devices that connect us. Computers, tablets, televisions and smartphones all depend on copper as a vital component in circuit boards and wiring.
As a major global producer of seaborne steelmaking coal with six operations in Western Canada, Teck is well positioned to help meet growing global demand.

We are North America’s largest producer of steelmaking coal — an essential ingredient in the production of the steel needed to build critical infrastructure such as transit, schools and hospitals, as well as the products that make our quality of life possible.

The United Nations forecasts that urban populations will grow by about two billion people over the next 30 years — especially in countries in the Asia-Pacific region. This wave of urbanization will require significant amounts of steel-intensive infrastructure, which is expected to create a sustained, long-term growth in the demand for steel and the steelmaking coal necessary to produce it.
Steel is required for everything from clean energy generation like wind or solar power to transportation alternatives like rapid transit, buses and hybrid vehicles. With about 0.7 tonnes of steelmaking coal required to produce 1 tonne of blast furnace steel, steelmaking coal is undoubtedly a critical part of a modern society.
As one of the world’s largest producers of zinc, Teck plays an important role in supplying zinc to meet the world’s infrastructure needs.

Zinc is one of the world’s most widely used base metals. For over a century, it has been used to protect steel against corrosion, improving its durability and extending its life; this remains its primary use today. Zinc is also important in producing brass and bronze, and in die-casting to produce thousands of consumer and industrial products.

Also, as an essential nutrient for humans and other living things, zinc saves lives. It can help to reduce illness and improve the health of children, particularly in developing nations where diets may be lacking in zinc. It can also be used in fertilizer to improve crop quality and quantity where soil is zinc deficient.
About 50% of the world’s agricultural soils are zinc deficient. Research in China has demonstrated that using zinc fertilizer can increase crop yields by up to 40%. Teck is working with China’s Ministry of Agriculture to increase the use of zinc-enhanced fertilizer.
Energy is essential to our lives as a source of light and heat, to power our technology and to fuel our transportation.

As populations around the globe — particularly in developing nations — grow and become increasingly urbanized, the demand for energy is increasing. The International Energy Agency predicts that world energy consumption will grow by one-third by 2035. Meeting this growing demand will require a continued focus on developing new and sustainable sources of energy.

Teck is building a new energy business unit by advancing our oil sands projects in the Athabasca region of northeastern Alberta, and we are looking for opportunities to develop renewable electricity, such as our partnership in the Wintering Hills Wind Power Facility in Alberta.
Teck is a founding member of Canada’s Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA), which is sharing research among companies to improve environmental performance in the oil sands. To date, COSIA member companies have shared 560 distinct technologies and innovations that cost over $900 million to develop.
We are focused on safety

2013 was our safest year ever and our third record-setting year in a row for safety. Total reportable injury frequency was 5.6% lower than in 2012, while lost-time injury frequency was reduced by 26%. At the same time, we know we must remain focused on continuing to build a culture of safety across Teck.
Safety is a core value at Teck. It is our first consideration for every job we do, and takes precedence over everything else. We believe it is possible to work without serious injuries and we have a vision of ensuring that everyone goes home safe and healthy every day. By learning from our experience, continually improving our systems and technology, and empowering each and every employee to be a truly courageous safety leader, we believe that this vision can be achieved.

Pictured above: Presley Hlushak, Electrolytic and Melting Plant Technologist, walks home after work with his two daughters, Jaden and Kyla, near our Trail Operations.
A Safety Milestone

Thanks to the hard work of employees and contractors across our sites, we achieved a new safety milestone in 2013 with our lowest total reportable injury frequency and the lowest lost-time injury frequency on record. This was our third year in a row of achieving record safety performance, which is a testament to each and every employee’s focus on safety — both for themselves and their colleagues.

While this progress represents an important achievement, it is also a reminder that we must not lose focus on reaching our vision of everyone going home safe and healthy every day. We cannot become complacent in our attitude towards safety, in our safety practices, or in our responsibility for our own safety and the safety of those around us.

Courageous Safety Leadership

First launched in 2009, Courageous Safety Leadership (CSL) is a values-based approach to safety. The goal of CSL is to empower every employee to be a safety leader and to take a central role in building a culture of safety throughout the company. To ensure that our focus on safety remains strong, in 2013 we rolled out the next phase of our CSL philosophy, called CSL Next Steps, at all of our sites.

In 2013, we also maintained our focus on identifying and learning from potentially serious incidents, referred to as High Potential Incidents (HPIs). We implemented a standardized approach to investigating the root causes behind HPIs at every site. We also began development of standardized safety requirements for activities identified as high risk such as working at heights, around energy, or on mobile equipment. This builds on our introduction of new requirements for blasting and confined space work in 2012.

Many of the tasks that our employees undertake in their daily work require a high degree of physical and mental focus. To further enhance safety, in 2014 we will develop new Fit for Work principles to help reduce exposure to the risk associated with factors such as fatigue, distraction or other causes.

Ultimately, we believe it is possible to work without serious injuries. By developing a culture of safety and by providing employees with the right tools, systems and support, we know we can realize our vision of everyone going home safe and healthy every day.
We are looking out for each other’s safety

Our Courageous Safety Leadership (CSL) philosophy is a values-based approach that challenges existing beliefs and attitudes about safety, and encourages every employee to look out not just for their own safety, but for the safety of those around them. It is central to our strategy of building a true culture of safety across our company and helping us achieve our goal of everyone going home safe and healthy every day.
We are building our team for the future

We have nearly 11,000 employees\(^{(1)}\) carrying out an enormous variety of roles and responsibilities at the operations that we own and manage. They are haul truck drivers, engineers, biologists, electricians, mechanics, geologists, accountants and more. We believe that our employees are among the best in the business and the foundation of our success. That is why we are focused on excellence in employee recruitment, training and development.

\(^{(1)}\) Figure excludes employees at operations where we hold an interest, but do not have direct management responsibility.
For over 100 years, our people have operated in some of the most unique and challenging environments in the world, working to provide the products essential to creating a better quality of life for families around the globe. At the same time, they have built thriving communities, created high-quality jobs and generated economic growth, all while working towards excellence and responsible development in our industry.
Attracting and Retaining the Best

Demographic pressures on the workforce are being felt across the mining industry and other sectors. At the same time, competition for skilled people is strong across our industry. Those factors make attraction, engagement and development of our workforce a priority for Teck.

We attract new employees through a wide range of recruitment programs, backed up by competitive compensation, benefits and excellent opportunities for career advancement. We are also looking to increase our recruitment of under-represented demographics in the workforce, such as women. For example, since August 2010, we have nearly doubled the number of female engineers and more than doubled the number of women in leadership positions at our sites.

We also recognize the importance of retaining our experienced workers. Those skilled people are essential to our operations and to ensuring that important knowledge is transferred to the next generation of Teck employees. Programs like our Excellence Awards, which encourage peers to recognize and honour each other’s achievements, along with scholarships for children of employees, and our service recognition program, are all helping to make sure our people know that their contributions are valued.

We have also recently implemented a comprehensive succession management process, which ensures that successors are identified for all leadership and critical positions, and that development plans are created and reviewed by senior management.

Developing for the Future

We work with our employees to ensure they are continually developing the skills and building the experience necessary to excel at work and advance in their careers with Teck. We also partner with institutions on apprenticeship programs to allow employees to gain trades experience and achieve their certification through working with Teck.

We actively identify our future leaders and work with them to develop their talent and reach their full potential. Our Emerging Leaders, Leading for the Future and Leading for Excellence training programs are designed to assist our employees in accelerating their development and ability as leaders within Teck.

Supporting Our People

Teck cares about the well-being of our employees, their families and the communities they live in. In 2013, we developed a global health and wellness strategy to increase awareness about health and wellness initiatives available across Teck and to help strengthen the physical, mental, financial and social health of our people.

Initiatives launched as part of this strategy in 2013 included Health Screening Clinics at six sites, in which a third of all site employees participated. In 2014, we will launch a new health and wellness employee services website that brings together all of the resources available to support employees and will continue to build on these services to support the continued well-being of our employees and their families.

Many Teck employees are active in giving back to their communities and we have launched a new employee giving program to support them. Through the Team Teck program, employees who donate to local charitable organizations can have their donations bolstered by an additional contribution from Teck.
We value our people

The nearly 11,000 people working at operations around the world are the foundation of our success. We are committed to providing the right tools, programs and opportunities to help them reach their full potential and achieve their career goals in a challenging and engaging work environment.
Our employees shape our approach to sustainability

Generations of Teck employees have lived and raised their families in the communities near our operations, and no one cares more about ensuring that we operate responsibly than they do. Their focus on doing the right thing has guided the development of our approach to sustainability.
With over 100 years of experience in responsibly developing mineral resources, working with communities and meeting environmental challenges, we have a long tradition of sustainability at Teck. From our earliest days, our people have pioneered innovative ways to reduce the impacts of mining and improve performance — many of these have become the industry standard. That tradition continues today as we innovate and form partnerships with the goal of solving challenges and improving our performance.
A Tradition of Sustainability

As far back as 1917, we were breaking new ground in sustainable mining through the development of differential froth flotation, a method of mineral processing still widely used today that dramatically improves the efficiency of mineral recovery.

In 1979, we installed groundbreaking water treatment technology still used to treat mine-affected water around the world. In 1982, our agreement with NANA Regional Corporation, Inc. (NANA), a Regional Alaska Native corporation owned by the Iñupiat people of Northwest Alaska, led to the creation of the Red Dog mine and set a new standard for cooperation between a mining company and Indigenous Peoples. And over 25 years ago, Trail Operations implemented Canada’s first provincial lead acid battery recycling program.

Over that same period, our land reclamation practices at our mines have received recognition from industry and governments as we have transformed former mining areas into landscapes that support thriving wildlife populations.

Today, we uphold that record of achievement by investing in leading-edge research, implementing environmental innovations, and building partnerships that are the foundation for our work in sustainability.

A Vision for the Future

In 2011, we formalized our approach to responsible resource development with the launch of our Sustainability Strategy, which set out a vision and goals that stretch out to 2030 for our six major areas of focus: Community, Our People, Water, Biodiversity, Energy, and Materials Stewardship.

In 2013, we advanced towards achieving both our short- and long-term goals in each of these focus areas. We track and report on our progress through our annual sustainability report. That work continues in 2014 as we progress towards meeting our 2015 short-term goals.

We were also recognized for our work in sustainability in 2013 through a number of awards and rankings. We were named to the Dow Jones Sustainability World Index for the fourth year in a row, placing our sustainability performance in the top 10% of the world’s 2,500 largest public companies. We were also named for the second year in a row to the Global 100 Most Sustainable Corporations List. A number of our sites and projects were also recognized, including our Elkview Operations, which was only the third site in history to receive the Mining Association of Canada’s Towards Sustainable Mining Leadership Award. Our work to reclaim the site of the former Pinchi Lake mine received the 2012 British Columbia Jake McDonald Mine Reclamation Award from the British Columbia (B.C.) government.

While these achievements indicate we are on the right track, we know there is more work to be done.
We are supporting alternative energy education

Teck’s Quebrada Blanca Operations has partnered with the Ministerial Secretariats of Education and Energy of the Tarapacá Region of Chile to develop an educational program on renewable energy for local public schools. Through the program, students acquire practical knowledge about solar power.

Pictured above: Camila Juantok Varela, physics teacher, instructs student Emir Sasmaya Castillo at Liceo de Pica school in Chile.
We are engaging with communities in the areas where we operate

Our goal is to collaborate with communities through ongoing dialogue and cooperation. For example, in British Columbia’s Elk Valley near our steelmaking coal operations, we have invited representatives to join a community advisory group to share information and provide us with their feedback.
Community

Building and maintaining strong community relationships is essential, which is why our work with communities is the foundation of our sustainability strategy. That work is focused around our vision of ensuring that the communities in the areas where we operate consider themselves better off as a result of their interactions with us.

We work closely with communities from the earliest stages of exploration through to operation, reclamation and closure. Through our community investment program, we aim to contribute 1% of our annual pre-tax earnings on a five-year rolling average basis. Our investments support education, health, environment and community development priorities, which are identified in partnership with local communities.

For example, in the community of Andacollo, near our Carmen de Andacollo copper operations in Chile, we have partnered with the municipality and local organizations on a unique initiative to provide solar ovens throughout the community. These ovens take advantage of the abundant sunshine to cook meals, while saving residents up to 60% on the use of scarce natural gas or firewood supplies.

At the other end of the globe, we have partnered with the NANA Development Corporation to support a learn-to-ski program in the communities in Northwest Alaska near our Red Dog Operations. This program is promoting active, healthy living while giving an estimated 1,500 youth their first opportunity to try cross-country skiing. This investment builds on other youth programs supported by Teck in partnership with NANA and local communities, such as the John Baker Youth Leaders Program at regional schools.

In 2013, we invested over $21 million in community organizations near our operations, nationally and globally.

Biodiversity

Mining is a temporary land use, which is why we work to minimize our disturbance footprint and impact on wildlife and landscapes through all phases of the mining life cycle, and fully reclaim areas after mining has concluded.

However, our goal for biodiversity is to go even further than just reclamation. We have an ambitious vision to create a net positive impact on biodiversity in the areas where we operate, through a combination of enhanced reclamation practices and other unique initiatives in support of regional biodiversity.

One example of how we are creating a net positive impact on biodiversity is our 2013 purchase of 7,150 hectares of private land in the East Kootenay region of B.C., near our five steelmaking coal operations. We invested $19 million to acquire this land for the purpose of conserving it for future generations. The land includes important habitat for numerous species, including grizzly bear, wolverine, badger, elk, lynx, mountain goat, bighorn sheep, westslope cutthroat trout, and bull trout. The area also holds significant cultural value for the Ktunaxa First Nation.

Other initiatives we are pursuing in support of biodiversity include partnering with organizations to support recovery of the Upper Columbia white sturgeon, working with the Vancouver Aquarium to restore populations of the endangered northern leopard frog in B.C. and working with governments to increase bighorn sheep populations in various parts of North America, including Nebraska, Nevada, Oregon, Idaho, South Dakota, Utah and Alberta. Looking ahead, we will continue to identify new opportunities to enhance our work in conservation and continue to develop partnerships that complement our reclamation and mitigation efforts to achieve our ultimate goal of increasing biodiversity in the areas where we operate.
We are supporting youth in the Arctic

Teck has partnered with the NANA Development Corporation and other organizations in support of NANANordic, a program that is giving approximately 1,500 youth in Northwest Alaska the opportunity to learn cross-country skiing with the help of expert coaches and volunteers.

Pictured above: Frankie Pillifant, Geologist at Red Dog Operations, coaches Eva Johnson as part of the NANANordic ski program sponsored by Teck.
We are investing in water management

We are building on our long history of innovation in water management by investing in water research related to our steelmaking coal operations. This work is being conducted in cooperation with universities and technical companies. Our program is focused on developing new solutions to water quality challenges, including better mine designs, enhanced reclamation and new water treatment technologies.
Water

Water is an essential resource for people, communities and the environment, and it is also an important component in the mining process. Collectively, these factors make water the most significant sustainability issue we face. That is why Teck is committed to responsibly balancing the social, economic, recreational and cultural benefits of water resources, and to ensuring that water quality and access is maintained in the areas where we operate.

We are currently working to address water quality issues near our steelmaking coal operations in the Elk Valley of B.C. The process of mining steelmaking coal generates large quantities of waste rock that contain small quantities of naturally occurring substances such as selenium, an element that is essential for human and animal health in small amounts. However, in high enough quantities, these substances can potentially affect aquatic health.

We are working collaboratively with communities, First Nations, governments in the United States and Canada, and other stakeholders to create an Elk Valley Water Quality Plan that will maintain the health of the watershed and ensure continued sustainable mining in the region. While we are working to develop the plan, we are implementing solutions, including the construction of our first full-scale water treatment facility in the Elk Valley, to remove selenium. This treatment facility is expected to begin operation in mid-2014.

Energy

Energy is essential for almost every aspect of our society. That holds true for our operations as well, which use energy in a variety of forms — diesel to fuel haul trucks, natural gas to power dryers, and electricity for a multitude of industrial processes. That reliance on energy is why we have made it our goal to improve energy efficiency and to support the increased use of non-carbon-emitting energy sources in order to make a positive contribution to society’s efficient use of energy.

In 2013, we continued to introduce new initiatives at our operations to conserve energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This has included installing variable-speed drive technology on fan motors, more efficient fan designs, using more energy-efficient lighting, and other steps. Collectively, these projects have reduced annual electricity consumption at our B.C. operations by 60 gigawatt hours (GWh) — enough power for 5,400 homes.

To reduce emissions and improve fuel efficiency, we also introduced vehicle anti-idling policies at our B.C. and Alberta mining operations, and installed lightweight truck boxes on haul trucks. Our anti-idling initiative is saving almost 5 million litres of diesel annually, as well as reducing emissions at our sites by the equivalent of an estimated 13,000 tonnes of carbon dioxide per year. The installation of lightweight truck boxes has resulted in diesel efficiency improvements equivalent to 1.2 million litres per year.

In 2014 we will continue to implement new steps to further improve efficient use of energy and reduce emissions across our operations and in our plans for new projects.
We are investing in renewable energy

Our first investment in wind power — the Wintering Hills Wind Power Facility near Drumheller, Alberta, in which we have a 30% interest — generated 85 GWh of power in 2013. That’s enough clean energy to power 7,500 homes.
Materials Stewardship

The materials we produce are an essential part of everyday life for people living in every part of the world. As more and more people strive to improve their quality of life, and as new supplies of metals, minerals and energy become more challenging to develop, getting the most out of those products becomes more critical.

Materials stewardship is about managing the impacts and benefits of materials across their life cycles, from production through to recycling, reuse and end of life. Our goal for materials stewardship is to deliver products and services that provide maximum value to society while minimizing impacts on people and the environment.

Our work to extract the maximum value from our products can include finding new and innovative uses. One example of this is our work to promote the use of zinc for health. Nearly one-third of the world’s population does not get enough zinc through their diet, and almost half a million children are at risk of dying due to zinc deficiency. We’ve established partnerships with organizations such as UNICEF to support programs that deliver life-saving zinc in the most at-risk nations. By mid-2014, an estimated 75 million people will have benefited from Teck’s Zinc & Health program. We’ve also partnered with the government of China to expand the use of zinc in fertilizer to improve crop yields in areas where soils are zinc deficient. These programs demonstrate our focus on how materials stewardship is helping to optimize our products to benefit the world.
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Our business is exploring for, acquiring, developing and producing natural resources. We are organized into business units focused on copper, steelmaking coal, zinc and energy. These are supported by our corporate business unit, which manages our corporate growth initiatives and provides administrative, technical, financial and other functions.

Through our interests in mining and processing operations in Canada, the United States (U.S.), Chile and Peru, we are the world’s second-largest exporter of seaborne high-quality steelmaking coal, an important producer of copper and one of the world’s largest zinc producers. We also produce lead, molybdenum, silver, and various specialty and other metals, chemicals and fertilizers. In addition, we own a 20% interest in the Fort Hills oil sands project, and interests in other significant assets in the Athabasca region of Alberta. We also actively explore for copper, zinc and gold.

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis of our results of operations is prepared as at February 26, 2014 and should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2013. Unless the context otherwise dictates, a reference to Teck, Teck Resources, the Company, us, we, or our refers to Teck Resources Limited and its subsidiaries including Teck Metals Ltd. and Teck Coal Partnership. All dollar amounts are in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise stated, and are based on our consolidated financial statements that are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). In addition, we use certain non-GAAP financial measures, which are identified throughout the Management’s Discussion and Analysis in this report. See “Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” on page 76 for an explanation of these financial measures and reconciliation to the most directly comparable financial measure under IFRS. Certain comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform to the presentation adopted for 2013.

This Management’s Discussion and Analysis contains certain forward-looking information and forward-looking statements. You should review the cautionary statement on forward-looking information under the heading “Caution on Forward-Looking Information” on page 79, which forms part of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

Additional information about us, including our most recent Annual Information Form, is available on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.
Business Unit Results

The table below shows our share of production of our major commodities for the last five years and estimated production for 2014.

Five-Year Production Record and Our Expected Share of Production in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>(000's)</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014(2) estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principal Products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained in concentrate</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>308</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Steelmaking coal</strong></td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>18,930</td>
<td>23,109</td>
<td>22,785</td>
<td>24,652</td>
<td>25,622</td>
<td>26,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained in concentrate</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained in concentrate</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined</td>
<td>tonnes</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum contained</td>
<td>in concentrate</td>
<td>7,798</td>
<td>8,557</td>
<td>10,983</td>
<td>12,692</td>
<td>8,322</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) We include 100% of the production and sales from our Highland Valley Copper, Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo mines in our production and sales volumes, even though we own 97.5%, 76.5% and 90%, respectively, of these operations, because we fully consolidate their results in our financial statements. We include 22.5% of production and sales from Antamina, representing our proportionate equity interest in Antamina.
(2) Production estimate for 2014 represents the mid-range of our production guidance.
Average commodity prices and exchange rates for the past three years, which are key drivers of our profit, are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>% chg</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>% chg</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>% chg</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>% chg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>US$</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (LME cash – $/pound)</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal (realized – $/tonne)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (LME cash – $/pound)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-11%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>+2%</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (LME PM fix – $/ounce)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-11%</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-11%</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum (Platts(1) – $/pound)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (LME cash – $/pound)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>+6%</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate (Bank of Canada)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$1 = CAD$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD$1 = US$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
(1) Published major supplier selling price in Platts Metals Week.

Our revenue and gross profit before depreciation and amortization by business unit are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>$2,853</td>
<td>$3,142</td>
<td>$3,108</td>
<td>$1,391</td>
<td>$1,601</td>
<td>$1,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>4,113</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>5,641</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>2,405</td>
<td>3,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>2,410</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>2,765</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$9,382</td>
<td>$10,343</td>
<td>$11,514</td>
<td>$3,659</td>
<td>$4,507</td>
<td>$5,788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Gross profit before depreciation and amortization is a non-GAAP financial measure. See “Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” section for further information.
(2) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.
In 2013, we produced 364,300 tonnes of copper from Highland Valley Copper in British Columbia (B.C.), our 22.5% interest in Antamina in Peru, Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo in Chile, and Duck Pond in Newfoundland. Copper production was above our 2013 guidance, with record production in the fourth quarter. We achieved a key milestone in 2013, with substantial mechanical completion of the mill optimization project at Highland Valley Copper. The completion of the optimization project is already providing benefits with higher mill throughput rates. Improved metal recoveries are expected once final commissioning and ramp-up activities are completed during the first half of 2014. After transitioning to open pit mining at our Duck Pond Operations in 2013, we have confirmed that closure will occur in early 2015 as the main orebody is depleted.

In 2014, we estimate copper production will be in the range of 320,000 to 340,000 tonnes, with similar production rates expected over the next few years. Production is expected to be lower than 2013, due mainly to lower production from Antamina as the mine enters a period of significantly lower grades consistent with the mine plan. Antamina is expected to gradually increase production after 2014 as grades improve, which, together with higher production from Highland Valley Copper, is expected to offset declines from the closure of Duck Pond and lower grades at Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo.

In 2013, our copper operations accounted for 30% of our revenue and 38% of our gross profit before depreciation and amortization.

Copper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenues</th>
<th></th>
<th>Gross Profit Before Depreciation and Amortization</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highland Valley Copper</td>
<td>$ 882</td>
<td>$ 1,012</td>
<td>$ 997</td>
<td>$ 408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antamina</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebrada Blanca</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>562</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen de Andacollo</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck Pond</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 2,853</td>
<td>$ 3,142</td>
<td>$ 3,108</td>
<td>$ 1,391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
(1) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.
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Operations

Highland Valley Copper

We have a 97.5% interest in Highland Valley Copper, located in south-central B.C. Gross profit before depreciation and amortization was $408 million in 2013, compared to $530 million in 2012 and $486 million in 2011. Gross profit decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower copper and molybdenum prices and reduced sales volumes of both copper and molybdenum. Highland Valley Copper’s 2013 copper production was 113,200 tonnes of copper in concentrate, slightly lower than last year, primarily due to lower mill throughput as a result of shutdowns associated with the mill optimization project. Molybdenum production was 39% lower than 2012 levels at 6.1 million pounds, due to lower ore grades and recoveries.

Ore is currently mined from the Valley, Lornex and Highmont pits. The Valley pit is the main source of feed to the mill for the next few years while we continue the pre-stripping program to extend the Lornex pit, which will be an important feed source for the remainder of the current mine life. In 2013, work continued on defining resources in the Bethlehem area, which was previously mined in the 1960s and 1970s. The Bethlehem deposits have the potential to further extend the mine life and supplement feed to the mill within the next few years. Additional drilling and engineering studies are planned in 2014.

The mill optimization project includes the construction of new flotation and pebble-crushing capacity adjacent to the existing circuits, which is designed to increase plant availability and increase copper recovery by 2%, molybdenum recovery by 3% and annual mill throughput by 10% over the remaining life of the mine. The new pebble crushing facility and grinding line upgrades were commissioned in the third quarter and are operating as designed. The flotation plant started commissioning activities in early 2014.

As a result of completion of the mill optimization project, Highland Valley Copper is expected to produce between 100,000 and 150,000 tonnes of copper per year, depending on ore grades and hardness, for an average of 125,000 tonnes per year, until 2027, the current expected mine life.

Highland Valley Copper’s production in 2014 is expected to be in the range of 110,000 to 120,000 tonnes of copper. Molybdenum production in 2014 is expected to be in the range of five to six million pounds contained in concentrate.

Antamina

We have a 22.5% share interest in Antamina, a copper-zinc mine in Peru. The other shareholders are BHP Billiton plc (33.75%), Glencore Xstrata plc (33.75%) and Mitsubishi Corporation (10%). In 2013, our share of gross profit before depreciation and amortization was $596 million, compared with $682 million in 2012 and $588 million in 2011. The decline in gross profit in 2013 was primarily due to lower copper prices and reduced molybdenum revenues.

Copper production in 2013 was 443,000 tonnes, similar to 2012, after achieving record production for the second half of the year. This was primarily due to record milling throughput rates, which are expected to continue in 2014. Zinc production increased by 19% to 260,400 tonnes in 2013, primarily due to higher grades. Molybdenum production totalled 10.0 million pounds, which was 17% lower than in 2012, due to lower grades.
Although mill throughput rates are expected to continue to increase as a result of optimization initiatives, production in 2014 is expected to be significantly lower than 2013 as a result of feeding lower grade ore, both from active mine phases and from stockpiles, consistent with the mine plan. Antamina is a skarn deposit and grades can vary significantly, depending on which area of the open pit is being mined. A gradual return to higher production is expected after 2014 as grades improve.

Our 22.5% share of Antamina’s 2014 production is expected to be in the range of 75,000 to 80,000 tonnes of copper, 40,000 to 45,000 tonnes of zinc and approximately 1 million pounds of molybdenum in concentrate.

**Quebrada Blanca**

Quebrada Blanca is located in northern Chile, 240 kilometres southeast of the city of Iquique. We own a 76.5% share interest of Quebrada Blanca; the other shareholders are Inversiones Mineras S.A. (13.5%) and Empresa Nacional de Minería (ENAMI) (10%). The operation mines ore from an open pit and leaches the ore to produce copper cathodes via a conventional solvent extraction and electrowinning (SX-EW) process. Gross profit before depreciation and amortization was $121 million in 2013, compared with $115 million in 2012 and $255 million in 2011. Despite lower copper prices and declining production, Quebrada Blanca’s gross profit remained similar to 2012, as the mine achieved significant operating cost reductions in 2013.

In 2013, Quebrada Blanca produced 56,200 tonnes of copper cathode, compared to 62,400 tonnes in 2012. Despite ongoing challenges associated with aging plant equipment and lower ore grades, the restructuring plan put in place for 2013 was successful in substantially lowering operating costs, which decreased by US$90 million in 2013 compared with 2012. A continued focus on cost reduction and further improvements to the processing facilities and water management infrastructure are planned for 2014.

Production of approximately 45,000 to 50,000 tonnes of copper cathode is expected in 2014, as grades are forecasted to continue to decline as the supergene deposit is gradually depleted.

Work progressed on updating the permits for the existing facilities for the supergene operation, with an anticipated mine life that has some cathode production extending into 2020. We expect to submit the Social and Environmental Impact Assessment (SEIA) for the supergene facilities to the regulatory authorities in the second quarter of 2014.

The SEIA for Quebrada Blanca Phase 2 was submitted to Chilean authorities in 2012. We subsequently voluntarily withdrew the SEIA. The resubmission of the SEIA will depend to some extent on the progress of updating permits for the existing facilities. Our current expectation is that the Phase 2 SEIA will not be resubmitted before the end of 2014.

Detailed design work on the Quebrada Blanca Phase 2 project continued in 2013, although at a slower pace as a result of the permitting issues. The level of future engineering activities and associated costs are under review, with a further slowdown in activities anticipated in 2014. Certain commitments have been made by Quebrada Blanca in connection with the development of Quebrada Blanca Phase 2, including with respect to certain long-lead equipment and power purchase contracts. Quebrada Blanca is evaluating ways to manage its exposure in connection with these commitments in light of the permitting delays discussed above.

**Carmen de Andacollo**

We have a 90% share interest in the Carmen de Andacollo mine in Chile, which is located 350 kilometres north of Santiago. The remaining 10% is owned by ENAMI. Gross profit before depreciation and amortization was $244 million in 2013, compared with $227 million in 2012, and $288 million in 2011. Gross profit was higher in 2013, due to higher copper sales and lower operating costs, partially offset by lower copper prices.

Carmen de Andacollo produced 76,800 tonnes of copper contained in concentrate in 2013, similar to 2012. Copper cathode production was 4,400 tonnes in 2013, compared with 4,000 tonnes in 2012. Gold production was 68,000 ounces compared with 57,600 ounces in 2012, with 75% of the gold produced for the account of Royal Gold Inc. pursuant to an agreement made in 2010.
Consistent with the mine plan, copper grades are expected to continue to decline in 2014 and in future years. Carmen de Andacollo’s production in 2014 is expected to be in the range of 65,000 to 75,000 tonnes of copper in concentrate and approximately 5,000 tonnes of copper cathode. Cathode production is currently planned until mid-2015, but further extensions could be possible, depending on economics and ore sources available.

Duck Pond

We own 100% of the Duck Pond underground copper-zinc mine located in central Newfoundland. Duck Pond’s gross profit before depreciation and amortization was $19 million in 2013, compared to $42 million in 2012 and $57 million in 2011. Gross profit declined in 2013, primarily due to lower copper prices.

Copper production in 2013 was 14,000 tonnes, similar to 2012. Zinc production was 12,700 tonnes compared with 19,500 tonnes of zinc production in 2012 as a result of significantly lower zinc grades.

Mining of the Boundary open pit began in 2013 and provides a supplemental feed source as underground reserves are depleted. An extension to the existing deposit at depth had been under review, but analysis of exploration results has shown that this deposit is not a viable option to extend the mine’s life. The current deposits being mined are expected to be exhausted in the first half of 2015, after which time the mine will be permanently closed. Closure and reclamation costs, which have been provided for, are estimated to be $10 million.

Duck Pond’s production in 2014 is expected to be approximately 14,000 to 16,000 tonnes of copper and approximately 15,000 tonnes of zinc.

Relincho

A feasibility study was completed in the fourth quarter of 2013 on our 100% owned Relincho project and concludes that developing a 173,000 tonnes-per-day concentrator and associated facilities would cost approximately US$4.5 billion (in August 2013 dollars, not including working capital or interest during construction) with an estimated mine life of 21 years, based on mineral reserves.

The total mineral reserve and mineral resource estimates for the project, as at December 31, 2013, are set out in the tables below. Mineral resources are reported separately from and do not include that portion of mineral resources that are classified as mineral reserves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Reserves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tonnes (000’s)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tonnes (000’s)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserves have been reported within designed life of mine pits created during the feasibility study assuming prices of US$2.80 per pound for copper and US$13.70 per pound for molybdenum with a mining cost of US$0.95 per tonne of material moved, a processing cost of US$9.13 per tonne milled, and with assumed metallurgical recoveries of 88.8% for copper and 47.2% for molybdenum.
Estimated key project operating parameters are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Years 2–6(^{(1)})</th>
<th>Life of Mine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strip ratio (tonnes waste/tonnes ore)</td>
<td>1.28:1</td>
<td>1.28:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes milled (nominal tonnes per day)</td>
<td>173,000</td>
<td>173,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper grade (%Cu)</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum grade (%Mo)</td>
<td>0.018%</td>
<td>0.017%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained copper production (tonnes per annum)</td>
<td>228,000</td>
<td>207,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained molybdenum production (tonnes per annum)</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td>5,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 cash costs (US$)(^{(2)})</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

(1) First five years at full production rate.

(2) C1 cash costs are presented after byproduct credit assuming US$10.00 per pound of molybdenum.

Estimates of mineral reserves and resources have been prepared under the general supervision of Rodrigo Marinho, P.Geo., who is an employee of Teck and a qualified person for the purposes of National Instrument 43-101.

Given current economic conditions, no significant activities are planned for Relincho in 2014. We will work on optimization studies that will focus on capital and operating cost reductions and explore other ways to enhance the value of the project.

**Other Copper Projects**

In 2013, a work program, including approximately 12,000 metres of infill and geotechnical drilling, was completed at the 50% owned Galore Creek project, located in northwest B.C. A small technical work program is planned for 2014 to incorporate the results of recent drilling activity and engineering studies, with no significant field activity planned.

In July 2013, Teck entered into a joint venture agreement to hold a 75% interest in the Schaft Creek project, a copper-gold exploration property situated in northwest B.C., approximately 26 kilometres northeast of the Galore Creek property. A small exploration and geotechnical drill program was completed in the third quarter of 2013. Some engineering studies will continue, but no drilling activities are currently planned for 2014.

Work on various engineering studies continued in 2013 at the 100% owned Mesaba copper-nickel project in northern Minnesota. While there are no drilling activities planned for 2014, engineering study work will continue to further our understanding of this longer term opportunity.

In 2013, our CESL hydrometallurgical bench and pilot plant facility, located in Richmond, B.C., focused on evaluating proprietary technology applications. A pilot was conducted on Carmen de Andacollo copper-gold concentrates that recovered commercially competitive quantities of copper and gold, and generated preliminary cost information to inform next-stage engineering studies. An additional pilot was completed on copper-nickel-PGM concentrates from the same district as our Mesaba project that demonstrated high recovery of platinum group and precious metals to a saleable concentrate. In 2014, CESL will continue commercialization efforts on our proprietary technologies as well as executing technology pilot campaigns in support of Teck’s core businesses.
Markets

Copper prices on the London Metal Exchange (LME) averaged US$3.32 per pound in 2013, down US$0.29 per pound from the 2012 average.

Demand for copper metal grew by 5.6% in 2013 to reach an estimated 20.7 million tonnes globally. Growth outside of China improved in several regions in 2013, with improved growth in North America more than offsetting continued weakness in Europe. Growth in real demand in China is estimated at approximately 12%.

In 2013, global copper mine production increased 5.5% to just under 17.7 million tonnes, which was well below most estimates of mine production growth for the year. Copper scrap availability remained tight for most of 2013, with Chinese imports down 10% over the previous year. We expect that scrap will again play an important part of the supply picture in 2014, as demand for scrap will again likely outstrip total scrap availability.

Copper stocks in the LME, Shanghai and COMEX warehouses decreased 13.8% or 81,500 tonnes during the year. At year-end, total reported global stocks, which include producer, consumer, merchant and terminal stocks, stood at an estimated 25 days of global consumption versus the 25-year average of 28 days of global consumption.

Production disruptions continued to affect the market in 2013, with estimates of close to 1.2 million tonnes of planned production lost during the year. Based on a history of mine production shortfalls, combined with the difficulties in bringing new mine production to market on time, we continue to expect unplanned mine production disruptions to increase through 2014.

With global copper metal demand projected by Wood Mackenzie, a commodity research consultancy, to increase by 5.1% in 2014, projected supply is expected to exceed demand slightly, moving the refined market into a small surplus. If mine production continues to disappoint in 2014 from current projections, the refined market could again slip into deficit in 2014.
In 2013, our coal operations sold a record 26.9 million tonnes of steelmaking coal, 1.9 million tonnes above the previous high in 2004 when we had an effective 43.4% interest in the Elk Valley Coal Partnership. We produced 25.6 million tonnes of steelmaking coal in 2013, the majority of which was shipped to the Asia-Pacific region, with lesser amounts going primarily to Europe and the Americas. Our proven and probable reserves of more than 1 billion tonnes of coal position us to continue to meet global demand for many years. In addition, our measured and indicated resources now total over 3.6 billion tonnes and our inferred resources are over 2 billion tonnes of raw coal.

Our current production capacity is approximately 28 million tonnes. However, to align production rates with anticipated demand and to effectively manage inventories, we plan to produce 26 to 27 million tonnes of coal in 2014.

With the potential restart of our Quintette project, we could reach an annualized production capacity of approximately 31 million tonnes of coal per year, subject to permitting and customer demand, which will dictate our decision on the timing of the Quintette restart. Our actual production will be matched to the demand from our customers.

In 2013, our coal business unit accounted for 44% of revenue and 47% of gross profit before depreciation and amortization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$ 4,113</td>
<td>$ 4,647</td>
<td>$ 5,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit before depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>$ 1,729</td>
<td>$ 2,405</td>
<td>$ 3,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production (000’s tonnes)</td>
<td>25,622</td>
<td>24,652</td>
<td>22,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales (000’s tonnes)</td>
<td>26,911</td>
<td>23,989</td>
<td>22,207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

(1) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.
Operations

Gross profit before depreciation and amortization declined in 2013, primarily due to significantly lower coal prices, partially offset by significantly higher sales volumes and lower operating costs resulting from our successful cost reduction program. The average realized selling price in 2013 decreased to US$149 per tonne, compared with US$193 per tonne in 2012 and US$257 per tonne in 2011.

Coal sales volumes of 26.9 million tonnes increased 12%, or 2.9 million tonnes, from 2012 and were 1.9 million tonnes higher than the previous record in 2004.

Our 2013 production of 25.6 million tonnes increased from 2012 and was at the high end of our 2013 guidance, which had been revised upwards mid-year. This was due largely to strong demand from contract customers, sales to new customers, good spot sales and consistently strong performance of our logistics chain, including the expanded vessel loading capacity at Neptune Bulk Terminals.

The cost of product sold in 2013, before transportation and depreciation charges, was $51 per tonne compared with $57 per tonne in 2012. The cost improvement is primarily due to productivity improvements in the areas of mining, maintenance and processing, in conjunction with further reductions in the consumption of key inputs, including repair parts, and minimizing the use of maintenance contractors and contract miners. These initiatives continue to expand as part of a coordinated cost reduction initiative across the company, which focuses on productivity improvement in mining, maintenance and processing operations, as well as the reduction of input consumption and overhead costs that can be maintained on an ongoing basis. In addition, we also had a number of one-time cost savings and deferrals that are not expected to occur on a regular basis.

Despite these cost reduction efforts, future costs per tonne could begin to increase over time from inflationary pressures on pricing for our key inputs, if we experience more difficult mining conditions, from changes in the Canadian dollar exchange rate and completion of any operating projects deferred from 2013. We expect our 2014 annual cost of product sold to be in the range of $55 to $60 per tonne based on our current production plans, reflecting longer haul distances and higher fuel prices.

In the third quarter of 2013 we received the necessary regulatory approvals for our Line Creek Phase 2 project, which represents the next phase of mining for our Line Creek Operations. The Line Creek Phase 2 project is expected to extend the life of the mine by approximately 19 years.

Capital spending in 2013 included $255 million for sustaining capital, $74 million for major enhancements to increase productive capacity and approximately $145 million for the Quintette project.

Elk Valley Water Management

In the course of mining we generate large quantities of rock that contains naturally occurring substances such as selenium. Water from both precipitation and runoff flows through rock piles and carries these substances into the local watershed. If present in high enough concentrations, those substances have the potential to adversely affect aquatic health. Although studies that we have commissioned have found no population-level effects on fish within the Elk Valley watershed to date, our research indicates that without additional measures, concentrations will increase over time to levels that may have ecological effects.

In February 2013, Teck submitted a draft valley-wide Selenium Management Action Plan to the B.C. provincial government, which proposed draft selenium concentration targets for the Elk Valley watershed and a water management strategy, including water diversion and treatment facilities in order to achieve those targets. While the provincial government did not adopt this plan, it led to an Area Based Management Plan Order in April 2013, which provided further clarity around the Province’s requirements for a water quality plan and a regulatory framework in which water quality can be managed on a regional basis.

The Order calls for Teck to develop an Elk Valley Water Quality Plan (Plan) to address the effects of selenium as well as other substances released by mining activities throughout the watershed, assess the associated economic and social costs and benefits of treatment, and establish the concentration targets and time frames required to stabilize and reduce levels of these substances over the short, medium and longterm. The Plan will be informed by scientific advice received from a Technical Advisory Committee chaired by the B.C. Ministry of Environment, and including
representatives from Teck, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Montana, the Ktunaxa First Nation, other provincial and federal agencies, and an independent scientist. The Plan is now being developed and is expected to be complete and submitted to the B.C. Ministry of Environment in the third quarter of 2014.

While the previous draft valley-wide Selenium Management Action Plan contemplated total capital spending over the next five years of up to $600 million on the installation of water diversion and treatment facilities, the estimated capital and operating costs of implementing the Elk Valley Water Quality Plan are not yet known. The final costs will depend on the water quality targets established in the Plan, as well as the technologies applied to manage selenium and other substances. The initial cost estimate in the previous valley-wide Selenium Management Action Plan assumed the application of biological treatment technology, which is currently being installed in the water treatment plant under construction at our Line Creek operation. This facility is progressing satisfactorily towards expected commissioning in the second quarter of 2014. We are actively investigating alternative technologies with the potential to reduce treatment costs while ensuring water quality objectives are met.

Our work on the Plan is expected to result in revised cost estimates in the third quarter of 2014. We expect that, in order to maintain water quality, water treatment will need to continue for an indefinite period after mining operations end. Our ongoing work could reveal technical issues or advances associated with potential treatment technologies, which could substantially increase or decrease both capital and operating costs associated with water quality management. Delays in obtaining approval of the Plan could result in consequential delays in permitting new mining areas, which would limit our ability to maintain or increase coal production in accordance with our long-term plans. If this were to occur, the potential shortfall in future production could be material.

**Quintette Project**

We received a Mines Act Permit Amendment for our Quintette project in northeast B.C. in June 2013. The feasibility study contemplates an average clean coal production rate of 3.5 million tonnes per year over the estimated 12-year life of Quintette. After reviewing market conditions in the second quarter of 2013, we delayed the final decision to place Quintette into production. We are continuing to proceed with detailed engineering work so that we will be in a position to proceed with the reopening if market conditions are favourable. Production could commence within 14 months of a construction decision.

**Rail**

Rail transportation from our five mines in southeast B.C. for seaborne export is provided under a 10-year agreement with Canadian Pacific Rail (CP Rail) that commenced in April 2011. This agreement provides us with access to increased rail capacity to support our ongoing coal expansion and includes a commitment by CP Rail to invest capital to increase its capacity to transport coal. CP Rail’s investment in its network resulted in added capacity throughout 2013, with siding and loadout extensions carried out. As a result, all of our westbound trains are now running at 152 cars in length, compared with an average of 126 cars prior to the investment, allowing more coal to be transported with fewer trains. The eastbound agreement with CP Rail covering shipments to our North American customers expired at the end of 2013. Discussions for contract extension are underway.

**Port**

A number of key initiatives have been undertaken to ensure that we have access to terminal loading capacity in excess of our planned shipments. Neptune Bulk Terminals, in which we have a 46% ownership interest, expanded its annual coal throughput capacity from 9 million tonnes to 12.5 million tonnes in the summer of 2013 with the addition of a new stacker reclaimer. The feasibility study for the next expansion phase, to increase capacity from 12.5 million tonnes to 18.5 million tonnes, was completed in the fourth quarter of 2012 and detailed engineering is being carried out in parallel with permitting.

In addition, Westshore Terminals (Westshore) completed the planned expansion of their capacity to 33 million tonnes per year. Our contract with Westshore provides us with 19 million tonnes of annual capacity from April 2014 through to March 2021.
**Sales**

A major focus of our coal marketing strategy has been to maintain and enhance relationships with our traditional customers while establishing new customers in markets where long-term growth in steel production and demand for seaborne steelmaking coal will support our expansion efforts over the long term. We are continuing to build our existing customer base and to establish important new customer relationships in China, India and other market areas to assist in achieving our growth objectives. In 2013, we exceeded previously established record sales into both China and India. In 2014, we are expecting China to continue to be our largest market; however, the ratio of Chinese sales to total sales will likely decline due to expected increases in sales to other market areas.

**Markets**

Sufficient supply of high-quality seaborne steelmaking coal and a large drop in pricing levels characterized 2013. Contributing factors leading to increased availability in steelmaking coal included mine expansions in Australia, the U.S. and Canada, production ramp-up in China and new supply areas, combined with recovery from severe weather disruptions and labour-related production shortfalls in Australia. Despite economic uncertainty across most market areas, steel production improved modestly in 2013, with China being the driving force behind the growth. Better availability of coal and increased utilization of lower grade coal by steelmakers to control costs in an environment of low steel prices caused the benchmark price for our highest quality products to decrease from US$165 per tonne earlier in the year to US$143 per tonne for the first quarter of 2014.

Spot price assessments declined in mid-2013 to levels not seen since the global financial crisis in 2009. Earlier in the year, a number of steelmakers moved to price a portion of their coal purchases on a spot basis to capitalize on the downward market trend. The lower pricing environment beginning in mid-2012 forced production cuts by a number of suppliers, with total reduction estimates ranging up to 40 million tonnes.

Coal prices continue to be weak. Expectations are that steel production will continue to increase in 2014 in a range similar to 2013, as a number of key market areas are showing signs of improving demand. More curtailment on the coal supply side would be required to bring the market into a healthier balance.

The graphs below show key metrics affecting steelmaking coal sales: spot price assessments and quarterly benchmark pricing, hot metal production (each tonne of hot metal, or pig iron, produced requires approximately 650–700 kilograms of steelmaking coal), and China’s steelmaking coal imports by source.
Zinc

We are one of the world’s largest producers of zinc, primarily from our Red Dog mine in Alaska and Antamina mine in northern Peru. Our metallurgical complex in Trail, B.C. is also one of the world’s largest integrated zinc and lead smelting and refining operations. In total, we produced 623,000 tonnes of zinc in concentrate while our Trail Operations produced 290,100 tonnes of refined zinc in 2013. In 2014, we estimate production of zinc in concentrate to be in the range of 555,000 to 585,000 tonnes and production of refined zinc to be in the range of 280,000 to 280,000 tonnes.

As an integrated metal producer, we also provide recycling solutions for metal-bearing scrap and residue. In 2013, we recycled 43,400 tonnes of material at our Trail Operations.

In 2013, our zinc business unit accounted for 26% of revenue and 15% of gross profit before depreciation and amortization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Revenues ($ in millions)</th>
<th>Gross Profit Before Depreciation and Amortization ($ in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red Dog</td>
<td>$ 874</td>
<td>$ 892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>1,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-segment sales</td>
<td>(228)</td>
<td>(214)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 2,410</td>
<td>$ 2,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Production (000's tonnes)</th>
<th>Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refined zinc Trail</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contained in concentrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Dog</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other business units</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>598</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:
(1) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.
Operations

Red Dog

Red Dog, located in northwest Alaska, is one of the world’s largest zinc mines. Red Dog’s gross profit before depreciation and amortization in 2013 was $418 million, compared with $440 million in 2012 and $547 million in 2011. The lower 2013 gross profit was mainly due to lower byproduct revenue from silver.

In 2013, zinc production at Red Dog was 551,300 tonnes compared to 529,100 tonnes in 2012. Annual mill throughput was a record high at 3.85 million tonnes in 2013 and, combined with improved recoveries, resulted in the higher zinc production. Lead production in 2013 was 96,700 tonnes compared to 95,400 in 2012, due to higher recoveries from treating less weathered ore, which was only partially offset by lower grades in the mill feed.

Red Dog’s location exposes the operation to severe weather and winter ice conditions, which can significantly affect production, sales volumes and operating costs. In addition, the mine’s bulk supply deliveries and all concentrate shipments occur during a short ocean shipping season that normally runs from early July to late October. This short shipping season means that Red Dog’s sales volumes are usually higher in the last six months of the year, resulting in significant variability in its quarterly profit, depending on metal prices.

In accordance with the operating agreement governing the Red Dog mine between Teck and NANA Regional Corporation, Inc. (NANA), the royalty we pay NANA increased in the fourth quarter of 2012 to 30% of net proceeds of production from the previous 25%. This royalty increases by 5% every fifth year to a maximum of 50%. The NANA royalty charge in 2013 was US$120 million, compared with US$137 million in 2012. NANA has advised us that it ultimately shares approximately 64% of the royalty, net of allowable costs, with other Regional Alaska Native corporations pursuant to section 7(i) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.

We expect 2014 production to be approximately 500,000 to 525,000 tonnes of zinc in concentrate and approximately 95,000 to 100,000 tonnes of lead in concentrate.

Trail Operations

Our Trail Operations in B.C. is one of the world’s largest fully integrated zinc and lead smelting and refining complexes. It also produces a variety of precious and specialty metals, chemicals and fertilizer products. Trail Operations has a two-thirds interest in the Waneta hydroelectric dam as well as ownership of the related transmission system. The Waneta Dam provides low-cost, clean, renewable power to the metallurgical operations.

Trail Operations contributed $112 million to gross profits before depreciation and amortization in 2013, compared with $118 million, before a one-time $59 million labour settlement charge, in 2012 and $256 million in 2011.

Refined zinc production totalled 290,100 tonnes in 2013, compared with 284,200 tonnes the previous year, as a result of improved operating efficiencies and improved throughput.

Refined lead production of 86,400 tonnes was similar to the 87,900 tonnes produced in 2012.

Silver production of 22.8 million ounces was near 2012 record levels, despite being affected by lower levels of silver in feed and reduced lead furnace availability due to a series of mechanical incidents in the last two months of the year that reduced online time.

Our recycling process treated 43,400 tonnes of material during the year, and we plan to treat about 39,900 tonnes in 2014. We continue to focus on treating lead acid batteries and cathode ray tube glass while expanding our processing of zinc alkaline batteries and fluorescent light bulbs as part of our efforts in recycling post-consumer waste.

Construction continued on the new acid plant, which will replace two existing plants and is expected to deliver enhanced operating reliability and flexibility as well as improved environmental performance. The new plant is expected to go into service in the second quarter of 2014.

In 2014, we expect to produce in the range of 280,000 to 290,000 tonnes of refined zinc, 82,000 to 87,000 tonnes of refined lead and 22 to 25 million ounces of silver.
Other Zinc Operations

Our Pend Oreille mine, located in Washington State, has been on care and maintenance since February 2009. A core group of employees is working to keep the site ready in the event of a future restart. All regulatory and environmental requirements are being met.

Markets

Zinc prices on the LME averaged US$0.87 per pound for the year, down US$0.01 per pound from the 2012 average.

In 2013, global zinc metal consumption was 13.3 million tonnes, 4% over 2012 levels. Metal premiums increased in North America, Asia and Europe as a result of good demand growth and constrained access to metal stocks. LME stocks fell by 287,275 tonnes, 24% below 2012 levels, to 933,475 tonnes. We estimate that total reported global stocks, which include producer, consumer, merchant and terminal stocks, fell by approximately 350,000 tonnes in 2013 and at year-end were 1.6 million tonnes. That represented an estimated 45 days of global consumption compared to the 25-year average of an estimated 40 days.

In 2013, global mine production grew by 2% to 13.1 million tonnes of contained zinc, while global refined production rose by 4% to 13.1 million tonnes. The global concentrate market recorded a modest surplus in 2013, representing less than 2% of global mine production.

In 2013, closures of large long-life mines started in Canada with the Brunswick and Perseverance mines closing. This will continue with the expected closure of the Century mine in Australia in 2015. Over the next few years, global mine production is expected to peak. This will put a cap on refined production while demand is expected to continue to grow, leading to a tightening global zinc market.

In 2014, we believe that the global zinc concentrate market will record a smaller surplus, representing less than 1% of global mine production. We expect that global refined production will grow at a similar rate as refined demand, leading to a balanced global zinc metal market.
Located in the Athabasca oil sands region of northeastern Alberta, our energy assets include a 20% interest in the Fort Hills oil sands project, a 100% interest in the Frontier oil sands project and a 50% interest in various other oil sands leases in the exploration phase, including the Lease 421 Area. Our proven and probable reserves totalled 608 million barrels and our contingent bitumen resources totalled 3.1 billion barrels at the end of 2013. These valuable long-term assets are located in a politically stable jurisdiction and are expected to be mined using conventional technologies that build on our core skills in large-scale truck and shovel operations.

We recognize that there are concerns over the potential environmental effects of developing oil sands projects. We are researching methods to improve extraction and processing to enhance the sustainability of our projects. We are proud to be one of the founding members of Canada’s Oil Sands Innovation Alliance (COSIA) and are encouraged by the progress of the industry towards improving environmental performance, reducing water consumption, improving tailings management, and increasing land reclamation and revegetation.

We have a 30% interest in the Wintering Hills Wind Power Facility and continue to examine opportunities to enhance our renewable energy portfolio.

The disclosure that follows includes references to reserves and contingent bitumen resource estimates. Further information on these estimates, the related risks and uncertainties, and contingencies that prevent the classification of resources as reserves is set out in our most recent Annual Information Form, which is available on our website at www.teck.com, or on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and under cover of Form 40-F on the EDGAR section of the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov. There is no certainty that it will be commercially viable to produce any portion of the contingent resources.

**Fort Hills Oil Sands Project**

The Fort Hills oil sands project is located approximately 90 kilometres north of Fort McMurray in northern Alberta. We hold a 20% interest in the Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership (Fort Hills Partnership), which owns the Fort Hills oil sands project, with 39.2% held by Total E&P Canada Ltd. (Total) and the remaining 40.8% held by Suncor Energy Inc. (Suncor). An affiliate of Suncor is the operator of the project.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, we along with our partners Suncor and Total announced that we are proceeding with the construction of the Fort Hills oil sands project. Based on Suncor’s project cost estimates, our portion of the fully escalated capital investment in Fort Hills from the date of project sanction is estimated at approximately $2.94 billion over four years (2014–2017), including remaining earn-in commitments of $240 million. The gross overall project costs for all partners since the project restart in 2011 are estimated by Suncor at a capital intensity of approximately $84,000 per daily flowing barrel of bitumen, which is within the range of similar recent oil sands projects.
At December 31, 2013, our 20% share of the proven and probable reserves at Fort Hills is 608 million barrels and our best estimate of our share of the incremental contingent bitumen resource is 26 million barrels.

The project is scheduled to produce first oil as early as the fourth quarter of 2017 and is expected to achieve 90% of its planned production capacity of 180,000 barrels per day (bpd) of bitumen within 12 months. Our share of production is expected to be 36,000 bpd (13 million barrels per year) of bitumen. Construction is on budget and progressing substantially in accordance with the project schedule.

**Frontier Project**

We hold a 100% interest in the Frontier project, which is located about 10 kilometres northwest of the Fort Hills oil sands project in northern Alberta. In November 2011, the Frontier project application was submitted to regulators. We have subsequently responded to two rounds of supplemental information requests and review of the application continues. The cumulative federal review period is estimated to be approximately two years, making 2015 the earliest an approval decision and receipt of required permits is expected.

In 2013, we did fieldwork to acquire additional geotechnical information to support the regulatory application and future engineering design efforts.

In the second quarter of 2013, we announced the exchange of certain oil sands leases relating to the Frontier project with Shell Canada Energy (Shell). The asset exchange significantly reduces the lease boundary interfaces between the Frontier project and Shell’s Pierre River Mine project, and is anticipated to benefit the economic recovery of oil sands for the parties’ respective projects. The leases we acquired in the exchange generally lie east of the Frontier project area and form a continuous series of leases with the Frontier leases.

In connection with the asset exchange, Teck and Shell have entered into a projects agreement with respect to future activities on the Frontier and Pierre River Mine projects. Under the projects agreement, among other matters, Teck and Shell will work to minimize certain impacts of their respective projects on the other’s project and on the environment, while maximizing the economic recovery of oil sands along common boundaries and improving the efficiency of both projects.

As of December 31, 2013, our best estimate of contingent bitumen resources for the Frontier project is approximately 3.05 billion barrels. The project has been designed for a total nominal production of approximately 277,000 bpd of bitumen.

**Lease 421 Area**

We hold a 50% interest in the Lease 421 Area, which is located east of the Fort Hills project in northern Alberta. Imperial Oil and ExxonMobil jointly own the remaining 50%. To date, a total of 89 core holes have been completed in the Lease 421 Area, including 30 core holes previously completed on Lease 899.

**Wintering Hills Wind Power Facility**

Wintering Hills is a wind power facility located near Drumheller, Alberta. We hold a 30% interest in Wintering Hills with Suncor, the project operator, holding the remaining 70%. In 2013, our share of power generation from Wintering Hills was 85 GWh, enough power to provide 55,000 tonnes of CO₂-equivalent credits. Our share of expected power generation in 2014 is 85 GWh, which is dependent on weather conditions.
Exploration

Throughout 2013, exploration efforts were carried out around the world by our nine regional offices. Expenditures of $86 million in 2013 were focused on copper, zinc and gold opportunities.

Exploration plays three critical roles at Teck: discovery of new orebodies through early stage exploration and acquisition; pursuit, evaluation and acquisition of development opportunities; and delivery of geoscience solutions and services to create value at our existing mines.

Our copper exploration is focused on porphyry copper deposits and, during 2013, we drilled several porphyry copper projects in Canada, Chile and Peru. Significant exploration work was focused in and around our existing operations and advanced projects in 2013. At our Highland Valley Copper Operations in Canada we completed 69.5 kilometres of drilling primarily focused on copper mineralization adjacent to the historical Bethlehem pits. In 2014, we plan to drill copper projects in Canada, Chile and Peru, and continue to explore around our existing operations. In addition to our 100% owned projects, we entered into option agreements on several drill stage projects in 2013 and we plan to test a number of these systems in 2014.

Zinc exploration remains focused on four areas: the Red Dog mine district in Alaska, central B.C., northeastern Australia, and Ireland. In Alaska, Australia and Canada, the target type is a large, high-grade, sediment-hosted deposit similar to major world-class deposits such as Red Dog in Alaska and Century or McArthur River in Australia. In 2013, we completed four holes at the Teena prospect on the Reward project in Australia, a joint venture with Rox Resources Limited. Drilling intersected 15- to 20-metre thicknesses of zinc and lead sulphide mineralization, grading 10% to 13% zinc plus lead over a strike length of 1 kilometre. Teck is earning up to 70% in the project. We also continued to drill on the Noatak project near our existing Red Dog mine, where we have been testing high-quality targets with promising results. Exploration programs will continue in these regions in 2014.

In addition to exploring for copper and zinc, we are exploring for, and looking to partner in, new gold opportunities. Our plan is to explore, find and advance gold resources through targeted exploration activity in select jurisdictions. Once an opportunity has been recognized, the strategy is to optimize that opportunity or asset through further definition drilling and engineering studies, then capture value through periodic divestitures. Our current exploration efforts and drill testing for gold are primarily focused in Turkey, Canada, Chile, Peru and Colombia. In 2013, we had more encouraging results from TV Tower in Turkey, which is a joint venture with Pilot Gold Inc., and have had success in drilling new gold systems in South America.
# Financial Overview

## Financial Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions, except per share data)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues and profit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$9,382</td>
<td>$10,343</td>
<td>$11,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit before depreciation and amortization(1)</td>
<td>$3,659</td>
<td>$4,507</td>
<td>$5,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA(1)</td>
<td>$3,153</td>
<td>$3,295</td>
<td>$5,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$1,068</td>
<td>$2,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flow</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow from operations</td>
<td>$2,878</td>
<td>$3,418</td>
<td>$3,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures</td>
<td>$1,858</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
<td>$1,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized production stripping costs(2)</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$732</td>
<td>$–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>$325</td>
<td>$758</td>
<td>$463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance sheet</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash balances</td>
<td>$2,772</td>
<td>$3,267</td>
<td>$4,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$36,183</td>
<td>$35,055</td>
<td>$34,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt, including current portion</td>
<td>$7,723</td>
<td>$7,195</td>
<td>$7,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Per share amounts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>$1.66</td>
<td>$1.82</td>
<td>$4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted</td>
<td>$1.66</td>
<td>$1.82</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends declared per share</td>
<td>$0.90</td>
<td>$0.85</td>
<td>$0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) Gross profit before depreciation and amortization and EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures. See “Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” section for further information.
(2) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.

Our revenue and profit depend on prices for the commodities we produce, sell and use in our production processes. Commodity prices are determined by the supply of and demand for those commodities, which are influenced by global economic conditions. We normally sell the products that we produce at prevailing market prices or, in the case
of steelmaking coal, at negotiated prices on term contracts or on a spot basis. Prices for these products, particularly for exchange-traded commodities, can fluctuate significantly and that volatility can have a material effect on our financial results.

We record our financial results using the Canadian dollar and, accordingly, our operating results and cash flows are affected by changes in the Canadian dollar exchange rate relative to the currencies of other countries where we operate and relative to the United States (U.S.) dollar. Exchange rate movements can have a significant effect on our results, as a significant portion of our operating costs are incurred in Canadian and other currencies, and most of our revenues and debt are denominated in U.S. dollars.

Profit attributable to shareholders for 2013 was $961 million, or $1.66 per share. This compares with $1.1 billion or $1.82 per share in 2012, which included $784 million of after-tax debt refinancing charges, and $2.7 billion, or $4.52 per share in 2011.

Our profit over the past three years has included items that we segregate for presentation to investors so that the ongoing profit of the company may be more clearly understood. These are described below and summarized in the table that follows.

There were no significant unusual items in 2013.

In 2012, our profit included $784 million of after-tax refinancing charges related to debt refinancing transactions completed during the year, $70 million of collective agreement charges, $39 million of gains on asset sales and $98 million of gains on various derivatives.

Our profit in 2011 included $146 million of after-tax gains on the sale of various assets that were undertaken as part of our debt reduction plan and $128 million of gains on various derivatives.

The table below shows the effect of these items on our profit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>$ 961</td>
<td>$ 1,068</td>
<td>$ 2,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add (deduct) the after-tax effect of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset sales and provisions</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>(146)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange (gains) losses</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative gains</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>(128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing items</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective agreement charges</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset impairments and other</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax items</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted profit</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,004</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,776</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,468</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjusted earnings per share</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1.74</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 3.03</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4.18</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.
(2) Adjusted profit and adjusted earnings per share are non-GAAP financial measures. See “Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures” section for further information.

Cash flow from operations in 2013 was $2.9 billion, compared with $3.4 billion in 2012 and $4.0 billion in 2011. The changes in cash flow from operations are due mainly to the volatility in commodity prices.

At December 31, 2013, our cash balance was $2.8 billion. Total debt was $7.7 billion and our net debt to net debt-plus-equity ratio was 21% compared with 18% at December 31, 2012 and 13% at the end of 2011.
Gross Profit

Our gross profit is made up of our revenues less the operating, depreciation and amortization expenses at our producing operations. Income and expenses from our business activities that do not produce commodities for sale are included in our other operating income and expenses or in our non-operating income and expenses.

Our principal commodities are copper, steelmaking coal and zinc, which accounted for 27%, 44% and 12% of revenues respectively in 2013. Silver and lead are significant byproducts of our zinc operations, accounting for 8% and 4% each, respectively, of our 2013 revenues. We also produce a number of other byproducts including molybdenum, various specialty metals, and chemicals and fertilizers, which in total accounted for 5% of our revenue in 2013.

Our revenues are affected by sales volumes, which are determined by our production levels and by demand for the commodities we produce, commodity prices and currency exchange rates.

Our revenues were $9.4 billion in 2013 compared with $10.3 billion in 2012 and the record $11.5 billion in 2011. The reduction in 2013 revenues was due mainly to lower commodity prices, partially offset by increased sales volumes of steelmaking coal and a stronger U.S. dollar. The reduction in 2012 over 2011 was due mainly to a 25% reduction in the average realized coal price, lower metal prices and lower zinc sales volumes. The reduction was partially offset by higher sales volumes of copper and coal, which increased by 13% and 8%, respectively, compared with 2011.

Our cost of sales includes all of the expenses required to produce our products, such as labour, energy, operating supplies, concentrates purchased for our Trail Operations’ refining and smelting operation, royalties, and marketing and distribution costs required to sell and transport our products to various delivery points. Our cost of sales also includes depreciation and amortization expense. Due to the geographic locations of many of our operations, we are highly dependent on third parties for the provision of rail, port and other distribution services. In certain circumstances, we negotiate prices for the provision of these services where we may not have viable alternatives to using specific providers, or may not have access to regulated rate-setting mechanisms. Contractual disputes, demurrage charges, rail and port capacity issues, availability of vessels and railcars, weather problems and other factors can have a material effect on our ability to transport materials from our suppliers and to our customers in accordance with schedules and contractual commitments.

The magnitude of our costs is dictated mainly by our production volumes, by the costs for labour, operating supplies and concentrate purchases, and by strip ratios, haul distances, ore grades, distribution costs, commodity prices, foreign exchange rates and costs related to non-routine maintenance projects. Production volumes mainly affect our variable operating and our distribution costs. In addition, production may also affect our sales volumes and, when combined with commodity prices, it affects profitability and, ultimately, our royalty expenses.

In the second half of 2012 we implemented a cost reduction program at all of our sites that to date has identified approximately $380 million of potential ongoing annual operating cost savings across the company, of which $360 million has been implemented. An additional $150 million of one-time cost savings and deferrals has also been identified and implemented. This cost reduction program has contributed to the lower operating costs incurred in 2013.
Our cost of sales was $6.9 billion in 2013 compared with $6.8 billion in 2012 and $6.6 billion in 2011. Cost of sales increased in 2013 from 2012, primarily due to substantially higher coal sales volumes and higher depreciation and amortization expense. Coal sales volumes increased by 2.9 million tonnes in 2013, which accounted for $275 million of the increase. Depreciation and amortization expense was $250 million higher than in 2012 as a result of increasing amortization of capitalized production stripping costs and partly due to the effect of the higher coal sales volumes. These items were partially offset by the efforts of our cost reduction program and a $90 million reduction in operating costs at Quebrada Blanca Operations, as a result of the restructuring plan we put in place in 2013. In addition, there was no labour agreement settlement charge in 2013, compared with $103 million incurred in 2012.

Comparing 2012 with 2011, the higher costs were due primarily to higher production levels in our copper and coal business units, which increased 16% and 8%, respectively. In addition, cost of sales for 2011 have not been restated for the new accounting rules related to production stripping costs and post-employment benefits (please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details). Higher transportation unit costs in our coal business unit accounted for approximately $110 million of the increase in cost of sales in 2012. This was due to higher ocean freight, port and rail costs as well as to a higher proportion of coal being sold inclusive of freight charges. Although we achieved lower unit costs at most of our copper operations, unit costs increased significantly at our Quebrada Blanca Operations and accounted for approximately $100 million of the increase in cost of sales in 2012. This was due to significant increases for labour costs, reflecting new terms of the collective agreement ratified early in 2012, and higher contractor and consumable costs. We also incurred one-time labour settlement costs at various operations totalling $103 million in 2012 compared with $84 million in 2011.

### Other Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>$129</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expense (income)</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance income</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(33)</td>
<td>(113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating expense (income)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>(197)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses of associates</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$783</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,617</strong></td>
<td><strong>$711</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

(1) 2011 results have not been restated for the new and amended accounting pronouncements. Please refer to Note 29 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 for more details.

We must continually replace our reserves as they are depleted in order to maintain production levels over the long term. We try to do this through our exploration and development programs and through acquisition of interests in new properties or in companies that own such properties. Exploration for minerals and oil is highly speculative and the projects involve many risks. The vast majority of exploration projects are unsuccessful and there are no assurances that current or future exploration programs will find deposits that are ultimately brought into production.

Our research and development expenditures are primarily focused on advancing our proprietary CESL hydrometallurgical technology, the development of internal and external growth opportunities, and the development and implementation of process and environmental technology improvements at operations.

Other operating income and expenses include items we consider to be related to the operation of our business, such as final pricing adjustments (which are further described in the next paragraph), share-based compensation, gains or losses on commodity derivatives, gains or losses on the sale of operating or exploration assets, and provisions for various costs at our closed properties. Significant items in 2013 include $62 million of negative pricing adjustments,
$33 million on asset write-downs, $27 million on environmental costs and a $22 million expense for share-based compensation. Significant items in 2012 included $24 million from gains on the sale of assets, $45 million of positive pricing adjustments and a $34 million expense for share-based compensation. 2011 included $130 million of gains on the sale of assets, $210 million of negative pricing adjustments, and a $21 million expense recovery for share-based compensation resulting from the decline in our share price.

Sales of metals in concentrate or copper cathodes are recognized in revenue on a provisional pricing basis when the rights, obligations, risks and benefits of ownership pass to the customer, which usually occurs upon shipment. However, final pricing is typically not determined until a subsequent date, often in the following quarter. Revenue in a quarter is based on prices at the date of sale. These pricing adjustments result in gains in a rising price environment and losses in a declining price environment and are recorded as other operating income or expense. The extent of the pricing adjustments also takes into account the actual price participation terms as provided in certain concentrate sales agreements. It should be noted that these effects arise on the sale of concentrates, as well as on the purchase of concentrates at our Trail Operations.

The table below outlines our outstanding receivable positions, which were provisionally valued at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outstanding at December 31, 2013</th>
<th>Outstanding at December 31, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(pounds in millions)</td>
<td>Pounds, US$/lb</td>
<td>Pounds, US$/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>135, 3.35</td>
<td>179, 3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>109, 0.94</td>
<td>143, 0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our finance expense includes interest expense on our debt, financing fees and amortization, and the interest components of our pension obligations and our decommissioning and restoration provisions, less any interest that we capitalize against the cost of our development projects. The reduction in our finance expense relates primarily to lower average interest rates as a result of several debt refinancing activities that were completed in 2012. In addition, net interest expense was reduced by $91 million in 2013 compared with 2012 as more interest was capitalized to our various development projects.

Non-operating income (expense) includes items that arise from financial and other matters and includes such items as foreign exchange, debt refinancing, realized gains or losses on marketable securities, and gains and losses on the revaluation of the call options on certain of our high-yield notes that were refinanced in 2012. In 2013, other non-operating income included $42 million of gains on the sale of various investments, $32 million of provisions taken against various marketable securities and $12 million of foreign exchange losses. In 2012, other non-operating income consisted primarily of $965 million of charges related to debt refinancing activities described in more detail below under the caption “Financing Activities”, $119 million of gains on the revaluation of the call options on our high-yield notes prior to their settlement and $29 million of gains on the sale of various investments. 2011 included $146 million of gains on the revaluation of the call options on our high-yield notes and $44 million of gains on the sale of various investments.

Until October 29, 2013, we accounted for our investment in the Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership using the equity method. As a result of changes made to the agreements governing the project at the time of project sanction, we are now accounting for our investment in Fort Hills by recording our share of the assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows. The majority of the activities on this project to date relate to capital expenditures, rather than expenditures that affect profit.

Income and resource taxes were $633 million, or 39% of pre-tax profit. This is higher than the Canadian statutory income tax rate of 25% due mainly to the effect of resource taxes and higher taxes in foreign jurisdictions, including withholding taxes. During 2013, the Canada Revenue Agency issued a proposed adjustment to our 2006 taxable income that would deny a deduction of $346 million claimed in relation to a premium paid on the redemption of our
Cominco exchangeable debentures. The proposed adjustment would reduce the loss carry forward pools available to us to reduce taxes payable in the future rather than have an immediate cash effect. In light of the uncertainty raised by the proposed adjustment and as the original amount was credited directly to equity, we recognized a provision of $124 million that has also been charged directly to equity.

At December 31, 2013, we had approximately $6.3 billion of various unused tax pools that shield us from cash income taxes, but not resource taxes, in Canada. We remain subject to cash taxes in foreign jurisdictions.

Subsequent to year-end, the Canada Revenue Agency proposed that most of the gains realized in 2008 on the sale of our 19.95% interest in Fording Canadian Coal Trust at the time of our acquisition of the Trust’s assets should be taxed as income rather than capital gains. Although management remains confident that the gains were capital gains, the Canada Revenue Agency may nonetheless raise assessments on this basis. There can be no assurance that such assessments would not be upheld in whole or in part, in which case up to approximately $900 million of additional income for tax purposes would reduce our existing tax pools, resulting in an additional deferred tax liability of $235 million. In addition, cash interest of up to approximately $50 million could be due.

Profit attributable to non-controlling interests relates to the ownership interests in our Highland Valley Copper, Quebrada Blanca, Carmen de Andacollo and Elkview mines that are held by third parties.

**Financial Position and Liquidity**

Our financial position and liquidity remains strong. Our outstanding debt was $7.7 billion at December 31, 2013 compared with $7.2 billion at the end of 2012 and $7.0 billion at the end of 2011. As substantially all of our debt is denominated in U.S. dollars, the increase is due primarily to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar that occurred in 2013.

Our debt positions and credit ratios are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-rate term notes</td>
<td>$7,124</td>
<td>$7,119</td>
<td>$6,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$137</td>
<td>$113</td>
<td>$219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total debt (US$ in millions)</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,261</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,232</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,917</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian $ equivalent at year-end exchange rate</td>
<td>7,723</td>
<td>7,195</td>
<td>7,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less cash balances</td>
<td>(2,772)</td>
<td>(3,267)</td>
<td>(4,405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net debt</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,951</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,928</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,630</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt to debt-plus-equity</td>
<td><strong>29%</strong></td>
<td><strong>29%</strong></td>
<td><strong>28%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt to net debt-plus-equity</td>
<td><strong>21%</strong></td>
<td><strong>18%</strong></td>
<td><strong>13%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average interest rate at year-end</td>
<td><strong>4.8%</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.8%</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.9%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cost of funds under our credit facilities depends in part on our credit ratings. Moody’s currently rates us at Baa2 with a stable outlook, Standard & Poor’s rates us at BBB with a stable outlook, Dominion Bond Rating Service rates us as BBB with a stable trend and Fitch Ratings rates us as BBB with a stable outlook. The costs under our credit facilities would change if certain of our credit ratings were to change.

Our primary sources of liquidity and capital resources are our cash and temporary investments, cash flow provided from operations, and funds available under our committed and uncommitted bank credit facilities, of which US$2 billion is currently available.
Operating Cash Flow

Cash flow from operations was $2.9 billion in 2013 compared with $3.4 billion in 2012 and $4.0 billion in 2011. The decreases in 2013 and 2012 compared to 2011 were due mainly to lower gross profits at our operations from falling commodity prices.

Investing Activities

Capital expenditures were $1.9 billion in 2013 and included $685 million on sustaining capital, $554 million on major enhancement projects and $619 million on new mine development. In addition, $744 million was spent on production stripping activities.

The largest components of sustaining capital expenditures were $255 million at our coal operations, primarily related to equipment replacement and the water quality plan to reduce selenium levels in mine discharge water; $123 million at Trail Operations, which included $79 million on construction of a new acid plant that is replacing an aging facility; $77 million at Highland Valley Copper; $59 million for our share of spending at Antamina and $54 million at Quebrada Blanca.

Major enhancement expenditures included $396 million for the mill optimization project at Highland Valley Copper and $74 million at Teck Coal to increase productive capacity to 28 million tonnes.

New mine development included $246 million for Quebrada Blanca’s Phase 2 hypogene project, $65 million for Relincho, $145 million for the potential restart of the Quintette project, $60 million for our share of spending on the Fort Hills project, and $64 million on the Frontier oil sands project.

Investments in 2013 included $244 million for our share of the Fort Hills project until October 29, 2013. Beginning October 30, 2013, we began accounting for our investment in Fort Hills as a joint operation, resulting in our share of the project costs being included as part of our capital expenditures. Investments in 2012 were $432 million for the acquisition of SilverBirch Energy Corporation, which gave us full ownership of the Frontier oil sands project, including the Equinox property, $197 million for interests in a number of publicly traded companies and $122 million for our share of the costs of our equity accounted investment in Fort Hills. Investments in 2011 totalled $463 million, of which $300 million was for publicly traded companies and $54 million was for our share of the Fort Hills costs.

Cash proceeds from the sale of assets and investments were $502 million in 2013, $51 million in 2012 and $289 million in 2011. In 2012, we sold various small non-core mining properties. 2011 included $128 million for the sale of our Carrapateena project in Australia, and $161 million from other assets and investments in publicly traded companies.

Financing Activities

We had no significant financings in 2013, but we did increase the amount of our U.S. dollar revolving line of credit to US$2 billion, all of which was undrawn at the end of the year.

In 2012, we issued US$2.75 billion of long-term notes and used the proceeds to redeem the remaining outstanding balance of the various high-yield notes issued in 2009 and repay the US$200 million notes due in September 2012. Significant financing activities during 2011 included US$2 billion of notes issued in July for net proceeds of $1.9 billion.

Class B subordinate voting shares repurchased for cancellation pursuant to normal course issuer bids included 6.1 million shares at a cost of $176 million in 2013, 3.9 million shares for $129 million in 2012 and 4.8 million shares for $171 million in 2011.

At December 31, 2013 the weighted average maturity of our consolidated indebtedness is approximately 15 years and the weighted average coupon rate is approximately 4.8%.
## Quarterly Earnings and Cash Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$2,376</td>
<td>$2,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per share</td>
<td>$0.40</td>
<td>$0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow from operations</td>
<td>$769</td>
<td>$656</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revenues from operations were $2.4 billion in the fourth quarter compared with $2.7 billion a year ago. Revenues from our copper business unit decreased by $133 million from a year ago as a result of softer copper prices, reduced byproduct revenues and lower sales volumes due to timing of shipments. Coal revenues decreased by $47 million compared with the fourth quarter of 2012, primarily due to weaker coal prices. Revenues from our zinc business unit decreased by $175 million compared with a year ago. The decrease was primarily due to substantially lower silver revenues from Trail Operations and a 22% decrease in Red Dog’s zinc sales volumes. The effect of the stronger U.S. dollar in the fourth quarter compared with a year ago partly offset the declines in commodity prices.

Gross profit before depreciation and amortization from our copper business unit in the fourth quarter decreased by $79 million compared with a year ago. The decrease was primarily a result of lower realized copper prices, reduced byproduct revenues and a 7% decrease in sales volumes due to the timing of shipments, which were behind production. These items were partly offset by lower operating costs, particularly at our Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo operations. Copper production in the fourth quarter was 105,000 tonnes, which was a new quarterly production record, compared with 103,000 tonnes a year ago and an increase of 15% from the third quarter of 2013. The higher production is a result of record mine and mill throughput at Antamina and from improved production from Quebrada Blanca.

In our coal unit, gross profit before depreciation and amortization in the fourth quarter declined by $83 million compared with last year due primarily to lower realized coal prices and increased unit operating costs. Production in the fourth quarter was 6.7 million tonnes, or 5% higher than in the same period in 2012. Sales volumes of 6.5 million tonnes in the fourth quarter reflect continued demand for our products from customers in all market areas and were slightly ahead of levels a year ago. For the year, sales volumes of 26.9 million tonnes represent a record for the coal operations, 2.9 million tonnes above 2012 and 1.9 million tonnes above the previous high in 2004 when we had an effective 43.4% interest in the Elk Valley Coal Partnership. Coal prices were 11% lower than a year ago and averaged US$142 per tonne in the fourth quarter. The lower coal price was partially offset by the effect of the stronger U.S. dollar. The cost of product sold in the fourth quarter, before transportation and depreciation charges, was $6 per tonne higher than the same period a year ago due to higher fuel costs, contracted labour rate increases and the completion of deferred maintenance activities. Operating costs also included a $3 per tonne charge related to the write-down of thermal coal inventories, which form a small portion of our production. Depreciation and amortization, before the inventory write-downs noted above, rose by $7 per tonne as a result of increasing amortization for capitalized stripping costs and new capital equipment investments made during the year, which are now being depreciated.

Gross profit before depreciation and amortization from our zinc business unit decreased by $56 million to $138 million in the fourth quarter compared with a year ago as a result of substantially lower sales volumes from Red Dog due to the timing of shipments. In 2012, poor weather in the third quarter caused delays to shipments originally scheduled for the third quarter, which were then deferred to the fourth quarter. Trail Operations’ refined zinc production increased 3% from a year ago due to better roaster throughput and improved operating efficiencies. Refined lead and silver production was lower in the fourth quarter compared with a year ago, as throughput from the lead furnace was affected by a series of production interruptions. Zinc and lead production from Red Dog in the fourth quarter was similar to a year ago.
Profit attributable to shareholders was $232 million, or $0.40 per share, in the fourth quarter compared with $200 million, or $0.34 per share, in the same period last year. Profit last year was affected by a $259 million after-tax charge related to the refinancing of our remaining high-yield notes. Cash flow from operations was $769 million in the fourth quarter, compared with $911 million a year ago, with the reduction due mainly to the lower gross profits driven by the effect of lower prices for our products.

Outlook

We continue to experience volatile markets for our products, and prices for some of our products have declined significantly. Commodity markets have historically been volatile, prices can change rapidly and customers can alter shipment plans. This can have a substantial effect on our business. Demand for our products, particularly coal, remains strong. However, new sources of supply have put downward pressure on coal prices. While we believe that the longer term fundamentals for steelmaking coal, copper and zinc are favourable, the recent weakness in some of these markets may well persist for some time. We are also significantly affected by foreign exchange rates. The Canadian dollar has fallen significantly against the U.S. dollar to date in 2014 and this has had a positive effect on the profitability of our Canadian operations. It will, to a lesser extent, put upward pressure on a portion of our operating costs and capital spending.

We have committed to spending an estimated $2.94 billion over the next four years on the development of the Fort Hills oil sands project, which will consume a significant portion of our cash resources. In the meantime, the Company’s financial position is strong. We are taking further steps to manage our capital spending profile and we continuously monitor all aspects of our cost reduction program, our capital spending and key markets as conditions evolve, in order to be in a position to take whatever actions may be appropriate.

Commodity Prices and 2014 Production

Commodity prices are a key driver of our profit. On the supply side, the depleting nature of ore reserves, difficulties in finding new orebodies, the permitting processes, the availability of skilled resources to develop projects, as well as infrastructure constraints, political risk and significant cost inflation may continue to have a moderating effect on the growth in future production for the industry as a whole. We are starting to see improvements in global economic conditions and believe that, over the longer term, the industrialization of emerging market economies will continue to be a major positive factor in the future demand for commodities. Therefore, we believe that the long-term price environment for the products that we produce and sell remains favourable.

Based on our expected 2014 mid-range production estimates and a Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate of $1.10, the sensitivity of our annual profit attributable to shareholders to the indicated changes in commodity prices, before pricing adjustments and the U.S. dollar exchange rate, is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2014 Mid-Range Production Estimates</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Effect of Change On Profit</th>
<th>Effect on EBITDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal (000’s tonnes)</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>US$1/tonne</td>
<td>$19 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (tonnes)</td>
<td>330,000</td>
<td>US$0.01/lb</td>
<td>$5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (tonnes)</td>
<td>855,000</td>
<td>US$0.01/lb</td>
<td>$7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US$ exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td>CAD$0.01</td>
<td>$40 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
(1) The effect on our profit attributable to shareholders of commodity price and exchange rate movements will vary from quarter to quarter depending on sales volumes.
(2) Zinc includes 285,000 tonnes of refined zinc and 570,000 tonnes of zinc contained in concentrates.
(3) All production estimates are subject to change based on market and operating conditions.
Foreign exchange translation gains and losses on our U.S. dollar denominated debt arising from exchange rate fluctuations are not expected to have a significant effect on our 2014 profit, as our U.S. dollar debt is expected to be designated as a hedge against our investments in U.S. dollar denominated foreign operations.

Copper and zinc prices, to date in 2014, are trading similar to 2013 average prices. Coal prices continue to be weak. The fluctuations in the Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate can have a significant effect on our profit and financial position. The Canadian dollar, to date in 2014, has averaged approximately $1.10 against the U.S. dollar compared with $1.03 on average for 2013.

Our copper production for 2014 is expected to be in the range of 320,000 to 340,000 tonnes compared with 364,300 tonnes produced in 2013. The lower expected production is a result of lower production from Quebrada Blanca, with less dump leach production, and from Antamina as the mine enters a period of significantly lower grades consistent with the mine plan. Antamina is expected to gradually increase production after 2014 as grades improve, which, together with higher production from Highland Valley Copper, is expected to offset declines from the closure of Duck Pond and lower grades at Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo. We expect our copper cash unit costs in 2014, before and after byproduct credits, to be in the range of US$2.00 to US$2.20 per pound and US$1.70 and US$1.90 per pound, respectively.

Our coal production in 2014 is expected to be in the range of 26 to 27 million tonnes. Our actual production will depend primarily on customer demand for deliveries of steelmaking coal. Depending on market conditions and the sales outlook, we may adjust our production plans. We have the flexibility to devote additional resources to pre-stripping in these circumstances. Our current production capacity is approximately 28 million tonnes, but our actual production will ultimately depend on the demand from our customers. Our plan for 2014 includes a significant increase in the average waste haul distance for our coal operation, which will have a significant effect on unit costs, and this trend will continue in 2015.

Our zinc in concentrate production in 2014 is expected to be in the range of 555,000 to 585,000 tonnes compared with 623,000 tonnes in 2013, as Red Dog’s production is expected to decrease by approximately 25,000 tonnes, and our share of Antamina is expected to decline by 15,000 tonnes. Refined zinc production from our Trail metallurgical complex in 2014 is expected to be in the range of 280,000 to 290,000 tonnes compared with 290,000 tonnes in 2013.

Capital Expenditures

Our forecast of approved capital expenditures, including $700 million of capitalized production stripping costs, for 2014 is expected to be approximately $2.6 billion and is summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>Sustaining</th>
<th>Major Enhancement</th>
<th>New Mine Development</th>
<th>Capitalized Stripping</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>$ 235</td>
<td>$ 100</td>
<td>$ 120</td>
<td>$ 255</td>
<td>$ 710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 620</td>
<td>$ 170</td>
<td>$ 1,115</td>
<td>$ 700</td>
<td>$ 2,605</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These amounts do not include expenditures on new mine development projects that have not received Board approval and that would be incurred, should those projects proceed.

Major enhancement projects in 2014 include: $70 million for the completion of Highland Valley Copper’s mill optimization project and $70 million at our coal operations. New mine development in 2014 includes $100 million for Quebrada Blanca Phase 2, $25 million for Quintette, $850 million for Fort Hills and $105 million for our Frontier oil sands project.
The amount and timing of actual capital expenditures is also dependent upon being able to secure permits, equipment, supplies, materials and labour on a timely basis and at expected costs to enable the projects to be completed as currently anticipated. We may change capital spending plans for the balance of this year and next, depending on commodity markets, our financial position, results of feasibility studies and other factors.

**Foreign Exchange and Debt Revaluation**

The sales of our products are denominated in U.S. dollars, while a significant portion of our expenses are incurred in local currencies, particularly the Canadian dollar. Foreign exchange fluctuations can have a significant effect on our operating margins, unless such fluctuations are offset by related changes to commodity prices.

Our U.S. dollar denominated debt is subject to revaluation based on changes in the Canadian/U.S. dollar exchange rate. As at December 31, 2013, all of our U.S. dollar denominated debt is designated as a hedge against our U.S. dollar denominated foreign operations. As a result, any foreign exchange gains or losses arising on our designated U.S. dollar debt are recorded in other comprehensive income.

**Other Information**

**British Columbia Carbon Tax**

The Province of British Columbia (B.C.) introduced a carbon tax on virtually all fossil fuels in 2008. The tax is imposed on various fossil fuels used in B.C. and, on July 1, 2012, the final increase planned by government saw the tax rate reach $30 per tonne of CO₂-emission equivalent. For 2013, our seven B.C.-based operations paid $47 million in provincial carbon tax, primarily from our use of coal, diesel fuel and natural gas.

**Financial Instruments and Derivatives**

We hold a number of financial instruments and derivatives, which are recorded on our balance sheet at fair value with gains and losses in each period included in other comprehensive income and profit for the period as appropriate. The most significant of these instruments are marketable securities, foreign exchange forward sales contracts, metal-related forward contracts, and settlements receivable and payable. Some of our gains and losses on metal-related financial instruments are affected by smelter price participation and are taken into account in determining royalties and other expenses. All are subject to varying rates of taxation depending on their nature and jurisdiction.

**Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments**

In preparing consolidated financial statements, management makes estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Based on historical experience and other factors, including expectations of future events, management makes judgments that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from our estimates. Critical accounting estimates are those that could affect the consolidated financial statements materially and involve a significant level of judgment by management. These estimates impact all of our reportable segments. Management’s critical accounting estimates apply to the assessment of the impairment of assets, including goodwill, joint arrangements, the estimated recoverable reserves and resources, and the valuation of other assets and liabilities such as decommissioning and restoration provisions and accounting for income taxes.
Impairment Testing

Impairment testing is based on discounted cash flow models prepared by internal experts with assistance from third-party advisors when required. Significant assumptions used include commodity prices, mineral reserves and resources, operating costs, capital expenditures, discount rates, foreign exchange rates and inflation rates. The assumptions used are based on management’s best estimates of what an independent market participant would consider appropriate and are reviewed by senior management. Significant judgment is applied and changes in these assumptions may alter the results of impairment testing, the amount of the impairment charges recorded in the statement of income and the resulting carrying values of assets.

We allocate goodwill arising from business combinations to the cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units acquired that is expected to receive the benefits from the business combination. When performing annual goodwill impairment tests, we are required to determine the recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated. The recoverable amount of each cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units is determined as the higher of its fair value less costs of disposal and its value in use.

We have performed our annual goodwill impairment testing and did not identify any impairment losses. The recoverable amounts for our goodwill impairment testing were determined based on a fair value less costs of disposal basis. The fair value less costs of disposal was calculated using a discounted cash flow methodology taking account of assumptions that would be made by market participants. Significant changes to long-term commodity prices and discount rates would be required before an impairment would be indicated for our coal operations or Quebrada Blanca. However, the results of our annual goodwill impairment test and an updated analysis as at December 31, 2013 resulted in the recoverable amount of Carmen de Andacollo exceeding its carrying value by approximately $100 million. The recoverable amount is most sensitive to the long-term commodity price and discount rate assumptions. The recoverable amount is based on a long-term copper price of US$3.50 per pound and a nominal post-tax discount rate of 8.5%. A 3% decrease in the long-term price assumption would result in the recoverable amount equalling the carrying value. An increase of 65 basis points in the nominal post-tax discount rate would also result in the recoverable amount equalling the carrying value.

Joint Arrangements

We are a party to a number of arrangements in which we do not have control. Judgment is required in determining whether joint control over these arrangements exists and if so, which parties have joint control and whether each arrangement is a joint venture or joint operation. In assessing whether we have joint control, we analyze the activities of each arrangement and determine which activities most significantly affect the returns of the arrangement. These activities are determined to be the relevant activities of the arrangement. If unanimous consent is required over the decisions about the relevant activities, the parties whose consent is required would have joint control over the arrangement. The judgments around which activities are considered the relevant activities of the arrangement are subject to analysis by each of the parties to the arrangement and may be interpreted differently. When performing this assessment, we consider decisions about activities such as managing the asset during its life, acquisition, expansion and dispositions of assets, financing, operating and capital decisions. We may also consider activities including the approval of budgets, appointment of key management personnel, representation on the Board of Directors, and other items.

If we conclude that we have joint control over the arrangement, an assessment of whether the arrangement is a joint venture or joint operation is required. This assessment is based on whether we have rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the arrangement or whether we have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. In making this determination, we review the legal form of the arrangement, the terms of the contractual arrangement, and other facts and circumstances. In a situation where the legal form and the terms of the contractual arrangement do not give us rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities, an assessment of other facts and circumstances is required, including whether the activities of the arrangement are primarily designed for the provision of output to the parties and whether the parties are substantially the only source of cash flows contributing to the arrangement. In such circumstances
we may conclude that the application of other facts and circumstances to conclude that a joint arrangement is a joint
operation is appropriate. This conclusion requires judgment and is specific to each arrangement. We have applied the
use of other facts and circumstances to conclude that Antamina and Fort Hills are joint operations for the purposes of
our consolidated financial statements. The other facts and circumstances considered for both of these arrangements
are the provisions for output to the parties of the joint arrangements. For both Antamina and Fort Hills, we will take our
share of the output from the assets directly over the life of the arrangement. We have concluded that this, combined
with other factors, gives us direct rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of these arrangements,
proportionate to our ownership interests.

Estimated Recoverable Reserves and Resources
Mineral reserve and resource estimates are based on various assumptions relating to operating matters. These include
production costs, mining and processing recoveries, cut-off values or grades, and assumptions relating to long-term
commodity prices. In some cases, mineral reserve and resource estimates are further affected by exchange rates,
inflation rates and capital cost assumptions. Cost estimates are based on feasibility study estimates or operating history.
Estimates are prepared by appropriately qualified persons, but will be affected by forecasted commodity prices, inflation
rates, exchange rates, capital and production costs and recoveries, amongst other factors.

Estimated recoverable reserves and resources are used to determine the depreciation of property, plant and equipment
at operating mine sites, in accounting for deferred stripping costs, in performing impairment testing and for forecasting
the timing of the payment of decommissioning and restoration costs. Therefore, changes in the assumptions used could
change the carrying value of assets, depreciation and impairment charges recorded in the income statement, and the
carrying value of the decommissioning and restoration provision.

Decommissioning and Restoration Provisions
The decommissioning and restoration provisions (DRP) are based on future cost estimates using information available
at the balance sheet date. These estimates are based on engineering studies of the work that is required by environmental
laws or public statements by management that result in an obligation.

The DRP is adjusted at each reporting period for changes to factors such as the expected amount of cash flows required to
discharge the liability, the timing of such cash flows, and the discount rate. The DRP requires other significant estimates
and assumptions such as requirements of the relevant legal and regulatory framework, and the timing, extent and costs
of required decommissioning and restoration activities. To the extent the actual costs differ from these estimates,
adjustments will be recorded and the income statement may be affected.

Current and Deferred Income Taxes
The determination of our tax expense for the year and its deferred tax liabilities and assets involves significant
management estimate and judgment involving a number of assumptions. In determining these amounts, management
interprets tax legislation in a variety of jurisdictions and makes estimates of the expected timing of the reversal of
deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax liabilities arising from temporary differences on investments in subsidiaries
and joint ventures are recognized unless the reversal of the temporary differences is not expected to occur in the
foreseeable future and can be controlled. Management also makes estimates of future taxable profits, which affects
the extent to which potential future tax benefits may be used. Assumptions about the generation of future taxable
profits and repatriation of retained earnings depend on management’s estimates of future production and sales
volumes, commodity prices, reserves, operating costs, decommissioning and restoration costs, capital expenditures,
dividends and other capital management transactions. We are subject to assessments by various taxation authorities
who may interpret tax legislation differently. These differences may affect the final amount or timing of the payment
of taxes. We provide for these differences, where known, based on management’s best estimate of the probable
outcome of these matters.
Adoption of New Accounting Standards and Accounting Developments

Effective January 1, 2013, we have adopted several new and amended IFRS pronouncements and have applied them to our results in accordance with the transitional provisions outlined in the respective standards. The new pronouncements are described below and can be categorized as those that result in changes to our results, those that affect our financial statement presentation or disclosures, and those that affect accounting policies, but had no effect on our results as they were consistent with our existing policies. More detail on these changes and any effects on our results and financial statement disclosures are provided in Note 29 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Pronouncements Affecting Our Financial Results

The adoption of the following new and amended IFRS pronouncements has resulted in adjustments to how we determine our current and previously reported figures, as described below.

Production Stripping Costs

IFRIC 20, Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine (IFRIC 20) provides guidance on how to account for overburden waste stripping costs in the production phase of a surface mine. Stripping activities that improve access to ore are considered to be an addition or enhancement of an existing asset and accordingly, these costs should be capitalized.

The adoption of IFRIC 20 resulted in an increase in the capitalization of stripping activity assets on our consolidated balance sheet and an increase in our profit and earnings per share, as costs that would have been expensed under our previous accounting policy are now being capitalized and amortized on a units-of-production basis in subsequent periods. Inventories were adjusted to capitalize production stripping costs and the depreciation of stripping activity assets is included in the cost of inventories.

The adoption of IFRIC 20 has significantly increased our capitalization of production stripping costs compared to our previous accounting policy. During 2013, we capitalized $801 million of stripping activity assets, which included $57 million of depreciation charges, primarily at our coal operations, and recorded depreciation expense on stripping activity assets of $313 million. We have described the effect of IFRIC 20 on our profit and business unit results throughout this Management’s Discussion and Analysis.

This new pronouncement has no effect on our cash balance, our total cash flow (other than the presentation in our cash flow statement) or how we operate our mines.

Post-Employment Benefits

IAS 19, Employee Benefits (IAS 19), has amendments related to defined benefit pension plans that eliminate the option to defer certain actuarial gains and losses on the balance sheet. The amendments also require any remeasurement gains or losses, including actuarial gains and losses, to be recognized immediately and presented in other comprehensive income, eliminating the option to recognize and present these amounts through the income statement. The amendments to IAS 19 also require one discount rate be applied to the net defined benefit asset or liability for the purposes of determining the interest element of the defined benefit cost and require the recognition of unvested past service cost awards into profit immediately. There is also a requirement to change the presentation of finance income and finance expense to present both as a net finance expense (income) amount in the consolidated financial statements. Additional disclosures are required to present more information about the characteristics, amounts recognized and risks related to defined benefit plans.

The adoption of the amendments to IAS 19 did not have a significant effect on our results for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the amended disclosure requirements for IAS 19 are incorporated in our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013.
Pronouncements Affecting Our Financial Statement Presentation or Disclosures

The adoption of the following new and amended IFRS pronouncements has resulted in enhanced financial statement disclosures in our interim or annual consolidated financial statements or a change in financial statement presentation. These pronouncements did not affect our financial results and the additional disclosures required by the new pronouncements have been included in our annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Disclosures of Interests in Other Entities

IFRS 12, Disclosures of Interests in Other Entities (IFRS 12) outlines the disclosure requirements for interests in subsidiaries and other entities to enable users to evaluate the risks associated with interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on an entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The adoption of IFRS 12 did not significantly affect our disclosures for the year ended December 31, 2013. We have included a non-controlling interests’ financial statement note (Note 22) in our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 to comply with the requirements of IFRS 12.

Fair Value Measurement

IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement (IFRS 13) defines fair value, sets out a single IFRS framework for measuring fair value and outlines disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

IFRS 13 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, so assumptions that market participants would use should be applied in measuring fair value.

The disclosure requirements of IFRS 13 include disclosures about fair values of financial assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis and non-financial assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis. It also requires disclosures about assumptions used in calculating fair value less cost of disposal for our annual goodwill impairment test.

Other Comprehensive Income

The amendments to IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements (IAS 1) require companies preparing financial statements under IFRS to group items within other comprehensive income based on whether or not the item may be subsequently reclassified to profit or loss.

We have amended our consolidated statement of comprehensive income for all periods presented in our audited consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 to reflect the presentation changes required under the amended IAS 1. Since these changes are reclassifications within our statement of comprehensive income, there is no net impact on our comprehensive income.

Other Pronouncements

The adoption of the following new IFRS pronouncements did not affect our financial results or disclosures, as no changes were required to our existing accounting treatment. These pronouncements did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements for the current period or prior periods.

Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements (IFRS 10) establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities. This IFRS defines the principle of control and establishes control as the basis for determining which entities are consolidated in an entity’s financial statements.
Joint Arrangements

If an arrangement results in joint control, IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements (IFRS 11) classifies joint arrangements as either joint operations or joint ventures, depending on the rights and obligations of the parties involved. We also adopted IAS 28(R), Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures (IAS 28), which included amendments to address the accounting for joint ventures.

We completed an analysis of all of our joint arrangements to determine the appropriate accounting treatment under IFRS 11 and to assess whether there would be any changes required from our previous accounting policy of proportionate consolidation for our jointly controlled entities. Based on our analysis, we have concluded that all of our joint arrangements are joint operations under IFRS 11 and, accordingly, we have recorded the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in relation to our interest in each joint operation. The adoption of IFRS 11 did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements for the current period or prior periods presented for comparative purposes.

The change in accounting treatment of our interest in Fort Hills from an investment in an associate to a joint operation during the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to changes made to the Limited Partnership Agreement, the Unanimous Shareholder Agreement and the Fort Hills Oil Sands Project Operating Services contract on October 30, 2013, and not the adoption of IFRS 11 on January 1, 2013.

Accounting Developments

New IFRS pronouncements that have been issued but are not yet effective are listed below. We plan to apply the new standard or interpretation in the annual period for which it is first required.

Financial Instruments

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments (IFRS 9), addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and financial liabilities. IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009 and amended in October 2010. It replaces the parts of IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (IAS 39) that relate to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into two measurement categories: those measured at fair value and those measured at amortized cost. The determination is made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity’s business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the IAS 39 requirements. The main change is that, in cases where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities, the part of a fair value change due to an entity’s own credit risk is recorded in other comprehensive income rather than the income statement, unless this creates an accounting mismatch.

In November 2013, the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued the hedge accounting section of IFRS 9, as well as two amendments to the previously issued IFRS 9. The new hedge accounting model will align hedge accounting with risk management activities undertaken by an entity. Components of both financial and non-financial items will now be eligible for hedge accounting as long as the risk component can be identified and measured. The new hedge accounting model includes eligibility criteria that must be met but these criteria are based on an economic assessment of the strength of the hedging relationship, which can be determined using internal risk management data. New disclosure requirements relating to hedge accounting will be required and are meant to simplify existing disclosures. The IASB currently has a separate project on macro hedging activities and until that project is completed, entities are permitted to continue to apply IAS 39 for all of their hedge accounting.

In November 2013, the IASB amended IFRS 9 to remove the mandatory effective date of January 1, 2015 due to continued work being performed on other phases of the IFRS 9 project relating to impairment. The IASB will be announcing a mandatory effective date for IFRS 9 in the future when IFRS 9 is closer to completion. Entities are still permitted to early adopt all or part of IFRS 9.

We are currently assessing the effect of this standard and related amendments on our consolidated financial statements.
**Levies**

In May 2013, the IASB issued IFRIC 21, Levies (IFRIC 21), which provides guidance on the accounting for a liability to pay a levy, if that liability is within the scope of IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets. Levies are imposed by governments in accordance with legislation and do not include income taxes, which are accounted for under IAS 12, Income Taxes or fines or other penalties imposed for breaches of legislation. The interpretation was issued to address diversity in practice around when the liability to pay a levy is recognized.

IFRIC 21 defines an obligating event as the activity that triggers the payment of the levy, as identified by the legislation. A liability to pay a levy is recognized at the date of the obligating event, which may be at a point in time or over a period of time. The fact that an entity is economically compelled to continue to operate in the future, or prepares its financial statements on a going concern basis, does not create an obligation to pay a levy that will arise in a future period as a result of continuing to operate.

IFRIC 21 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and is required to be applied retrospectively. We are currently assessing the effect of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

**Other Information**

**Control Activities**

For all changes to policies and procedures that have been identified relating to the above items, the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures has been assessed and any changes have been implemented. We have identified and implemented accounting process changes relating to the above new policies and these changes were not significant. We applied our existing control framework to the implementation of the new standards. All accounting policy changes and financial effects are subject to review by senior management and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

**Business Activities, Key Performance Measures and Information Systems**

We do not expect the preceding changes to significantly affect our financial covenants or key ratios. The implementation of the above IFRS pronouncements is not expected to significantly impact our information systems.

**Outstanding Share Data**

As at February 26, 2014, there were 566,908,607 Class B subordinate voting shares and 9,353,470 Class A common shares outstanding. In addition, there were 11,147,606 employee stock options outstanding, with exercise prices ranging between $4.15 and $58.80 per share. More information on these instruments and the terms of their conversion are set out in the equity note to our 2013 consolidated financial statements.
### Contractual and Other Obligations

($ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obligation</th>
<th>Less than 1 Year</th>
<th>1–3 Years</th>
<th>4–5 Years</th>
<th>More than 5 Years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal and interest payments on debt</td>
<td>$ 369</td>
<td>$ 1,060</td>
<td>$ 1,826</td>
<td>$ 10,980</td>
<td>$ 14,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating leases</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital leases</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road and port lease at Red Dog (1)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum purchase obligations (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate, equipment and supply purchases</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping and distribution</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension funding (3)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-pension post-retirement benefits (4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provision (5)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>1,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other long-term liabilities (6)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions to the Fort Hills oil sands project (7)</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,385</td>
<td>$ 1,612</td>
<td>$ 2,081</td>
<td>$ 12,477</td>
<td>$ 18,555</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. We lease road and port facilities from the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority through which we ship metal concentrates produced at the Red Dog mine. Minimum lease payments are US$18 million per annum and are subject to deferral and abatement for force majeure events.
2. The majority of our minimum purchase obligations are subject to continuing operations and force majeure provisions.
3. As at December 31, 2013, the company had a net pension asset of $39 million based on actuarial estimates prepared on a going concern basis. The amount of minimum funding for 2014 in respect of defined benefit pension plans is $64 million. The timing and amount of additional funding after 2014 is dependent upon future returns on plan assets, discount rates and other actuarial assumptions.
4. We had a discounted, actuarially determined liability of $407 million in respect of other non-pension post-retirement benefits as at December 31, 2013. Amounts shown are estimated expenditures in the indicated years.
5. We accrue environmental and reclamation obligations over the life of our mining operations and amounts shown are estimated expenditures in the indicated years at fair value, assuming credit-adjusted risk-free discount rates between 6.00% and 7.13% and an inflation factor of 2.00%.
6. Other long-term liabilities include amounts for post-closure, environmental costs and other items.
7. In November 2005, we acquired a 15% interest in the Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership, which is developing the Fort Hills oil sands project in Alberta, Canada. In September 2007, we acquired an additional 5% interest, bringing our total interest to 20%. To earn our additional 5% interest, we are required to contribute 27.5% of $5 billion of project expenditures after project spending reaches $2.5 billion. We are presently funding at this level. Thereafter, we are responsible for our 20% share of development costs.
Disclosure Controls and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Disclosure Controls and Procedures
Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted by us under U.S. and Canadian securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in those rules and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted by us under U.S. and Canadian securities legislation is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to permit timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in the rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and the Canadian Securities Administrators, as at December 31, 2013. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as at December 31, 2013.

Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Management has used the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) 1992 framework to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that as at December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

The effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, who have expressed their opinion in their report included with our annual consolidated financial statements.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures
Our financial results are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This document refers to gross profit before depreciation and amortization, EBITDA, adjusted profit, adjusted earnings per share, net debt, debt to debt-plus-equity ratio, and the net debt to net debt-plus-equity ratio, which are not measures recognized under IFRS in Canada and do not have a standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS or Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in the U.S.

Gross profit before depreciation and amortization is gross profit with depreciation and amortization added back. EBITDA is profit attributable to shareholders before net finance expense, income and resource taxes, and depreciation and amortization. For adjusted profit, we adjust profit attributable to shareholders as reported to remove the effect of certain types of transactions that in our judgment are not indicative of our normal operating activities or do not necessarily occur on a regular basis. Adjusted earnings per share is calculated by dividing the adjusted profit amount by our reported weighted average shares outstanding. We believe that disclosing these measures assists readers in understanding the cash-generating potential of our business in order to provide liquidity to fund working capital needs, service outstanding debt, fund future capital expenditures and investment opportunities, and pay dividends.

Net debt is total debt less cash and cash equivalents. The debt to debt-plus-equity ratio takes total debt as reported and divides that by the sum of total debt plus total equity. The net debt to net debt-plus-equity ratio takes net debt and divides that by the sum of net debt plus total equity. These measures are disclosed as we believe they provide readers with information that allows them to assess our potential financing needs and capacity and the ability to meet our short- and long-term financial obligations.
The measures described above do not have standardized meanings under IFRS, may differ from those used by different issuers, and may not be comparable to such measures as reported by others. These measures have been derived from our financial statements and applied on a consistent basis as appropriate. We disclose these measures because we believe they assist readers in understanding the results of our operations and financial position and are meant to provide further information about our financial results to investors. These measures should not be considered in isolation or used in substitute for other measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS.

Reconciliation of Gross Profit Before Depreciation and Amortization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>$2,426</td>
<td>$3,524</td>
<td>$4,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit before depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>$3,659</td>
<td>$4,507</td>
<td>$5,788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported as:

Copper

- Highland Valley Copper: $408, $530, $486
- Antamina: $596, 682, 588
- Quebrada Blanca: 121, 115, 255
- Carmen de Andacollo: 244, 227, 288
- Duck Pond: 19, 42, 57
- Other: 3, 5, –

Coal

- 1,729, 2,405, 3,306

Zinc

- Red Dog: 418, 440, 547
- Trail: 112, 59, 256
- Other: 4, (2), 2
- Inter-segment sales: –, –, 3

Energy

- 5, 4, –

Gross profit before depreciation and amortization

- 3,659, 4,507, 5,788

Reconciliation of Profit Attributable to Shareholders to EBITDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$1,068</td>
<td>$2,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense net of finance income</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income and resource taxes</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>1,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>$3,153</td>
<td>$3,295</td>
<td>$5,459</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Quarterly Reconciliation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit attributable to shareholders</td>
<td>$ 232</td>
<td>$ 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense net of finance income</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income and resource taxes</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>$ 766</td>
<td>$ 815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Caution on Forward-Looking Information

This document contains certain forward-looking information and forward-looking statements as defined in applicable securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements, principally under the heading “Outlook”, but also elsewhere in this document, include estimates, forecasts and statements as to management’s expectations with respect to, among other things, mine life, anticipated production at our business units and individual operations, costs at our business units and individual operations, our expectation that we will meet our production guidance, sales volume and selling prices for our products (including settlement of coal contracts with customers), plans and expectations for our development projects, including resulting increases in forecast operating costs and costs of product sold, expected production, expected progress, costs and outcomes of our various projects and investments, including, but not limited to, those described in the discussions of our operations, the sensitivity of our profit to changes in commodity prices and exchange rates, the effect of potential production disruptions, the effect of currency exchange rates, our expectations for the general market for our commodities, future trends for the company, results of our mill optimization program at Highland Valley Copper, timing of the closing of our Duck Pond mine, projected increase in Antamina production post-2014, the economic and production estimates for our Relincho project, our ability to continue our cost reduction initiative and the results of the initiative, timing of the re-filing of the SEIA for the Quebrada Blanca Phase 2 project, our estimates of the effect of measures to manage selenium discharges and costs related thereto, the anticipated production levels from the Quintette project, the mine life, capital costs and timing of first oil from the Fort Hills project, timing expectations regarding the Frontier review and permitting process, anticipated capital expenditures and demand and market outlook for commodities. These forward-looking statements involve numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties and actual results may vary materially.

These statements are based on a number of assumptions, including, but not limited to, assumptions regarding general business and economic conditions, the supply and demand for, deliveries of, and the level and volatility of prices of zinc, copper and coal and other primary metals and minerals as well as oil, and related products, the timing of the receipt of regulatory and governmental approvals for our development projects and other operations, our costs of production and production and productivity levels, as well as those of our competitors, power prices, continuing availability of water and power resources for our operations, market competition, the accuracy of our reserve estimates (including with respect to size, grade and recoverability) and the geological, operational and price assumptions on which these are based, conditions in financial markets, the future financial performance of the company, our ability to attract and retain skilled staff, our ability to procure equipment and operating supplies, positive results from the studies on our expansion projects, our coal and other product inventories, our ability to secure adequate transportation for our products, our ability to obtain permits for our operations and expansions, and our ongoing relations with our employees and business partners and joint venturers. Statements concerning timing of the re-filing of our SEIA for the Quebrada Blanca Phase 2 project are based on assumptions regarding the permitting process of our existing project. Our selenium management plans are based on the assumptions, and subject to the factors, described under “Elk Valley Water Management”. The foregoing list of assumptions is not exhaustive. Events or circumstances could cause actual results to vary materially.

Factors that may cause actual results to vary materially include, but are not limited to, changes in commodity and power prices, changes in market demand for our products, changes in interest and currency exchange rates, acts of foreign governments and the outcome of legal proceedings, inaccurate geological and metallurgical assumptions (including with respect to the size, grade and recoverability of mineral reserves and resources), unanticipated operational difficulties (including failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications or expectations, cost escalation, unavailability of materials and equipment, government action or delays in the receipt of government approvals, changes in tax or royalty rates, industrial disturbances or other job action, adverse weather conditions and unanticipated events related to health, safety and environmental matters), union labour disputes, political risk, social unrest, failure of customers or counterparties to perform their contractual obligations, changes in our credit ratings, unanticipated increases in costs to construct our development projects, difficulty in obtaining permits, inability to address concerns regarding permits or environmental impact assessments, and changes or further deterioration in general economic conditions. Our Fort Hills project is not controlled by us and construction and production schedules may be adjusted by our partner.

Statements concerning future production costs or volumes, and the sensitivity of the company’s profit to changes in commodity prices and exchange rates, are based on numerous assumptions of management regarding operating matters and on assumptions that demand for products develops as anticipated, that customers and other counterparties perform their contractual obligations, that operating and capital plans will not be disrupted by issues such as mechanical failure, unavailability of parts and supplies, labour disturbances, interruption in transportation or utilities, and adverse weather conditions, and that there are no material unanticipated variations in the cost of energy or supplies.

We assume no obligation to update forward-looking statements except as required under securities laws. Further information concerning risks and uncertainties associated with these forward-looking statements and our business can be found in our Annual Information Form for the year ended December 31, 2013, filed on SEDAR and on EDGAR under cover of Form 40-F.
Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Years Ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
Management’s Responsibility for Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for the integrity and fair presentation of the financial information contained in this annual report. Where appropriate, the financial information, including financial statements, reflects amounts based on the best estimates and judgments of management. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. Financial information presented elsewhere in the annual report is consistent with that disclosed in the financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Any system of internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. The system of controls is also supported by a professional staff of internal auditors who conduct periodic audits of many aspects of our operations and report their findings to management and the Audit Committee.

Management has a process in place to evaluate internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in 1992 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework.

The Board of Directors oversees management’s responsibility for financial reporting and internal control systems through an Audit Committee, which is composed entirely of independent directors. The Audit Committee meets periodically with management, our internal auditors and independent auditors to review the scope and results of the annual audit, and to review the financial statements and related financial reporting and internal control matters before the financial statements are approved by the Board of Directors and submitted to the shareholders.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, appointed by the shareholders, have audited our financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and have expressed their opinion in the auditor’s report.

Donald R. Lindsay
President and Chief Executive Officer

Ronald A. Millos
Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

February 26, 2014
Independent Auditor’s Report

To the Shareholders of Teck Resources Limited

We have completed integrated audits of Teck Resources Limited’s (the “Company”) December 31, 2013 and 2012 consolidated financial statements and its internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013. Our opinions, based on our audits are presented below.

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Teck Resources Limited, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and January 1, 2012 and the consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows and changes in equity for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related notes, which comprise a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management’s Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards and the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement. Canadian generally accepted auditing standards also require that we comply with ethical requirements.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence, on a test basis, about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the Company’s preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles and policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion on the consolidated financial statements.

Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Teck Resources Limited as at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and January 1, 2012 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in note 30 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has changed its method of accounting for production waste stripping costs at its open pit mine operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 due to the adoption of IFRIC 20, Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have also audited Teck Resources Limited’s internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management’s Responsibility for Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Auditor’s Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our audit opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Definition of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Inherent Limitations

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Opinion

In our opinion, Teck Resources Limited maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as at December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992) issued by COSO.

Chartered Accountants
February 26, 2014
Vancouver, British Columbia
## Consolidated Statements of Income  Years ended December 31

(CAD$ in millions, except for share data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$9,382</td>
<td>$10,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of sales</strong></td>
<td>(6,956)</td>
<td>(6,819)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross profit</strong></td>
<td>2,426</td>
<td>3,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other operating expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>(129)</td>
<td>(137)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td>(86)</td>
<td>(102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income (expense) (Note 6)</td>
<td>(216)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit from operations</strong></td>
<td>1,977</td>
<td>3,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance income (Note 7)</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance expense (Note 7)</strong></td>
<td>(339)</td>
<td>(510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-operating income (expense) (Note 8)</strong></td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(848)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Share of losses of associates (Note 12)</strong></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit before tax</strong></td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>1,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provision for income and resource taxes (Note 17)</strong></td>
<td>(633)</td>
<td>(767)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit for the year</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,010</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,140</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit attributable to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders of the company</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$1,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit for the year</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,010</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,140</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Earnings per share (Note 20(g))**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Diluted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1.82</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diluted</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weighted average shares outstanding (millions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighted average shares outstanding</strong> (millions)</td>
<td>578.3</td>
<td>585.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shares outstanding at end of year (millions)</strong></td>
<td>576.3</td>
<td>582.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. The 2012 amounts have been restated for the adoption of new and amended accounting pronouncements (Note 29).
# Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

**Years ended December 31**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit for the year</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,010</td>
<td>$ 1,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other comprehensive income (loss) in the year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Items that may be reclassified to profit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency translation differences (net of taxes of $64 and $(21))</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in fair value of available-for-sale financial instruments (net of taxes of $nil and $2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow hedges (net of taxes of $1 and $nil)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other comprehensive income (loss) for the year</strong></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income for the year</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,376</td>
<td>$ 1,039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Total other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to:</strong></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders of the company</td>
<td>$360</td>
<td>$(99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income attributable to:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders of the company</td>
<td>$1,321</td>
<td>$969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income</strong></td>
<td>$1,376</td>
<td>$1,039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. The 2012 amounts have been restated for the adoption of new and amended accounting pronouncements (Note 29).
## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
Years ended December 31

(CAD$ in millions)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>$ 1,010</td>
<td>$ 1,140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for deferred income and resource taxes</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses of associates</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of investments and assets</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td>(53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains on derivatives</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange losses</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on debt repurchase</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,643</td>
<td>3,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net change in non-cash working capital items</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>(267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(1,858)</td>
<td>(1,700)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized production stripping costs</td>
<td>(744)</td>
<td>(732)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures on financial investments and other assets</td>
<td>(325)</td>
<td>(326)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of SilverBirch Energy Corporation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the sale of investments and other assets</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2,425)</td>
<td>(3,139)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of debt</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of debt</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>(3,027)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt interest paid</td>
<td>(355)</td>
<td>(428)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase and cancellation of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>(176)</td>
<td>(129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends paid</td>
<td>(521)</td>
<td>(469)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1,128)</td>
<td>(1,334)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decrease in cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>(495)</td>
<td>(1,138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</strong></td>
<td>3,267</td>
<td>4,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</strong></td>
<td>$ 2,772</td>
<td>$ 3,267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplemental information** (Note 9)  
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. The 2012 amounts have been restated for the adoption of new and amended accounting pronouncements (Note 29).
## Consolidated Balance Sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>December 31, 2013</th>
<th>December 31, 2012 (Restated)</th>
<th>January 1, 2012 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents (Note 9)</td>
<td>$ 2,772</td>
<td>$ 3,267</td>
<td>$ 4,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current income and resource taxes receivable</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts receivable</td>
<td>1,232</td>
<td>1,285</td>
<td>1,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories (Note 10)</td>
<td>1,695</td>
<td>1,783</td>
<td>1,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current assets</strong></td>
<td>5,770</td>
<td>6,476</td>
<td>7,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial and other assets</strong> (Note 11)</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>1,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments in associates</strong> (Note 12)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property, plant and equipment</strong> (Note 13)</td>
<td>27,811</td>
<td>24,937</td>
<td>23,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax assets</strong> (Note 17)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill</strong> (Note 14)</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>1,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 36,183</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 35,055</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 34,213</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities and Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts payable and other liabilities (Note 15)</td>
<td>$ 1,784</td>
<td>$ 1,468</td>
<td>$ 1,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends payable</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current income and resource taxes payable</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt (Note 16)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total current liabilities</strong></td>
<td>2,163</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>2,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt</strong> (Note 16)</td>
<td>7,664</td>
<td>7,160</td>
<td>6,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax liabilities</strong> (Note 17)</td>
<td>5,908</td>
<td>5,581</td>
<td>5,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirement benefit liabilities</strong> (Note 18)</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other liabilities and provisions</strong> (Note 19)</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>1,470</td>
<td>1,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,372</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,791</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,328</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable to shareholders of the company</td>
<td>18,597</td>
<td>18,075</td>
<td>17,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total equity</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,811</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,264</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,885</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total liabilities and equity</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 36,183</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 35,055</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 34,213</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. The 2012 amounts have been restated for the adoption of new and amended accounting pronouncements (Note 29).
## Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

Years ended December 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012 (Restated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class A common shares (Note 20)</strong></td>
<td>$7</td>
<td>$7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class B subordinate voting shares (Note 20)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>6,699</td>
<td>6,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share repurchases</td>
<td>(73)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued on exercise of options</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for tax benefit (Note 20(h))</td>
<td>(124)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>6,503</td>
<td>6,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retained earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>11,291</td>
<td>10,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the period attributable to shareholders of the company</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>1,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends declared</td>
<td>(518)</td>
<td>(496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share repurchases</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>(83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurements of retirement benefit plans</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>(48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>11,853</td>
<td>11,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributed surplus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share option compensation expense (Note 20(c))</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer to Class B subordinate voting shares on exercise of options</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>130</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to shareholders of the company (Note 20(f))</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>(35)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>(99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less remeasurements of retirement benefit plans recorded in retained earnings</td>
<td>(221)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-controlling interests (Note 21)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning of year</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year attributable to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends or distributions</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of year</strong></td>
<td>214</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total equity</strong></td>
<td>$18,811</td>
<td>$18,264</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. The 2012 amounts have been restated for the adoption of new and amended accounting pronouncements (Note 29).
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

1. Nature of Operations

Teck Resources Limited and its subsidiaries ("Teck," "we," "us," or "our") are engaged in mining and related activities including exploration, development, processing, smelting, refining and reclamation. Our major products are steelmaking coal, copper, zinc and lead. We also produce precious metals, molybdenum, electrical power, fertilizers and other metals. Metal products are sold as refined metals or concentrates. We also own an interest in a wind power facility and in certain oil sands leases and have a partnership interest in an oil sands development project now under construction.

Teck is a Canadian corporation and our registered office is at 550 Burrard Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6C 0B3.

2. Basis of Preparation

These annual consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

We adopted new and amended IFRS pronouncements which became effective January 1, 2013. Note 29 discloses the effects of the adoption of new and amended IFRS pronouncements for all periods presented, including the nature and effects of significant changes in accounting policies. These financial statements were prepared by management and were approved by the Board of Directors on February 26, 2014.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all periods presented, unless otherwise stated.

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Teck Resources Limited and all of its subsidiaries. Our significant operating subsidiaries include Teck Metals Ltd. ("TML"), Teck American Inc. ("TAI"), Teck Alaska Inc. ("TAK"), Teck Highland Valley Copper Partnership ("Highland Valley Copper"), Teck Coal Partnership ("Teck Coal"), Compañía Minera Teck Quebrada Blanca S.A. ("Quebrada Blanca") and Compañía Minera Teck Carmen de Andacollo ("Carmen de Andacollo").

All subsidiaries are entities that we control, either directly or indirectly. Control is defined as the exposure, or rights, to variable returns from involvement with an investee and the ability to affect those returns through power over the investee. Power over an investee exists when we have existing rights that give us the ability to direct the activities that significantly affect the investee’s returns. This control is generally evidenced through owning more than 50% of the voting rights or currently exercisable potential voting rights of a company’s share capital. All of our intra-group balances and transactions, including unrealized profits and losses arising from intra-group transactions, have been eliminated in full. For subsidiaries that we control, but do not own 100% of, the net assets and net profit attributable to outside shareholders are presented as amounts attributable to non-controlling interests in the consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Certain of our business activities are conducted through joint operations including Compañía Minera Antamina ("Antamina," 22.5% share), Galore Creek Partnership ("Galore Creek," 50% share), Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership ("Fort Hills," 20% share), Waneta Dam (66.7% share) and Wintering Hills Wind Power Facility (30% share). Our interests in these joint operations are accounted for by recording our share of the respective assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows.

All dollar amounts are presented in Canadian dollars unless otherwise specified.
3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Interests in Joint Arrangements

A joint arrangement can take the form of a joint venture or joint operation. All joint arrangements involve a contractual arrangement that establishes joint control, which exists only when decisions about the activities that significantly affect the returns of the investee require unanimous consent of the parties sharing control. A joint operation is a joint arrangement in which we have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities relating to the arrangement. A joint venture is a joint arrangement in which we have rights to only the net assets of the arrangement.

Joint ventures are accounted for in accordance with the policy “Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures.” Joint operations are accounted for by recognizing our share of the assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows of the joint operation in our consolidated financial statements.

Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures

Investments over which we exercise significant influence and which we do not control or jointly control are associates. Investments in associates are accounted for using the equity method, except when classified as held for sale. Investments in joint ventures as determined in accordance with the policy “Interests in Joint Arrangements” are also accounted for using the equity method.

The equity method involves recording the initial investment at cost and subsequently adjusting the carrying value of the investment for our proportionate share of the profit or loss, other comprehensive income or loss and any other changes in the associate’s or joint venture’s net assets such as dividends.

Our proportionate share of the associate’s or joint venture’s profit or loss and other comprehensive income or loss is based on its most recent financial statements. Adjustments are made to align any inconsistencies between our accounting policies and our associate’s or joint venture’s policies before applying the equity method. Adjustments are also made to account for depreciable assets based on their fair values at the acquisition date and for any impairment losses recognized by the associate or joint venture.

If our share of the associate’s or joint venture’s losses equals or exceeds our investment in the associate or joint venture, recognition of further losses is discontinued. After our interest is reduced to zero, additional losses will be provided for and a liability recognized only to the extent that we have incurred legal or constructive obligations to provide additional funding or make payments on behalf of the associate or joint venture. If the associate or joint venture subsequently reports profits, we resume recognizing our share of those profits only after our share of the profits equals the share of losses not recognized.

At each balance sheet date, we consider whether there is objective evidence of impairment in associates and joint ventures. If there is such evidence, we determine if there is a need to record an impairment in relation to the associate or joint venture.
Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency for each of our subsidiaries and for joint operations, joint ventures and associates is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Transactions in foreign currencies are translated to the functional currency of the entity at the exchange rate in existence at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies at the balance sheet date are retranslated at the period end date exchange rates.

The functional currency of Teck Resources Limited, the parent entity, is the Canadian dollar, which is also the presentation currency of our consolidated financial statements.

Foreign operations are translated from their functional currencies into Canadian dollars on consolidation. Items in the statement of income are translated using weighted average exchange rates that reasonably approximate the exchange rate at the transaction date. Items in the balance sheet are translated at the closing spot exchange rate. Exchange differences on the translation of the net assets of entities with functional currencies other than the Canadian dollar, and any offsetting exchange differences on net debt used to hedge those assets, are recognized in a separate component of equity through other comprehensive income.

Exchange differences that arise relating to long term intra-group balances that form part of the net investment in a foreign operation are also recognized in this separate component of equity through other comprehensive income.

On disposition or partial disposition of a foreign operation, the cumulative amount of related exchange differences recorded in a separate component of equity is recognized in the statement of income.

Revenue Recognition

Sales of product, including by-product, are recognized in revenue when the risks and rewards of ownership pass to the customer and the price can be measured reliably. Royalties related to production are recorded in cost of sales.

Steelmaking coal is sold under spot, quarterly or annual contracts and revenue is recognized based on the terms of the contract.

The majority of our cathode and metal concentrates are sold under pricing arrangements where final prices are determined by quoted market prices in a period subsequent to the date of sale. For these sales, the price is determined on a provisional basis at the date of sale and revenues are recorded at that time based on current market prices. Adjustments are made to the sale price in subsequent periods based on movements in quoted market prices up to the date of final pricing. As a result, the value of our cathode and concentrate sales receivables changes as the underlying commodity market prices vary and this adjustment mechanism has the characteristics of a derivative. Accordingly, the fair value of the embedded derivative is adjusted each reporting period by reference to forward market prices and the changes in fair value are recorded as an adjustment to other operating income (expense).
3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Financial Instruments
We recognize financial assets and liabilities on the balance sheet when we become a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

Cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents include cash on account, demand deposits and money market investments with maturities from the date of acquisition of three months or less, which are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and are subject to insignificant changes in value. Cash is designated as loans and receivables. Cash equivalents are classified as available-for-sale.

Trade receivables and payables
Trade receivables and payables are non-interest bearing and are recognized at face amount, except when fair value is materially different, and are subsequently measured at amortized cost. Where necessary, trade receivables are net of allowances for uncollectable amounts. We may enter into transactions to sell trade receivables to third parties. If the risks and rewards of ownership of the receivables are transferred to the purchaser, we account for the transaction as a sale and derecognize the trade receivables. If the risks and rewards of ownership of the receivables are neither transferred nor retained, we account for the transaction as a sale and derecognize the trade receivables if we have not retained control over the receivables.

Investments in marketable securities
Investments in marketable securities are designated as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value. Fair values are determined by reference to quoted market prices at the balance sheet date. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale investments are recognized in other comprehensive income until investments are disposed of or when there is objective evidence of an impairment in value. Investment transactions are recognized on the trade date with transaction costs included in the underlying balance.

At each balance sheet date, we assess for any objective evidence of an impairment in value of our investments and record such impairments in profit for the period. If an impairment of an investment in a marketable equity security has been recorded in profit, it cannot be reversed in future periods.

Debt
Debt is initially recorded at total proceeds received less direct issuance costs. Debt is subsequently measured at amortized cost, calculated using the effective interest rate method.

Derivative instruments
Derivative instruments, including embedded derivatives, are recorded at fair value through profit or loss and, accordingly, are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on derivatives held for trading are recorded as part of other operating income (expense) or non-operating income (expense) in profit depending on the nature of the derivative. Fair values for derivative instruments are determined using valuation techniques, with assumptions based on market conditions existing at the balance sheet date or settlement date of the derivative. Derivatives embedded in non-derivative contracts are recognized separately unless they are closely related to the host contract.
**Hedging**

Certain derivative investments may qualify for hedge accounting. For fair value hedges, any gains or losses on both the hedged item and the hedging instrument are recognized in profit.

For cash flow hedges, any unrealized gains and losses on the hedging instrument relating to the effective portion of the hedge are initially recorded in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses are recognized in profit upon settlement of the hedging instrument, when the hedged item ceases to exist, or when the hedge is determined to be ineffective.

For hedges of net investments in foreign operations, any foreign exchange gains or losses on the hedging instrument relating to the effective portion of the hedge are initially recorded in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses are recognized in profit on the ineffective portion of the hedge, or when there is a disposal of a foreign operation being hedged.

**Inventories**

Finished products, work in-process and raw materials inventories are valued at the lower of weighted average cost and net realizable value. Raw materials include concentrates for use at smelting and refining operations. Work in-process inventory includes inventory in the milling, smelting or refining process and stockpiled ore at mining operations.

For work in-process and finished product inventories, cost includes all direct costs incurred in production, including direct labour and materials, freight, depreciation and amortization and directly attributable overhead costs. Production stripping costs that are not capitalized are included in the cost of inventories as incurred. Depreciation and amortization of capitalized production stripping costs are included in the cost of inventory.

When inventories have been written down to net realizable value, we make a new assessment of net realizable value in each subsequent period. If the circumstances that caused the write-down no longer exist, the remaining amount of the write-down is reversed.

We use both joint-product and by-product costing for work in-process and finished product inventories. Joint costing is applied to primary products at the Red Dog, Antamina, Duck Pond and Trail Operations, where the profitability of the operations is dependent upon the production of a number of primary products. Joint costing allocates total production costs based on the relative values of the products. Where by-product costing is used, by-products are allocated only the incremental costs of processes that are specific to the production of that product.

Supplies inventory is valued at the lower of weighted average cost and net realizable value. Cost includes acquisition, freight and other directly attributable costs.
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Property, Plant and Equipment

Land, buildings, plant and equipment

Land is recorded at cost and buildings, plant and equipment are recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. Cost includes the purchase price and the directly attributable costs to bring the assets to the location and condition necessary for them to be capable of operating in the manner intended by management.

Depreciation of mobile equipment, buildings used for production, and plant and processing equipment at our mining operations is calculated on a units-of-production basis. Depreciation of buildings not used for production, and plant and equipment at our smelting operations is calculated on a straight-line basis over the assets’ estimated useful lives. Where components of an asset have different useful lives, depreciation is calculated on each component separately.

Depreciation commences when an asset is available for use. Estimates of remaining useful lives and residual values are reviewed annually. Changes in estimates are accounted for prospectively.

The expected useful lives are as follows:

- Buildings and equipment (not used in production)  3–40 years
- Plant and equipment (smelting operations)  4–30 years

Mineral properties and mine development costs

The cost of acquiring and developing mineral properties or property rights, including pre-production waste rock stripping costs related to mine development and costs incurred during production to increase future output are capitalized.

Waste rock stripping costs incurred in the production phase of a surface mine are capitalized as capitalized production stripping costs within property, plant and equipment when it is probable that the stripping activity will improve access to the ore body; the component of the ore body to which access has been improved can be identified; and the costs relating to the stripping activity can be measured reliably. When the actual waste to ore stripping ratio in a period is greater than the expected life-of-component waste to ore stripping ratio for a component, the excess is capitalized as capitalized production stripping costs.

Once available for use, mineral properties and mine development costs are depreciated on a units-of-production basis over the proven and probable reserves to which they relate. Capitalized waste rock stripping costs incurred during the production phase of a mine are depreciated on a units-of-production basis over the proven and probable reserves of the respective component of the mine to which they relate.

Underground mine development costs are depreciated using the block depreciation method where development costs associated with each distinct section of the mine are depreciated over the reserves to which they relate.

Exploration and evaluation costs

Property acquisition costs are capitalized. Other exploration and evaluation costs are capitalized if they relate to specific properties for which resources, as defined under National Instrument 43-101, exist or are near a specific property with a defined resource and it is expected that the expenditure can be recovered by future exploitation or sale. All other costs are charged to profit in the year in which they are incurred. Capitalized exploration and evaluation costs are considered to be tangible assets. These assets are not depreciated as they are not currently available for use. When proven and probable reserves are determined and development is approved, capitalized exploration and evaluation costs are reclassified to mineral properties and leases within property, plant and equipment.
**Development costs of oil sands properties**

The costs of acquiring, exploring, evaluating and developing oil sands properties are capitalized when it is expected that these costs will be recovered through future exploitation or sale of the property. Capitalized development costs of oil sands properties are considered to be tangible assets. These assets are not depreciated as they are not currently available for use. When proven and probable reserves are determined and development is approved, capitalized development costs for oil sands properties are reclassified to mineral properties and leases within property, plant and equipment.

**Construction in progress**

Assets in the course of construction are capitalized as construction in progress. On completion, the cost of construction is transferred to the appropriate category of property, plant and equipment, and depreciation commences when the asset is available for its intended use.

**Impairment of non-current assets**

The carrying amounts of assets included in property, plant and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever facts and circumstances suggest that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If there are indicators of impairment, the recoverable amount of the asset is estimated in order to determine the extent of any impairment. Where the asset does not generate cash flows that are independent from other assets, the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit to which the asset belongs is determined. The recoverable amount of an asset or cash generating unit is determined as the higher of its fair value less costs of disposal and its value in use. An impairment loss exists if the asset’s or cash generating unit’s carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount, and is recorded as an expense immediately.

Value in use is determined as the present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived from continuing use of an asset or cash generating unit in its present form. These estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset or cash generating unit for which estimates of future cash flows have not been adjusted. Fair value is the price that would be received from selling an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Costs of disposal are incremental costs directly attributable to the disposal of an asset. For mining assets, when a binding sale agreement is not readily available, fair value less costs of disposal is estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. Estimated future cash flows are calculated using estimated future prices, mineral reserves and resources, operating and capital costs. All inputs used are those that an independent market participant would consider appropriate.

Indicators of impairment and impairment of exploration and evaluation assets or oil sands development costs are assessed on a project-by-project basis or as part of the existing operation to which they relate.

Tangible assets that have been impaired in prior periods are tested for possible reversal of impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the impairment has reversed. If the impairment has reversed, the carrying amount of the asset is increased to its recoverable amount, but not beyond the carrying amount that would have been determined had no impairment loss been recognized for the asset in the prior periods. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized into profit immediately.

**Repairs and maintenance**

Repairs and maintenance costs, including shutdown maintenance costs, are charged to expense as incurred, except when these repairs significantly extend the life of an asset or result in an operating improvement. In these instances, the portion of these repairs relating to the betterment is capitalized as part of plant and equipment.
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Borrowing costs
We capitalize borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of an asset that takes a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use. We begin capitalizing borrowing costs when there are general or specific borrowings, expenditures are incurred, and activities are undertaken to prepare the asset for its intended use. The amount of borrowing costs capitalized cannot exceed the actual amount of borrowing costs incurred during the period. All other borrowing costs are expensed as incurred.

We discontinue the capitalization of borrowing costs when substantially all of the activities necessary to prepare the qualifying asset for its intended use or sale are complete. Capitalized borrowing costs are amortized over the useful life of the related asset.

Leased assets
Leased assets in which we receive substantially all of the risks and rewards of ownership of the asset are capitalized as finance leases at the lower of the fair value of the asset or the estimated present value of the minimum lease payments. The corresponding lease obligation is recorded within debt on the balance sheet.

Assets under operating leases are not capitalized, and rental payments are expensed based on the terms of the lease.

Goodwill
We allocate goodwill arising from business combinations to each cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units that are expected to receive the benefits from the business combination. Irrespective of any indication of impairment, the carrying amount of the cash-generating unit or group of cash-generating units to which goodwill has been allocated is tested annually for impairment and when there is an indication that the goodwill may be impaired in accordance with our “Impairment of non-current assets” policy. Any impairment is recognized as an expense immediately. Any impairment of goodwill is not subsequently reversed.

Current and Deferred Taxes
Taxes, comprising both income taxes and resource taxes, are accounted for as income taxes and are recognized in the statement of income, except where they relate to items recognized in other comprehensive income or directly in equity, in which case the related taxes are recognized in other comprehensive income or equity.

Current taxes receivable or payable are based on estimated taxable income for the current year at the statutory tax rates enacted or substantively enacted less amounts paid or received on account.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on the difference between the tax and accounting values of assets and liabilities and are calculated using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates for the periods in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of tax rate changes is recognized in the period of substantive enactment.

Deferred tax assets are recognized only to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits of the relevant entity or group of entities in a particular jurisdiction will be available against which the assets can be utilized.

Deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on investments in subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates. However, we do not recognize such deferred tax liabilities where the timing of the reversal of the temporary differences can be controlled and it is probable that the temporary differences will not reverse in the foreseeable future.
Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not recognized if the temporary differences arise from the initial recognition of goodwill or an asset or liability in a transaction (other than in a business combination) that affects neither accounting profit nor taxable profit.

We are subject to assessments by various taxation authorities, which may interpret tax legislation differently than we do. The final amount of taxes to be paid depends on a number of factors, including the outcomes of audits, appeals or negotiated settlements. We account for such differences based on our best estimate of the probable outcome of these matters.

**Employee Benefits**

*Defined benefit pension plans*

Defined benefit pension plan obligations are based on actuarial determinations. The projected unit credit method is used to determine the defined benefit obligations, the related current service costs and, where applicable, the past service costs. Actuarial assumptions used in the determination of defined benefit pension plan assets and liabilities are based upon our best estimates, including discount rates, salary escalation, expected health care costs and retirement dates of employees.

Past service costs are recognized as an expense when incurred. Therefore, immediately following the introduction of changes to a defined benefit plan, vested and unvested past service costs are expensed.

Actuarial gains and losses can arise from differences between expected and actual outcomes or changes in actuarial assumptions. Actuarial gains and losses, changes in the effect of asset ceiling rules and return on plan assets are collectively referred to as remeasurements of retirement benefit plans and are recognized immediately through other comprehensive income and directly into retained earnings. Measurement of our net defined benefit asset is limited to the lower of the surplus in the defined benefit plan and asset ceiling. The asset ceiling is the funded status of the plan on an accounting basis, less the present value of the expected economic benefit available to us in the form of refunds from the plan or reductions in future contributions to the plan. We only have asset ceilings in our registered pension plans.

We apply one discount rate to the net defined benefit asset or liability for the purposes of determining the interest component of the defined benefit cost. This interest component is recorded as part of finance expense. Depending on their function, current service costs and past service costs are included in either operating expenses or general and administration expenses.

*Defined contribution pension plans*

The cost of providing benefits through defined contribution plans is charged to profit as the obligation to contribute is incurred.

*Non-pension post-retirement plans*

We provide health care benefits for certain employees when they retire. Non-pension post-retirement plan obligations are based on actuarial determinations. The cost of these benefits is expensed over the period in which the employees render services. These non-pension post-retirement benefits are funded by us as they become due.
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Share-Based Payments

The fair value method of accounting is used for share-based payment transactions. Under this method, the cost of share options and other equity-settled share-based payment arrangements is recorded based on the estimated fair value at the grant date, including an estimate of the forfeiture rate, and charged to profit over the vesting period. For employees eligible for normal retirement prior to vesting, the expense is charged to profit over the period from the grant date to the date they are eligible for retirement.

Share-based payment expense relating to cash-settled awards, including deferred and restricted share units, is accrued over the vesting period of the units based on the quoted market value of Class B subordinate voting shares. As these awards will be settled in cash, the expense and liability are adjusted each reporting period for changes in the underlying share price.

Provisions

Decommissioning and restoration provisions

Future obligations to retire an asset and to restore a site, including dismantling, remediation and ongoing treatment and monitoring of the site related to normal operations are initially recognized and recorded as a provision based on estimated future cash flows discounted at a credit-adjusted risk-free rate. This decommissioning and restoration provision is adjusted at each reporting period for changes to factors including the expected amount of cash flows required to discharge the liability, the timing of such cash flows and the discount rate.

The provisions are also accreted to full value over time through periodic charges to profit. This unwinding of the discount is charged to finance expense in the statement of income.

The amount of the decommissioning and restoration provision initially recognized is capitalized as part of the related asset’s carrying value. The method of depreciation follows that of the underlying asset. For a closed site or where the asset which generated a decommissioning and restoration provision no longer exists, there is no longer any future benefit related to the costs and, as such, the amounts are expensed. For operating sites, a revision in estimates or a new disturbance will result in an adjustment to the provision with an offsetting adjustment to the capitalized retirement cost.

Environmental disturbance restoration provisions

During the operating life of an asset, events such as infractions of environmental laws or regulations may occur. These events are not related to the normal operation of the asset. The costs associated with these provisions are accrued and charged to profit in the period in which the event giving rise to the liability occurs. Any subsequent adjustments to these provisions due to changes in estimates are also charged to profit in the period of adjustment.

Other provisions

Provisions are recognized when a present legal or constructive obligation exists, as a result of past events, and it is probable that an outflow of resources that can be reliably estimated will be required to settle the obligation. Where the effect is material, the provision is discounted using an appropriate credit-adjusted risk-free rate.

Share Repurchases

Where we repurchase any of our equity share capital, the excess of the consideration paid over book value is deducted from contributed surplus and retained earnings on a pro-rata basis.
Research and Development

Research costs are expensed as incurred. Development costs are only deferred when the product or process is clearly defined, the technical feasibility has been established, the future market for the product or process is clearly defined and we are committed to, and have the resources to, complete the project.

Earnings per Share

Earnings per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the year. For diluted earnings per share, dilution is calculated based upon the net number of common shares issued should “in-the-money” options and warrants be exercised and the proceeds used to repurchase common shares at the average market price in the year. Dilution from convertible securities is calculated based on the number of shares to be issued after taking into account the reduction of the related after-tax interest expense.

New IFRS Pronouncements

New IFRS pronouncements that have been issued but are not yet effective are listed below. We plan to apply the new standard or interpretation in the annual period for which it is first required.

Financial instruments

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments (“IFRS 9”), addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and financial liabilities. IFRS 9 was issued in November 2009 and amended in October 2010. It replaces the parts of IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (“IAS 39”) that relate to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. IFRS 9 requires financial assets to be classified into two measurement categories: those measured at fair value and those measured at amortized cost. The determination is made at initial recognition. The classification depends on the entity’s business model for managing its financial instruments and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the instrument. For financial liabilities, the standard retains most of the IAS 39 requirements. The main change is that, in cases where the fair value option is taken for financial liabilities, the part of a fair value change due to an entity’s own credit risk is recorded in other comprehensive income rather than the income statement, unless this creates an accounting mismatch.

In November 2013, the IASB issued the hedge accounting section of IFRS 9, as well as two amendments to the previously issued IFRS 9. The new hedge accounting model will align hedge accounting with risk management activities undertaken by an entity. Components of both financial and non-financial items will now be eligible for hedge accounting as long as the risk component can be identified and measured. The new hedge accounting model includes eligibility criteria that must be met but these criteria are based on an economic assessment of the strength of the hedging relationship, which can be determined using internal risk management data. New disclosure requirements relating to hedge accounting will be required and are meant to simplify existing disclosures. The IASB currently has a separate project on macro hedging activities and until that project is completed, entities are permitted to continue to apply IAS 39 for all of their hedge accounting.

In November 2013, the IASB amended IFRS 9 to remove the mandatory effective date of January 1, 2015 due to continued work being performed on other phases of the IFRS 9 project relating to impairment. The IASB will be announcing a mandatory effective date for IFRS 9 in the future when it is closer to completion. Entities are still permitted to early adopt all or part of IFRS 9.

We are currently assessing the effect of this standard and related amendments on our financial statements.
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Levies

In May 2013, the IASB issued IFRIC 21, Levies (“IFRIC 21”), which provides guidance on the accounting for a liability to pay a levy, if that liability is within the scope of IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets. Levies are imposed by governments in accordance with legislation and do not include income taxes, which are accounted for under IAS 12, Income Taxes or fines or other penalties imposed for breaches of legislation. The interpretation was issued to address diversity in practice around when the liability to pay a levy is recognized.

IFRIC 21 defines an obligating event as the activity that triggers the payment of the levy, as identified by legislation. A liability to pay a levy is recognized at the date of the obligating event, which may be at a point in time or over a period of time. The fact that an entity is economically compelled to continue to operate in the future, or prepares its financial statements on a going concern basis, does not create an obligation to pay a levy that will arise in a future period as a result of continuing to operate.

IFRIC 21 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014 and is required to be applied retrospectively. We are currently assessing the effect of this standard on our financial statements.

4. Critical Accounting Estimates and Judgments

In preparing these consolidated financial statements, we make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts recorded. Actual results could differ from our estimates. Our estimates and judgments are based on historical experience and other factors we consider to be reasonable, including expectations of future events. The estimates and judgments that could result in a material effect in the next financial year on the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities are outlined below.

Impairment Testing

Impairment testing is based on discounted cash flow models prepared by internal experts with assistance from third-party advisors when required. Note 14 outlines the significant inputs used when performing goodwill and other asset impairment testing. The inputs used are based on management’s best estimates of what an independent market participant would consider appropriate and are reviewed by senior management. Changes in these inputs may alter the results of impairment testing, the amount of the impairment charges recorded in the statement of income and the resulting carrying values of assets.

Joint Arrangements

We are a party to a number of arrangements in which we do not have control. Judgment is required in determining whether joint control over these arrangements exists and if so, which parties have joint control and whether each arrangement is a joint venture or joint operation. In assessing whether we have joint control, we analyze the activities of each arrangement and determine which activities most significantly affect the returns of the arrangement. These activities are determined to be the relevant activities of the arrangement. If unanimous consent is required over the decisions about the relevant activities, the parties whose consent is required would have joint control over the arrangement. The judgments around which activities are considered the relevant activities of the arrangement are subject to analysis by each of the parties to the arrangement and may be interpreted differently. When performing this assessment, we consider decisions about activities such as managing the asset during its life, acquisition, expansion and dispositions of assets, financing, operating and capital decisions. We may also consider activities including the approval of budgets, appointment of key management personnel, representation on the board of directors and other items.
If we conclude that we have joint control over the arrangement, an assessment of whether the arrangement is a joint venture or joint operation is required. This assessment is based on whether we have rights to the assets, and obligations for the liabilities, relating to the arrangement or whether we have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. In making this determination, we review the legal form of the arrangement, the terms of the contractual arrangement, and other facts and circumstances. In a situation where the legal form and the terms of the contractual arrangement do not give us rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities, an assessment of other facts and circumstances is required, including whether the activities of the arrangement are primarily designed for the provision of output to the parties and whether the parties are substantially the only source of cash flows contributing to the arrangement. In such circumstances we may consider the application of other facts and circumstances to conclude that a joint arrangement is a joint operation is appropriate. This conclusion requires judgment and is specific to each arrangement. We have applied the use of other facts and circumstances to conclude that Antamina and Fort Hills are joint operations for the purposes of our consolidated financial statements. The other facts and circumstances considered for both of these arrangements are the provisions for output to the parties of the joint arrangements. For both Antamina and Fort Hills, we will take our share of the output from the assets directly over the life of the arrangement. We have concluded that this, combined with other factors, gives us direct rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of these arrangements, proportionate to our ownership interests.

Estimated Recoverable Reserves and Resources

Mineral reserve and resource estimates are based on various assumptions relating to operating matters. These include production costs, mining and processing recoveries, cut-off grades, long term commodity prices and, in some cases, exchange rates, inflation rates and capital costs. Cost estimates are based on feasibility study estimates or operating history. Estimates are prepared by appropriately qualified persons, but will be affected by forecasted commodity prices, inflation rates, exchange rates, capital and production costs and recoveries amongst other factors. Estimated recoverable reserves and resources are used to determine the depreciation of property, plant and equipment at operating mine sites, in accounting for deferred stripping costs, in performing impairment testing and in forecasting the timing of the payment of decommissioning and restoration costs. Therefore, changes in the assumptions used could affect the carrying value of assets, depreciation and impairment charges recorded in the income statement and the carrying value of the decommissioning and restoration provision.

Decommissioning and Restoration Provisions

The decommissioning and restoration provision is based on future cost estimates using information available at the balance sheet date. The decommissioning and restoration provision is adjusted at each reporting period for changes to factors such as the expected amount of cash flows required to discharge the liability, the timing of such cash flows and the discount rate. The decommissioning and restoration provision requires other significant estimates and assumptions including the requirements of the relevant legal and regulatory framework and the timing, extent and costs of required decommissioning and restoration activities. To the extent the actual costs differ from these estimates, adjustments will be recorded and the income statement may be affected.
Current and Deferred Taxes

We calculate current and deferred tax provisions for each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. Actual amounts of income tax expense are not final until tax returns are filed and accepted by the relevant authorities. This occurs subsequent to the issuance of financial statements. Therefore, profit in subsequent periods will be affected by the amount that estimates differ from the final tax return.

Judgment is required in assessing whether deferred tax assets and certain deferred tax liabilities are recognized on the balance sheet. We also evaluate the recoverability of deferred tax assets based on an assessment of the ability to use the underlying future tax deductions before they expire against future taxable income. Deferred tax liabilities arising from temporary differences on investments in subsidiaries and joint ventures are recognized unless the reversal of the temporary differences is not expected to occur in the foreseeable future and can be controlled. Assumptions about the generation of future taxable profits and repatriation of retained earnings depend on management’s estimates of future production and sales volumes, commodity prices, reserves, operating costs, decommissioning and restoration costs, capital expenditures, dividends and other capital management transactions. Judgment is also required about the application of income tax legislation. These estimates and judgments are subject to risk and uncertainty and could result in an adjustment to the deferred tax provision and a corresponding credit or charge to profit.

5. Expenses by Nature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wages and salaries</td>
<td>$903</td>
<td>$823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage-related costs</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonus payments</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-employment benefits</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>1,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw material purchases</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel and energy</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance and repair supplies</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractors and consultants</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating supplies</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead costs</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating costs</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,806</td>
<td>7,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production stripping and other capitalized costs</td>
<td>(750)</td>
<td>(741)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in inventory</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost of sales, general and administration, exploration and research and development expenses</td>
<td>$7,189</td>
<td>$7,077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6. Other Operating Income (Expense)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CAD$ in millions</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pricing adjustments (Note 25(b))</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>(62)</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation</td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental costs</td>
<td>(27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social responsibility and donations</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain (loss) on operating assets</td>
<td>(33)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care and maintenance</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity derivatives (Note 25(b))</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for closed properties</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(35)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>(216)</td>
<td>$24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. Finance Income and Finance Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>CAD$ in millions</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total finance income</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance expense</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt interest</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>$427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financing fees and discount amortization</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest expense on retirement benefit plans</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provision accretion</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less capitalized interest</td>
<td>(134)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total finance expense</strong></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>$510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Non-Operating Income (Expense)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of investments</td>
<td>$42</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for marketable securities</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange losses</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other derivative gains (losses) (Note 25(b))</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt repurchase and financing costs (Note 16(a))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(965)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ (6)</td>
<td>$ (848)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Supplemental Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$174</td>
<td>$144</td>
<td>$1,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money market investments with maturities from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the date of acquisition of three months or less</td>
<td>2,598</td>
<td>3,123</td>
<td>3,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,772</td>
<td>$3,267</td>
<td>$4,405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net change in non-cash working capital items and other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts receivable, taxes receivable and other</td>
<td>$199</td>
<td>$ (119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>(99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts payable, taxes payable and accrued</td>
<td>(57)</td>
<td>(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$235</td>
<td>$ (267)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income and resource taxes paid</td>
<td>$425</td>
<td>$578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cash financing and investing transactions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares received from dispositions</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Inventories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>$ 295</td>
<td>$ 239</td>
<td>$ 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in-process</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finished products</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,752</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,839</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,692</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less long term portion (Note 11)</td>
<td>(57)</td>
<td>(56)</td>
<td>(51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,695</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,783</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,641</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost of sales of $7.0 billion (2012 – $6.8 billion) include $6.7 billion (2012 – $6.6 billion) of inventories recognized as an expense during the period.

Total inventories held at net realizable value amounted to $64 million at December 31, 2013 (December 31, 2012 – $88 million and January 1, 2012 – $237 million).

Long term inventories consist of ore stockpiles and other in-process materials that are not planned to be processed within one year.

11. Financial and Other Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long term receivables and deposits</td>
<td>$ 204</td>
<td>$ 188</td>
<td>$ 193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments carried at fair value:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available-for-sale marketable equity securities</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Held for trading warrants</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative assets (Note 25(b))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension assets (Note 18(a))</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term inventories (Note 10)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangibles</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 746</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 973</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,138</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. Investments in Associates

(CAD$ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fort Hills (a)</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At January 1, 2012</td>
<td>$ 703</td>
<td>$ 12</td>
<td>$ 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2012</td>
<td>$ 819</td>
<td>$ 9</td>
<td>$ 828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accounting method (a)</td>
<td>(1,063)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1,063)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2013</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$ 24</td>
<td>$ 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership

In November 2005, we acquired a 15% interest in Fort Hills, which is developing the Fort Hills oil sands project in Alberta, Canada. As consideration for our initial 15% interest, we contributed 34% of the first $2.5 billion of project expenditures. In September 2007, we acquired an additional 5% interest, bringing our interest to 20%. In consideration for our additional 5% interest, we are required to contribute 27.5% of project expenditures after project spending reaches $2.5 billion and before project spending reaches $7.5 billion. Thereafter, we are responsible for funding our 20% share of development costs. In the event that the project is abandoned, all limited partners are required to make additional contributions such that the aggregate contributions of all partners equal $7.5 billion and any unexpended amount will be distributed to the partners according to their partnership interests. Project spending totalled $4.4 billion as of December 31, 2013, of which our share was $1.4 billion.

On October 30, 2013, the partners in Fort Hills announced that construction would be proceeding for the project. At that date certain amendments were made to the Limited Partnership Agreement, Unanimous Shareholder Agreement and the Fort Hills Oil Sands Project Operating Services Contract. The changes to these agreements required a reassessment of the accounting for our investment in Fort Hills. As a result of the changes made to the agreements for this arrangement, we have concluded that we now have rights to the assets and obligations for the liabilities of Fort Hills. Accordingly, from October 30, 2013 forward, we have accounted for our interest in Fort Hills as a joint operation and recorded our share of the assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and cash flows of the operation. Prior to the amendment of the project agreements on October 30, 2013, we accounted for our investment in Fort Hills as an associate using the equity method.

Our share of Fort Hills’ losses were $nil to October 30, 2013 and $6 million in 2012. Fort Hills did not have revenue in 2013 or 2012.
13. Property, Plant and Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Exploration and Evaluation</th>
<th>Mineral Properties and Leases</th>
<th>Land, Buildings, Plant and Equipment</th>
<th>Capitalized Production Stripping Costs</th>
<th>Construction In-Progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At January 1, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$ 1,329</td>
<td>$ 19,261</td>
<td>$ 8,931</td>
<td>$ 493</td>
<td>$ 142</td>
<td>$ 30,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(2,447)</td>
<td>(4,418)</td>
<td>(147)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(7,012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net book value</td>
<td>$ 1,329</td>
<td>$ 16,814</td>
<td>$ 4,513</td>
<td>$ 346</td>
<td>$ 142</td>
<td>$ 23,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year ended December 31, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening net book value</td>
<td>$ 1,329</td>
<td>$ 16,814</td>
<td>$ 4,513</td>
<td>$ 346</td>
<td>$ 142</td>
<td>$ 23,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>3,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(473)</td>
<td>(436)</td>
<td>(194)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1,103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>(228)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provision change in estimate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(73)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized borrowing costs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange differences</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(67)</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing net book value</td>
<td>$ 1,853</td>
<td>$ 16,267</td>
<td>$ 4,922</td>
<td>$ 937</td>
<td>$ 958</td>
<td>$ 24,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$ 1,853</td>
<td>$ 19,170</td>
<td>$ 9,690</td>
<td>$ 1,280</td>
<td>$ 958</td>
<td>$ 32,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(2,903)</td>
<td>(4,768)</td>
<td>(343)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(8,014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net book value</td>
<td>$ 1,853</td>
<td>$ 16,267</td>
<td>$ 4,922</td>
<td>$ 937</td>
<td>$ 958</td>
<td>$ 24,937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

13. Property, Plant and Equipment (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Exploration and Evaluation</th>
<th>Mineral Properties and Leases</th>
<th>Land, Buildings, Plant and Equipment</th>
<th>Capitalized Production Stripping Costs</th>
<th>Construction In-Progress</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year ended December 31, 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening net book value</td>
<td>$ 1,853</td>
<td>$ 16,267</td>
<td>$ 4,922</td>
<td>$ 937</td>
<td>$ 958</td>
<td>$ 24,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>1,207</td>
<td>2,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hills change in accounting method (Note 12(a))</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposals</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(497)</td>
<td>(457)</td>
<td>(313)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1,267)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provision change in estimate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(337)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(361)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized borrowing costs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange differences</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closing net book value</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,066</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 16,663</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,199</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,440</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,443</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 27,811</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>At December 31, 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$ 2,066</td>
<td>$ 20,090</td>
<td>$ 10,394</td>
<td>$ 2,102</td>
<td>$ 2,443</td>
<td>$ 37,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(3,427)</td>
<td>(5,195)</td>
<td>(662)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(9,284)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net book value</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,066</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 16,663</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,199</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,440</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 2,443</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 27,811</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The carrying value of property, plant and equipment held under finance lease at December 31, 2013 is $186 million (December 31, 2012 – $150 million, January 1, 2012 – $117 million). Ownership of leased assets remains with the lessor.

Borrowing costs are capitalized at a rate based on our cost of borrowing or at the rate on the project-specific debt, as applicable. These projects are shown as part of mineral properties and leases, land, buildings, plant and equipment, or construction in-progress. Our weighted average borrowing rate used for capitalization of borrowing costs in 2013 was 4.9% (2012 – 5.30%).

Significant exploration and evaluation projects include Relincho, Galore Creek and oil sands properties.
14. Goodwill

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coal Operations</th>
<th>Quebrada Blanca</th>
<th>Carmen de Andacollo</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 1, 2012</td>
<td>$1,203</td>
<td>$312</td>
<td>$132</td>
<td>$1,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange translation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2012</td>
<td>$1,203</td>
<td>$305</td>
<td>$129</td>
<td>$1,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange translation</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 31, 2013</td>
<td>$1,203</td>
<td>$327</td>
<td>$138</td>
<td>$1,668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The allocation of goodwill to cash generating units or groups of cash generating units reflects how goodwill is monitored for internal management purposes.

We have performed our annual goodwill impairment testing and did not identify any impairment losses. The recoverable amounts for our goodwill impairment testing were determined based on a fair value less costs of disposal basis. The fair value less costs of disposal was calculated using a discounted cash flow methodology taking account of assumptions that would be made by market participants.

Cash flow projections are based on life of mine plans and exploration potential. For our coal operations, the cash flows cover periods from 23 to 30 years, after which a terminal value is determined. For Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo cash flows include periods in excess of 47 years.

Given the nature of expected future cash flows, the expected future cash flows used to determine the recoverable amount could change materially over time as they are significantly affected by the key assumptions described below.

The key inputs used to determine fair value less costs of disposal are as follows:

**Commodity Prices**

Commodity price assumptions are based on internal forecasts, which are based on a number of factors, including forward curves in the near term, and are benchmarked with external sources of information, including information published by our peers, to ensure they are within the range of values used by market participants.

**Reserves and Resources**

Future mineral production is included in projected cash flows based on mineral reserve and resource estimates and exploration and evaluation work, undertaken by appropriately qualified persons. These estimates are based upon commodity price assumptions at or below the commodity prices noted in the sensitivity analysis below.

**Operating Costs and Capital Expenditures**

Operating costs and capital expenditures are based on life of mine plans and internal management forecasts. Cost estimates incorporate management experience and expertise, current operating costs, the nature and location of each operation and the risks associated with each operation. Future capital expenditures are based on management’s best estimate of expected future capital requirements, which are generally for the extraction and processing of existing reserves and resources. All committed and anticipated capital expenditures based on future cost estimates have been included in the projected cash flows. Operating cost and capital expenditure assumptions are continuously subject to on-going optimization and review by management and may be improved upon.
Discount Rates

Discount rates used are based on the weighted average cost of capital for a mining industry peer group and are calculated with reference to current market information. Adjustments to the rate are made for any risks that are not reflected in the underlying cash flows. A 5.5% real, 8% nominal, post-tax discount rate was used to discount cash flow projections for our coal operations and a 6.1% real, 8.5% nominal, post-tax discount rate was used to discount cash flow projections for Quebrada Blanca and Carmen de Andacollo.

Foreign Exchange Rates

Foreign exchange rates are benchmarked with external sources of information based on a range used by market participants.

Inflation Rates

Inflation rates are based on average historical inflation rates for the location of each operation and long term government bond yields. Inflation rates are benchmarked with external sources of information and are within a range used by market participants.

Sensitivity Analysis

The results of our annual goodwill impairment test and an updated analysis as at December 31, 2013 resulted in the recoverable amount of Carmen de Andacollo exceeding its carrying value by approximately $100 million. The recoverable amount is most sensitive to the long term commodity price and discount rate assumptions. The recoverable amount is based on a long term copper price of US$3.50 per pound and a nominal post-tax discount rate of 8.5%. A 3% decrease in the long term price assumption would result in the recoverable amount equaling the carrying value. An increase of 65 basis points in the nominal post-tax discount rate would also result in the recoverable amount equaling the carrying value.

The recoverable amounts for our coal operations and Quebrada Blanca significantly exceeded the carrying amounts at the date of our annual impairment test and as at December 31, 2013.

15. Trade Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts payable and accruals</td>
<td>$ 890</td>
<td>$ 792</td>
<td>$ 787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital project accruals</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll-related liabilities</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and government royalties</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current portion of provisions (Note 19(a))</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current derivative liabilities (Note 19)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,784</td>
<td>$ 1,468</td>
<td>$ 1,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Instrument</td>
<td>Carrying Value</td>
<td>Fair Value</td>
<td>Carrying Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0% notes due September 2012 (US$200 million) (a)</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$ –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.75% notes due May 2014 (US$530 million) (a)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.375% notes due October 2015 (US$300 million)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.25% notes due May 2016 (US$659 million) (a)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15% notes due January 2017 (US$300 million)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.85% notes due August 2017 (US$300 million)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% notes due February 2018 (US$500 million) (a)</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0% notes due March 2019 (US$500 million) (a)</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75% notes due May 2019 (US$1,043 million) (a)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5% notes due January 2021 (US$500 million)</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.75% notes due January 2022 (US$700 million)</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75% notes due February 2023 (US$750 million) (a)</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.125% notes due October 2035 (US$700 million)</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0% notes due August 2040 (US$650 million)</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.25% notes due July 2041 (US$1,000 million)</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2% notes due March 2042 (US$500 million) (a)</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4% notes due February 2043 (US$500 million) (a)</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antamina senior revolving credit facility due April 2015 (b)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,723</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,702</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,195</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less current portion of long term debt | (59) | (59) | (35) | (35) | (359) | (367) |

**Total Debt** | **$ 7,664** | **$ 7,643** | **$ 7,160** | **$ 7,923** | **$ 6,676** | **$ 7,772**
The fair values of debt are determined using market values, if available, and cash flows based on our cost of borrowing where market values are not available. The latter would be considered Level 2 fair value measurements with significant other observable inputs on the fair value hierarchy (Note 26).

All obligations under our notes are directly guaranteed by TML except for the 5.375% and 6.125% notes which are supported by an arrangement similar in effect to a guarantee pursuant to which the trustee under these notes will, in the event of a default under the governing indenture, have the right to make a demand against TML in an amount equal to the amount due under the notes.

a) Notes Issued and Retired in 2012

In February 2012, we issued US$500 million of senior unsecured notes due March 2019 and US$500 million of senior unsecured notes due March 2042. The 2019 notes bear interest at 3.00% per annum and were issued at 99.705% of face value. The 2042 notes bear interest at 5.20% per annum and were issued at 99.533% of face value.

In August 2012, we issued US$500 million of senior unsecured notes due February 2018, US$750 million of senior unsecured notes due February 2023 and US$500 million of senior unsecured notes due February 2043. The 2018 notes bear interest at 2.50% per annum and were issued at 99.690% of face value. The 2023 notes bear interest at 3.75% per annum and were issued at 99.188% of face value. The 2043 notes bear interest at 5.40% per annum and were issued at 99.808% of face value.

Net proceeds from these issues were US$2.7 billion after underwriting discounts and issue costs. The majority of the net proceeds, in addition to cash on hand, was used to redeem US$530 million of the 95% notes due 2014, US$659.5 million of the 10.25% notes due 2016, US$1.04 billion of the 10.75% notes due 2019 and to settle the 7.00% notes that matured in September 2012. The total payment, including the premium for the repurchase, was US$2.85 billion. We recorded an accounting charge of $965 million in 2012 in connection with the redemptions.

b) Antamina Facility

The Antamina revolving credit facility is our proportionate share of Antamina’s revolving term bank facility with full repayment due at maturity in 2015 and is the obligation of Antamina. The facility, which is denominated in U.S. dollars, is non-recourse to us and the other Antamina project sponsors; advances may be prepaid and re-borrowed during its term. The outstanding amount under the facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a margin.

c) Optional Redemptions

All of our outstanding notes are callable at any time by repaying the greater of the principal amount plus accrued interest and the present value of the principal and interest amounts discounted at a comparable treasury yield plus a stipulated spread. The 2023, 2042 and 2043 notes issued in 2012 are callable at 100% at any time on or after November 1, 2022, September 1, 2041 and August 1, 2042 respectively. The 2022 and 2041 notes issued in 2011 are callable at 100% at any time on or after October 15, 2021 and January 15, 2041 respectively. The 2021 notes are callable at 100% on or after October 15, 2020 and the 2040 notes are callable at 100% on or after February 15, 2040.

d) Revolving Facilities

At December 31, 2013, we had an undrawn US$2.0 billion committed revolving credit facility that is available until 2018. Any amounts drawn under the revolving credit facility can be repaid at any time, are due in full at maturity and are guaranteed by TML. Any outstanding amounts under the facility bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin based on our credit ratings. The facility requires that our reported total debt to total capitalization ratio not exceed 0.5 to 1. As at December 31, 2013, we were in compliance with all debt covenants and default provisions.
We also had a $150 million uncommitted demand revolving credit facility at December 31, 2013. Net of $56 million of letters of credit issued, the unused portion of this credit facility is $94 million, which is available in the form of cash borrowings or letters of credit. In addition, we have issued stand-alone letters of credit for $860 million at December 31, 2013, for environmental and other financial security requirements.

At December 31, 2013 we had pledged $113 million (2012 – $nil) as collateral for letters of credit. The cash held as collateral is available for our use upon five business days’ notice to the letter of credit issuer.

e) Scheduled Principal Payments

At December 31, 2013 the scheduled principal payments during the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>($ in millions)</th>
<th>US$</th>
<th>CAD$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>5,843</td>
<td>6,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,336</td>
<td>7,803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Income and Resource Taxes

a) Provision for Income and Resource Taxes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current taxes on profits for the year</td>
<td>$507</td>
<td>$524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments for current tax of prior periods</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current tax</td>
<td>$527</td>
<td>$517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination and reversal of temporary differences</td>
<td>$79</td>
<td>$293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to deferred tax of prior periods</td>
<td>(54)</td>
<td>(52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax losses not recognized (recognition of previously unrecognized losses)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of newly enacted change in tax rates</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deferred tax</td>
<td>$106</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | $633 | $767 |
**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012**

**17. Income and Resource Taxes (continued)**

b) Reconciliation of income and resource taxes calculated at the statutory rates to the actual tax provision is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tax expense at the Canadian statutory income tax rate of 25.95% (2012 – 25.15%)</td>
<td>$426</td>
<td>$480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax effect of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource taxes, net of resource and depletion allowances</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-temporary differences including one-half of capital gains and losses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax losses not recognized (recognition of previously unrecognized losses)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of newly enacted change in tax rates</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withholding taxes</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference in tax rates in foreign jurisdictions</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax settlements</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions to prior year estimates</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$633</strong></td>
<td><strong>$767</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Canadian statutory tax rate increased to 25.95% due to legislative changes.

c) The analysis of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected to be reversed after more than a year</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected to be reversed within a year</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>(136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$164</strong></td>
<td><strong>$204</strong></td>
<td><strong>$180</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected to be reversed after more than a year</td>
<td>$5,676</td>
<td>$5,599</td>
<td>$5,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected to be reversed within a year</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$5,908</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,581</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,339</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net deferred tax liabilities</td>
<td><strong>$5,744</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,377</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,159</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d) The amount of deferred tax expense charged (credited) to the income statement is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net operating loss carryforwards</td>
<td>$(209)</td>
<td>$119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital allowances in excess of depreciation</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provisions</td>
<td>(41)</td>
<td>(62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts relating to phase out of partnership deferrals</td>
<td>(86)</td>
<td>(236)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized foreign exchange losses</td>
<td>(65)</td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in associates</td>
<td>(122)</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withholding taxes</td>
<td>(75)</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other temporary differences</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 106</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 250</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


e) Temporary differences giving rise to deferred income and resource tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net operating loss carryforwards</td>
<td>$ 621</td>
<td>$ 569</td>
<td>$ 577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(466)</td>
<td>(137)</td>
<td>(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provisions</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts relating to phase out of partnership deferrals</td>
<td>(165)</td>
<td>(215)</td>
<td>(278)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized foreign exchange</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>(65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in associates</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(122)</td>
<td>(158)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other temporary differences</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 164</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 204</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 180</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net operating loss carryforwards</td>
<td>$(538)</td>
<td>$(505)</td>
<td>$(615)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>6,556</td>
<td>6,076</td>
<td>5,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning and restoration provisions</td>
<td>(266)</td>
<td>(256)</td>
<td>(188)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts relating to phase out of partnership deferrals</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withholding taxes</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other temporary differences</td>
<td>(121)</td>
<td>(122)</td>
<td>(116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax liabilities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,908</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,581</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,339</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f) The gross movement on the net deferred income tax account is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As at January 1</td>
<td>$ 5,377</td>
<td>$ 5,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income statement change</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts recognized in equity (Note 20(h))</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax charge relating to components of other comprehensive income</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange and other differences</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>(32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As at December 31</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,744</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,377</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Income and Resource Taxes (continued)

g) Deferred Tax Liabilities Not Recognized

Deferred tax liabilities of $430 million (2012 – $370 million) have not been recognized on the unremitted earnings of controlled subsidiaries as the timing of remittance for these earnings is in our control and it is probable that these earnings will not be repatriated for the foreseeable future.

h) Loss Carryforwards and Canadian Development Expenses

At December 31, 2013, we had $4.43 billion of Canadian federal net operating loss carryforwards (2012 – $4.26 billion). These loss carryforwards expire at various dates between 2014 and 2033. We also had $1.88 billion of cumulative Canadian development expenses at December 31, 2013 (2012 – $2.56 billion), which are deductible for income tax purposes on a declining balance basis at a maximum rate of 30% per year. The deferred tax benefits of these pools have been recognized.

i) Deferred Tax Assets Not Recognized

We have not recognized $232 million (2012 – $226 million) of deferred tax assets in jurisdictions and entities that do not have established sources of taxable income.

j) Sale of Fording Canadian Coal Trust Units

Subsequent to year end, the Canada Revenue Agency proposed that most of the gains realized in 2008 on the sale of our 19.95% interest in Fording Canadian Coal Trust at the time of our acquisition of the Trust’s assets should be taxed as income rather than capital gains. Although management remains confident that the gains were capital gains, the Canada Revenue Agency may nonetheless raise assessments on this basis. There can be no assurance that such assessments would not be upheld in whole or part, in which case up to approximately $900 million of additional income for tax purposes would reduce our existing tax pools resulting in an additional deferred tax liability of $235 million. In addition, cash interest of up to approximately $50 million could be due.

18. Retirement Benefit Plans

We have defined contribution pension plans for certain groups of employees. Our share of contributions to these plans is expensed in the year it is earned by the employee.

We have multiple defined benefit pension plans registered in various jurisdictions that provide benefits based principally on employees’ years of service. These plans are only available to certain qualifying employees. The plans are “flat-benefit” or “final-pay” plans and may provide for inflationary increases in accordance with certain plan provisions. All of our registered defined benefit pension plans are governed and administered in accordance with applicable pension legislation in either Canada or the United States. Actuarial valuations are performed at least every three years to determine minimum annual contribution requirements as prescribed by applicable legislation. For the majority of our plans, current service costs are funded based on a percentage of pensionable earnings or as a flat dollar amount per active member depending on the provisions of the pension plans. For these plans, deficits that are determined on an actuarial basis are funded over a period not to exceed five years. All of our defined benefit pension plans were actuarially valued within the past three years. While the majority of benefit payments are made from held-in-trust funds, there are also several unfunded plans where benefit payment obligations are met as they fall due.

We also have several post-retirement benefit plans which provide post-retirement medical, dental and life insurance benefits to certain qualifying employees and surviving spouses. These plans are unfunded and we meet benefit obligations as they come due.
### a) Actuarial Valuation of Plans

(CAD$ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</th>
<th>Non-Pension Post-Retirement Plans</th>
<th>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</th>
<th>Non-Pension Post-Retirement Plans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balanced at beginning of year</td>
<td>$1,984$</td>
<td>$500$</td>
<td>$1,821$</td>
<td>$412$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(103)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest expense</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligation experience adjustments</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>(54)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past service costs arising from plan improvements</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect from change in financial assumptions</td>
<td>(189)</td>
<td>(62)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect from change in demographic assumptions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency exchange rate changes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balanced at end of year</td>
<td>$1,851$</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of plan assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value at beginning of year</td>
<td>$1,729$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest income</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on plan assets, excluding amounts included in interest income</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid</td>
<td>(103)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by the employer</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign currency exchange rate changes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value at end of year</td>
<td>$1,991$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,729</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding surplus (deficit)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>(407)</td>
<td>(255)</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of the asset ceiling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at beginning of year</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in asset ceiling</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance at end of year</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net accrued retirement benefit asset (liability)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>(407)</td>
<td>(255)</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Represented by:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension assets (Note 11)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued retirement benefit liability</td>
<td>(72)</td>
<td>(407)</td>
<td>(260)</td>
<td>(500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net accrued retirement benefit asset (liability)</td>
<td>$39</td>
<td>$ (407)</td>
<td>$(255)</td>
<td>$(500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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18. Retirement Benefit Plans (continued)

A number of the plans have a surplus totaling $101 million at December 31, 2013, which is not recognized on the basis that future economic benefits are not available to us in the form of a reduction in future contributions or a cash refund.

We expect to contribute $64 million to our defined benefit pension plans in 2014 based on minimum funding requirements. The weighted average duration of the defined benefit obligation is 14 years.

Defined contribution expense for 2013 was $34 million (2012 – $33 million).

b) Significant Assumptions

The discount rate used to determine the defined benefit obligations and the net interest cost was determined by reference to the market yields on high-quality debt instruments at the measurement date with durations similar to the duration of the expected cash flows of the plans.

Weighted average assumptions used to calculate the defined benefit obligation at the end of each year are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defined Benefit Pension Plans</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Pension Post-Retirement Benefit Plans</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>4.65%</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of increase in future compensation</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial medical trend rate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.00%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultimate medical trend rate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years to reach ultimate medical trend rate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Sensitivity of the defined benefit obligation to changes in the weighted average assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect on Defined Benefit Obligation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Assumption</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in Assumption</td>
<td>Decrease by 12%</td>
<td>Increase by 1%</td>
<td>Increase by 14%</td>
<td>Decrease by 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in Assumption</td>
<td>Increase by 2%</td>
<td>Decrease by 2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above sensitivity analyses are based on a change in each actuarial assumption while holding all other assumptions constant. The sensitivity analyses on our defined benefit obligation are calculated using the same methods as that used for calculating the defined benefit obligation recognized on our balance sheet.
d) Mortality Assumptions

Assumptions regarding future mortality are set based on management’s best estimate in accordance with published mortality tables and expected experience. These assumptions translate into the following average life expectancies for an employee retiring at age 65:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retiring at December 31, 2013</td>
<td>84.8 years</td>
<td>87.1 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiring at December 31, 2033</td>
<td>86.3 years</td>
<td>87.9 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) Significant Risks

The defined benefit pension plans and post-retirement benefit plans expose us to a number of risks, the most significant of which include asset volatility risk, changes in bond yields and life expectancy.

Asset Volatility Risk

The discount rate used to determine the defined benefit obligations is based on AA-rated corporate bond yields. If our plan assets underperform this yield, the deficit will increase. Our strategic asset allocation includes a significant proportion of equities that increases volatility in the value of our assets, particularly in the short term. We expect equities to outperform corporate bonds in the long term.

Changes in Bond Yields

A decrease in bond yields increases plan liabilities, which is partially offset by an increase in the value of the plans’ bond holdings.

Life Expectancy

The majority of the plans’ obligations are to provide benefits for the life of the member. Increases in life expectancy will result in an increase in the plans’ liabilities.

f) Investment of Plan Assets

The assets of our defined benefit pension plans are managed by external asset managers under the oversight of the Teck Resources Limited Executive Pension Committee.

Our pension plan investment strategies support the objectives of each defined benefit plan and are related to each plan’s demographics and timing of expected benefit payments to plan members. The objective for the plan asset portfolios is to achieve annualized portfolio returns over rolling four-year periods in excess of the annualized percentage change in the Consumer Price Index plus a certain premium.

Strategic asset allocation policies have been developed for each defined benefit plan to achieve this objective. The policies also reflect an asset/liability matching framework that seeks to reduce the effect of interest rate changes on each plan’s funded status by matching the duration of the bond investments with the duration of the pension liabilities. We do not use derivatives to manage interest risk. Asset allocation is monitored at least quarterly and rebalanced if the allocation to any asset class exceeds its allowable allocation range. Portfolio and investment manager performance is monitored quarterly and the investment guidelines for each plan are reviewed at least annually.
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18. Retirement Benefit Plans (continued)

The defined benefit pension plan assets at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quoted</td>
<td>Unquoted</td>
<td>Total %</td>
<td>Quoted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity securities</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate and other</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provisions (a)</td>
<td>$ 1,079</td>
<td>$ 1,399</td>
<td>$ 1,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative liabilities (net of current portion of $3 million, December 31, 2012 – $4 million and January 1, 2012 – $4 million)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,158</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,470</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,495</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Provisions

The following table summarizes the movements in provisions for the year ended December 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Decommissioning and Restoration Provisions</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At January 1, 2013</td>
<td>$ 1,389</td>
<td>$ 55</td>
<td>$ 1,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settled during the year</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in discount rate</td>
<td>(341)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(343)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in amount and timing of cash flows</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwinding of discount</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in accounting method for Fort Hills (Note 12)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange differences</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2013</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less current provisions</td>
<td>(62)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current provisions</td>
<td><strong>$ 1,027</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 52</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,079</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Decommissioning and Restoration Provisions**

The decommissioning and restoration provision represents the present value of estimated costs for required future decommissioning and other site restoration activities. The majority of the decommissioning and site restoration expenditures occur at the end of the life of the related operation. Remaining lives of mines and infrastructure range from three years to over 100 years. Therefore, it is anticipated that these costs will be incurred over a period in excess of 100 years. In 2013, the decommissioning and restoration provision was calculated using nominal discount rates between 6.00% and 7.125%. We also used an inflation rate of 2.00% in our cash flow estimates. The decommissioning and restoration provision includes $117 million (December 31, 2012 – $111 million and January 1, 2012 – $126 million) in respect of closed operations.

**20. Equity**

a) Authorized Share Capital

Our authorized share capital consists of an unlimited number of Class A common shares without par value, an unlimited number of Class B subordinate voting shares ("Class B shares") without par value and an unlimited number of preferred shares without par value issuable in series.

Class A common shares carry the right to 100 votes per share. Class B shares carry the right to one vote per share. Each Class A common share is convertible, at the option of the holder, into one Class B share. In all other respects, the Class A common shares and Class B shares rank equally.

The attributes of the Class B shares contain so-called “coattail provisions,” which generally provide that, in the event that an offer (an “Exclusionary Offer”) to purchase Class A common shares, which is required to be made to all or substantially all holders thereof, is not made concurrently with an offer to purchase Class B shares on identical terms, then each Class B share will be convertible into one Class A common share.

The Class B shares will not be convertible in the event that an Exclusionary Offer is not accepted by holders of a majority of the Class A common shares (excluding those shares held by the person making the Exclusionary Offer). If an offer to purchase Class A common shares does not, under applicable securities legislation or the requirements of any stock exchange having jurisdiction, constitute a “takeover bid,” or is otherwise exempt from any requirement that such offer be made to all or substantially all holders of Class A common shares, the coattail provisions will not apply.

b) Class A Common Shares and Class B Subordinate Voting Shares Issued and Outstanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shares (in 000's)</th>
<th>Class A Common Shares</th>
<th>Class B Subordinate Voting Shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2011</td>
<td>9,353</td>
<td>577,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options exercised (c)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired and cancelled pursuant to normal course issuer bids (e)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(4,479)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2012</td>
<td>9,353</td>
<td>572,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Options exercised (c)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquired and cancelled pursuant to normal course issuer bids (e)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(6,233)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At December 31, 2013</td>
<td>9,353</td>
<td>566,905</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c) Share Options

Under our current share option plan, 10 million Class B shares have been set aside for the grant of share options to full-time employees, of which 5.8 million remain available for grant. The exercise price for each option is the closing price for our Class B shares on the last trading day before the date of grant. Our share options are settled through the issuance of Class B shares.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we granted 2,170,862 Class B share options at market prices to employees. These share options have a weighted average exercise price of $33.02, vest in equal amounts over three years and have a term of 10 years.

The weighted average fair value of Class B share options granted in the year was estimated at $9.77 per option (2012 – $12.15) at the grant date based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average exercise price</td>
<td>$33.02</td>
<td>$39.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend yield</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>2.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-free interest rate</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected option life</td>
<td>4.2 years</td>
<td>4.2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected volatility</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeiture rate</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
<td>2.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The expected volatility is based on a statistical analysis of daily share prices over the expected option life.

Outstanding share options are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013 Shares (in 000’s)</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
<th>2012 Shares (in 000’s)</th>
<th>Weighted Average Exercise Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at beginning of year</td>
<td>6,853</td>
<td>$32.65</td>
<td>5,768</td>
<td>$30.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>2,171</td>
<td>33.02</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>39.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercised</td>
<td>(225)</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>(188)</td>
<td>8.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(240)</td>
<td>39.26</td>
<td>(172)</td>
<td>43.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expired</td>
<td>(241)</td>
<td>37.11</td>
<td>(80)</td>
<td>39.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding at end of year</td>
<td>8,318</td>
<td>$33.19</td>
<td>6,853</td>
<td>$32.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vested and exercisable at end of year</td>
<td>5,102</td>
<td>$30.87</td>
<td>4,471</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average share price during the year was $28.02 (2012 – $33.74), with the highest share price at $37.85 (2012 – $43.40) and the lowest share price at $21.18 (2012 – $26.48).
Information relating to share options outstanding at December 31, 2013 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outstanding Share Options (in 000’s)</th>
<th>Exercise Price Range</th>
<th>Weighted Average Remaining Life of Outstanding Options (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,269</td>
<td>$ 4.15 – $ 12.35</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$ 12.36 – $ 33.19</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,232</td>
<td>$ 33.20 – $ 35.53</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,916</td>
<td>$ 35.54 – $ 49.17</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>801</td>
<td>$ 49.18 – $ 58.80</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,318</td>
<td>$ 4.15 – $ 58.80</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total share option compensation expense recognized for the year was $18 million (2012 – $16 million).

d) Deferred Share Units and Restricted Share Units

Under our Deferred Share Unit (“DSU”) or Restricted Share Unit (“RSU”) plan, directors and employees may receive either DSUs or RSUs, each of which entitle the holder to a cash payment equal to the market value of one Class B share at the time they are redeemed. Deferred and restricted share units issued vest immediately for directors and vest in three years for employees. On retirement the units are pro-rated to reflect the period of vesting completed. Units vest on a pro-rata basis should employees be terminated without cause and are forfeited if employees resign or are terminated with cause.

DSUs may only be redeemed within 12 months from the date a holder ceases to be an employee or director, while RSUs must vest no later than three years measured from the date of the grant.

Additional units are issued to holders of DSUs and RSUs to reflect dividends paid on Class B subordinate voting shares and other adjustments to Class B shares.

Total DSU and RSU activity is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DSUs and RSUs (in 000’s)</td>
<td>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total units at beginning of year</td>
<td>2,112 $33.35</td>
<td>1,957 $30.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granted</td>
<td>780 $32.61</td>
<td>683 $39.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forfeited</td>
<td>(91) 39.46</td>
<td>(73) 43.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redeemed</td>
<td>(362) 53.38</td>
<td>(518) 29.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends and other adjustments</td>
<td>94 32.02</td>
<td>63 32.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total units at end of year</td>
<td>2,533 $29.99</td>
<td>2,112 $33.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2013, we recognized compensation costs of $4 million for our DSUs and RSUs (2012 – $18 million). The total liability for vested DSUs and RSUs as at December 31, 2013 was $50 million (December 31, 2012 – $55 million and January 1, 2012 – $56 million). The fair value of the DSUs and RSUs is based on the December 31, 2013 closing price of our Class B shares.

At December 31, 2013, 1,415,621 DSUs (2012 – 1,293,778) and 1,117,841 RSUs (2012 – 818,314) were outstanding.
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20. Equity (continued)

e) Normal Course Issuer Bid

On occasion, we purchase and cancel Class B subordinate voting shares pursuant to normal course issuer bids that allow us to purchase up to a specified maximum number of shares over a one-year period.

Our current normal course issuer bid, which commenced on June 28, 2013, allows us to purchase up to 20 million Class B subordinate voting shares until June 27, 2014 or an earlier date if we complete such purchases. No shares have been repurchased pursuant to our current issuer bid.

f) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Losses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) — beginning of year</td>
<td>$ (39)</td>
<td>$ 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currency translation differences:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains (losses) on translation of foreign subsidiaries</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>(195)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign exchange differences on debt designated as a hedge of our investment in foreign subsidiaries (net of taxes of $64 and $(21))</td>
<td>(431)</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>142</td>
<td>(49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available-for-sale financial assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains (losses) (net of taxes of $(2) and $(1))</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gains reclassified to profit (net of taxes of $2 and $3)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains (losses) (net of taxes of $5 and $(2))</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses (gains) reclassified to profit on realization (net of taxes of $(4) and $2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurements of retirement benefit plans (net of taxes of $(110) and $22)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>(48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>(101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less remeasurements of retirement benefit plans recorded in retained earnings</td>
<td>(221)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) — end of year</td>
<td>$ 106</td>
<td>$ (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Currency translation differences</td>
<td>$ 103</td>
<td>$ (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized gains on available-for-sale financial assets (net of taxes of $nil and $nil)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges (net of taxes of $1 and $nil)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)</td>
<td>$ 106</td>
<td>$ (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributed to:

| Shareholders of the company                           | $ 104| $ (35)|
| Non-controlling interests                             | 2    | (4)  |
| Total                                                  | $ 106| $ (39)|

**g) Earnings Per Share**

The following table reconciles our basic and diluted earnings per share:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions, except per share data)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net basic and diluted profit attributable to shareholders of the company</td>
<td>$ 961</td>
<td>$ 1,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average shares outstanding (000's)</td>
<td>578,299</td>
<td>585,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilutive effect of share options</td>
<td>1,166</td>
<td>1,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted average diluted shares outstanding</td>
<td>579,465</td>
<td>586,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 1.66</td>
<td>$ 1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diluted earnings per share</td>
<td>$ 1.66</td>
<td>$ 1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2013, there were 6,949,016 (2012 – 5,013,079) potentially dilutive shares that have not been included in the diluted earnings per share calculation for the periods presented because their effect is anti-dilutive.
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20. Equity (continued)

h) Provision for Tax Benefit

In 2013, the Canada Revenue Agency issued a proposed adjustment to our 2006 taxable income that would deny a deduction of $346 million claimed in relation to a premium paid on the redemption of our Cominco exchangeable debentures. The proposed adjustment would reduce the loss carryforward pools available to us to reduce taxes payable in the future rather than have an immediate cash effect. In light of the uncertainty raised by the proposed adjustment and as the original amount was credited directly to equity, we recognized a provision at December 31, 2013 of $124 million which has also been charged directly to equity.

i) Dividends

We declared dividends of $0.45 per share in the second and fourth quarters of 2013 and $0.40 and $0.45 per share in the second and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively. Dividends of $0.45 per share with a record date of December 16, 2013 were paid in January 2014.

21. Non-Controlling Interests

Set out below is information about our subsidiaries with non-controlling interests and the non-controlling interest balances included in equity for all comparative periods presented:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Place of Business</th>
<th>Percentage of Ownership Interest and Voting Rights Held by Non-Controlling Interest</th>
<th>December 31, 2013</th>
<th>December 31, 2012</th>
<th>January 1, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highland Valley Copper</td>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td>$ 33</td>
<td>$ 32</td>
<td>$ 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmen de Andacollo</td>
<td>Region IV, Chile</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebrada Blanca</td>
<td>Region I, Chile</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkview Mine Limited</td>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 214</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 189</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 172</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(CAD$ in millions)
22. Contingencies

We consider provisions for all our outstanding and pending legal claims to be adequate. The final outcome with respect to actions outstanding or pending as at December 31, 2013, or with respect to future claims, cannot be predicted with certainty. Significant contingencies not disclosed elsewhere in the notes to our financial statements are as follows:

Upper Columbia River Basin

TAI continues studies under the 2006 settlement agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to conduct a remedial investigation on the Upper Columbia River in Washington State.

The Lake Roosevelt litigation involving TML in the Federal District Court for the Eastern District of Washington continues. In September 2012, TML entered into an agreement with the plaintiffs, agreeing that certain facts were established for purposes of the litigation. The agreement stipulates that some portion of the slag discharged from our Trail Operations into the Columbia River between 1896 and 1995, and some portion of the effluent discharged from Trail Operations, have been transported to and are present in the Upper Columbia River in the United States, and that some hazardous substances from the slag and effluent have been released into the environment within the United States. In December 2012, the court found in favour of the plaintiffs in phase one of the case, issuing a declaratory judgment that TML is liable under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA") for response costs, the amount of which will be determined in a subsequent phase of the case.

In October 2013, the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation filed an omnibus motion with the District Court seeking an order stating that they are permitted to seek recovery from TML for environmental response costs, and, in a subsequent proceeding, natural resource damages and assessment costs, arising from the alleged deposition of hazardous substances in the United States from aerial emissions from TML’s Trail Operations. Prior allegations by the Tribes related solely to solid and liquid materials discharged to the Columbia River. The motion does not state the amount of response costs allegedly attributable to aerial emissions, nor did it attempt to define the extent of natural resource damages, if any, attributable to past smelter operations. In December 2013, the District Court ruled in favour of the plaintiffs.

A hearing with respect to liability in connection with air emissions and past response costs is now expected to take place in December 2015 and a subsequent hearing, with respect to claims for natural resource damages and assessment costs, is expected to follow, assuming the remedial investigation and feasibility study being undertaken by TAI are completed, which is now expected to occur in 2017.

There is no assurance that we will ultimately be successful in our defence of the litigation or that we or our affiliates will not be faced with further liability in relation to this matter. Until the studies contemplated by the EPA settlement agreement and additional damage assessments are completed, it is not possible to estimate the extent and cost, if any, of remediation or restoration that may be required or to assess our potential liability for damages. The studies may conclude, on the basis of risk, cost, technical feasibility or other grounds, that no remediation should be undertaken. If remediation is required and damage to resources found, the cost of remediation may be material.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

23. Commitments

a) Capital Commitments

As at December 31, 2013, we had contracted for $1.2 billion of capital expenditures that have not yet been incurred for the purchase of property, plant and equipment. This amount includes $721 million for our share of Fort Hills capital commitments.

b) Operating Lease Commitments

We lease office premises, mobile equipment and railcars under operating leases. The lease terms are between one year and 10 years.

The future aggregate minimum lease payments under non-cancellable operating leases are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than one year</td>
<td>$ 50</td>
<td>$ 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 5 years</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thereafter</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 133</td>
<td>$ 148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lease rentals amounting to $10 million (2012 – $9 million) for office premises, $37 million (2012 – $26 million) for mobile equipment and $8 million (2012 – $8 million) for railcars are included in the statement of income.

c) Red Dog Commitments

In accordance with the operating agreement governing the Red Dog mine, TAK pays a royalty to NANA Regional Corporation Inc. (“NANA”) on the net proceeds of production. A 25% royalty became payable in the third quarter of 2007 after we had recovered cumulative advance royalties previously paid to NANA. The net proceeds of production royalty rate will increase by 5% every fifth year to a maximum of 50%. The increase to 30% of net proceeds of production occurred in 2012. An expense of US$120 million was recorded in 2013 (2012 – US$137 million) in respect of this royalty.

TAK leases road and port facilities from the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority through which it ships all concentrates produced at the Red Dog operation. The lease requires TAK to pay a minimum annual user fee of US$18 million for the next 27 years.

d) Antamina Royalty

Our interest in the Antamina mine is subject to a net profits royalty equivalent to 7.4% of our share of the mine’s free cash flow. An expense of $19 million was recorded in 2013 (2012 – $7 million) in respect of this royalty.

e) Purchase Commitments

We have a number of forward purchase commitments for the purchase of concentrates, for shipping and distribution of products and for other process inputs, which are incurred in the normal course of business. In 2012 and 2013, we entered into arrangements for the purchase of power in future periods for the expansion of our Quebrada Blanca Operations. The majority of these contracts are subject to force majeure provisions.

f) Sale of Interest in Gold Reserves and Resources

In 2010, Carmen de Andacollo sold an interest in the gold reserves and resources of the Carmen de Andacollo Operation to Royal Gold. Under the agreement, Royal Gold is entitled to 75% of the payable gold produced until total cumulative production reaches 910,000 ounces of gold, and 50% thereafter.
### 24. Segmented Information

Based on the primary products we produce and our development projects, we have five reportable segments — copper, coal, zinc, energy and corporate — which is the way we report information to our Chief Executive Officer. The corporate segment includes all of our initiatives in other commodities, our corporate growth activities and groups that provide administrative, technical, financial and other support to all of our business units. Other operating expenses include general and administration costs, exploration, research and development, and other operating income (expense). Sales between segments are carried out at arm’s-length prices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>December 31, 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment revenues</td>
<td>$2,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Inter-segment revenues</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>2,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income (expenses)</td>
<td>(151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit from operations</td>
<td>837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net finance expense</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating income (expenses)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses of associates</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before tax</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures</td>
<td>1,266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$9,464</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 24. Segmented Information (continued)

#### (CAD$ in millions)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Copper</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Corporate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>December 31, 2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment revenues</td>
<td>$3,142</td>
<td>$4,647</td>
<td>$2,764</td>
<td>$4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$10,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Inter-segment revenues</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(214)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(214)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>3,142</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>1,240</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income (expenses)</td>
<td>(39)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(227)</td>
<td>(282)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit from operations</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td>1,890</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(227)</td>
<td>3,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net finance expense</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(408)</td>
<td>(477)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating income (expenses)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(848)</td>
<td>(848)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of losses of associates</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before tax</td>
<td>1,187</td>
<td>1,858</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(1,487)</td>
<td>1,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$8,163</td>
<td>$17,692</td>
<td>$4,656</td>
<td>$1,828</td>
<td>$2,716</td>
<td>$35,055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Copper</th>
<th>Coal</th>
<th>Zinc</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Corporate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>January 1, 2012</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>$444</td>
<td>$1,203</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$1,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$7,564</td>
<td>$17,186</td>
<td>$4,939</td>
<td>$1,152</td>
<td>$3,372</td>
<td>$34,213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The geographical distribution of our non-current assets is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>$22,260</td>
<td>$21,057</td>
<td>$19,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>5,421</td>
<td>4,766</td>
<td>4,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,503</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,402</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,506</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-current assets attributed to geographical locations exclude deferred tax assets and financial and other assets.

Revenues are attributed to regions based on the location of the customer and are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>$2,458</td>
<td>$2,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1,461</td>
<td>1,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$9,382</td>
<td>$10,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Americas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>1,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,199</td>
<td>$2,477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Europe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>1,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$1,568</td>
<td>$1,853</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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25. Accounting for Financial Instruments

a) Financial Risk Management

Our activities expose us to a variety of financial risks, which include liquidity risk, foreign exchange risk, interest rate risk, commodity price risk, credit risk and other risks associated with capital markets. From time to time, we may use foreign exchange, commodity price and interest rate contracts to manage exposure to fluctuations in these variables. We do not have a practice of trading derivatives. Our use of derivatives is based on established practices and parameters to mitigate risk and is subject to the oversight of our Hedging Committee and our Board of Directors.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk arises from our general and capital financing needs. We have planning, budgeting and forecasting processes to help determine our funding requirements to meet various contractual and other obligations. Note 16 details our available credit facilities as at December 31, 2013.

Contractual undiscounted cash flow requirements for financial liabilities as at December 31, 2013 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Less Than 1 Year</th>
<th>2–3 Years</th>
<th>4–5 Years</th>
<th>More Than 5 Years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts payable, accrued liabilities and dividends payable</td>
<td>$2,043</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$2,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt (Note 16(e))</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>1,175</td>
<td>6,214</td>
<td>7,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated interest payments on debt</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$717</td>
<td>$656</td>
<td>$4,798</td>
<td>$6,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Foreign Exchange Risk

We operate on an international basis and therefore, foreign exchange risk exposures arise from transactions denominated in a foreign currency. Our foreign exchange risk arises primarily with respect to the U.S. dollar and to a lesser extent, the Chilean peso. Our cash flows from Canadian and Chilean operations are exposed to foreign exchange risk as commodity sales are denominated in U.S. dollars and the majority of operating expenses are denominated in local currencies.

We hedge a portion of our U.S. dollar denominated future cash flows on a quarterly basis with U.S. dollar forward sales contracts. We have elected not to actively manage other foreign exchange exposures at this time.

We also have various investments in U.S. dollar foreign operations, whose net assets are exposed to foreign currency translation risk. This currency exposure is managed in part through our U.S. dollar denominated debt as a hedge against net investments in foreign operations. As at December 31, 2013, $7.2 billion of U.S. dollar debt was designated in this manner.
U.S. dollar financial instruments subject to foreign exchange risk are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(US$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$476</td>
<td>$17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable and other assets</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable</td>
<td>(359)</td>
<td>(305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. dollar forward sales contracts not designated as hedging instruments</td>
<td>(235)</td>
<td>(257)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. dollar forward sales contracts designated as cash flow hedges</td>
<td>(245)</td>
<td>(295)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term debt</td>
<td>(7,200)</td>
<td>(7,200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net investment in foreign operations</td>
<td>7,716</td>
<td>8,959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net U.S. dollar assets exposed $659 $1,504

As at December 31, 2013, with other variables unchanged, a $0.10 strengthening (weakening) of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar would have a $39 million (2012 – $71 million) decrease (increase) on profit before tax resulting from our financial instruments. There would also be a $25 million (2012 – $30 million) increase (decrease) in other comprehensive income from our U.S. dollar forward sales contracts designated as cash flow hedges and a $52 million (2012 – $176 million) decrease (increase) in other comprehensive income from the translation of our foreign operations.

Interest Rate Risk

Our interest rate risk mainly arises from our cash and cash equivalents. Our interest rate management policy is generally to borrow at fixed rates. However, floating rate funding may be used to fund short term operating cash flow requirements or, in conjunction with fixed to floating interest rate swaps, be used to offset interest rate risk from our cash. The fair value of fixed-rate debt fluctuates with changes in market interest rates, but the cash flows, denominated in U.S. dollars, do not.

Cash and cash equivalents have short terms to maturity and receive interest based on market interest rates.

As at December 31, 2013, with other variables unchanged, a 1% change in the LIBOR rate would have a minimal effect (2012 – minimal) on profit. There would be no effect on other comprehensive income.
Commodity Price Risk

We are subject to price risk from fluctuations in market prices of the commodities that we produce. From time to time, we may use commodity price contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices. At the balance sheet date, we had copper, zinc and lead forward contracts outstanding as described in Note 25(b) below.

Our commodity price risk associated with financial instruments primarily relates to changes in fair value caused by final pricing adjustments to receivables and payables and forward contracts for copper, zinc and lead.

The following represents the effect on pre-tax profit attributable to shareholders from a 10% increase to commodity prices, based on outstanding receivables and payables subject to pricing adjustments at December 31, 2013. There is no effect on other comprehensive income.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Price on December 31, 2013/12 ($/lb)</th>
<th>Increase in Profit Attributable to Shareholders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>US$0.94/lbUS$0.93/lb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>US$1.01/lbUS$1.06/lb</td>
<td>2013 $1 2012 $2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Credit Risk

Credit risk arises from the non-performance by counterparties of contractual financial obligations. Our primary counterparties related to our cash, money market investments and derivative contracts carry investment grade ratings as assessed by external rating agencies. There is ongoing review to evaluate the creditworthiness of these counterparties.

We manage credit risk for trade and other receivables through established credit monitoring activities. The only significant concentration of credit risk with any single counterparty or group of counterparties relates to our investments in U.S. Government securities. Our maximum exposure to credit risk at the reporting date is the carrying value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables and derivative assets. While we are exposed to credit losses due to the non-performance of our counterparties, we do not consider this to be a material risk.

b) Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedges

Sale and Purchase Contracts

Sales and purchases of metals in concentrates and cathodes are recognized on a provisional pricing basis when title transfers and the rights and obligations of ownership pass to the customer, which usually occurs on shipment. However, the final pricing for the product sold and purchased is not determined at that time as it is contractually linked to market prices at a subsequent date. These arrangements have the characteristics of a derivative instrument as the value of our receivables and payables will vary as prices for the underlying commodities vary in the metal markets. These pricing adjustments result in gains (losses from purchases) in a rising price environment and losses (gains for purchases) in a declining price environment and are recorded as other operating income (expense). The profit effect of gains and losses on these contracts is mitigated by smelter price participation, royalty interests, taxes and non-controlling interests. It should be noted that while these effects arise on the sale of concentrates, we also purchase concentrates at our Trail Operation where the opposite effects occur.
The table below outlines our outstanding receivable and payable positions, which were provisionally valued at December 31, 2013 and at December 31, 2012, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Pounds in millions)</th>
<th>Outstanding at December 31, 2013</th>
<th>Outstanding at December 31, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pounds</td>
<td>US$/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receivable positions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>$3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payable positions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc payable</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead payable</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At December 31, 2013, total outstanding settlements receivable were $695 million and total outstanding settlements payable were $42 million, which are included in trade accounts receivable and trade accounts payable, respectively, on the consolidated balance sheet.

**Economic Hedge Contracts**

We enter into commodity forward sales and purchase contracts to mitigate the risk of price changes for a portion of our concentrate purchases and refined metal sales. These contracts effectively lock in prices for a portion of our smelter sales. We do not apply hedge accounting to these commodity forward sales contracts.

Certain customers purchase concentrate and refined metal products at fixed forward prices from our operations. Forward purchase commitments for these metal products are matched to specific fixed price sales commitments to customers.

The fair value of our fixed commodity forward sale and purchase contracts is calculated using a discounted cash flow method based on forward metal prices. A summary of our free-standing derivative contracts and related fair values as at December 31, 2013 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fair Value Asset (Liability) (CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Average Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward sales contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>4.4 million lbs</td>
<td>US$0.90/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>5.0 million lbs</td>
<td>US$0.98/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>6.2 million lbs</td>
<td>US$3.21/lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. dollar</td>
<td>US$235 million</td>
<td>CAD$/US$1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward purchase contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>20.3 million lbs</td>
<td>US$0.96/lb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges** |          |               |
| U.S. dollar forward sales contracts | US$245 million | CAD$/US$1.06 | $ (2.4) |

All free-standing derivative contracts mature in 2014.
### 25. Accounting for Financial Instruments (continued)

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments are recorded in trade accounts receivable in the amount of $1 million and trade accounts payable and accrued liabilities in the amount of $2 million on the consolidated balance sheet.

In addition to the above, one of our road and port contracts contains a contingent zinc escalation payment that is considered to be an embedded derivative. The fair value of this embedded derivative was $6 million at December 31, 2013 (2012 – $7 million), and is included in other liabilities and provisions on the consolidated balance sheet.

#### Prepayment Rights on Notes Due 2016 and 2019

Our 2016 and 2019 notes (Note 16(a)) included prepayment rights that were considered to be embedded derivatives. These notes were redeemed and, therefore, the embedded derivatives were extinguished in 2012.

#### Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc Forward Sales and Purchases</td>
<td>Copper Forward Sales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other operating income (expense)</td>
<td>$3</td>
<td>$(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of gain recognized in non-operating income</td>
<td>$–</td>
<td>$–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gains and losses on U.S. dollar forward sales are included in foreign exchange gains (losses) in non-operating income (expense) (Note 8).
Hedges

Cash flow hedges

At December 31, 2013, U.S. dollar forward sales contracts with a notional amount of US$245 million remained outstanding. The contracts matured in early 2014. These contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of a portion of our future cash flows from anticipated U.S. dollar coal sales. We have determined that they are highly effective hedges from inception to December 31, 2013.

Unrealized gains and losses on our U.S. dollar forward sales contracts designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses on these settled contracts are recorded in revenue.

The following table provides information regarding the effect of U.S. dollar forward sales contracts that are derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges on our consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income in 2013 and 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion)</td>
<td>$ (18)</td>
<td>$ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income (effective portion)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net investment hedge

Our hedges of net investments in foreign operations were effective, and no ineffectiveness was recognized in profit for the period.

26. Fair Value Measurements

Certain of our financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Certain non-financial assets and liabilities may also be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. There are three levels of the fair value hierarchy that prioritize the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value, with Level 1 inputs having the highest priority. The levels and the valuation techniques used to value our financial assets and liabilities are described below:

Level 1 — Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets

Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Marketable equity securities are valued using quoted market prices in active markets. Accordingly, these items are included in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.
26. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Level 2 — Significant Other Observable Inputs

Quoted prices in markets that are not active, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Derivative instruments are included in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy as they are valued using pricing models or discounted cash flow models. These models require a variety of inputs, including, but not limited to, contractual terms, market prices, forward price curves, yield curves, and credit spreads. These inputs are obtained from or corroborated with the market where possible. Also included in Level 2 are settlements receivable and settlements payable from provisional pricing on concentrate sales and purchases because they are valued using quoted market prices for forward curves for copper, zinc and lead.

Level 3 — Significant Unobservable Inputs

Unobservable (supported by little or no market activity) prices.

We include investments in certain debt securities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy because they trade infrequently and have little price transparency. We review the fair value of these instruments periodically and estimate an impairment charge based on management’s best estimates, which are unobservable inputs.

The fair values of our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets</td>
<td>$ 260</td>
<td>$ –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketable equity securities</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements receivable</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative instruments</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 260</td>
<td>$ 696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial liabilities</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$ 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivative instruments</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements payable</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ –</td>
<td>$ 52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For our non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, no fair value measurements were made during the years ended December 31, 2013 or 2012.
27. Capital Risk Management

The capital we manage is the total of equity and debt on our balance sheet. Our capital management objectives are to maintain access to the capital we require to operate and grow our business, while minimizing the cost of such capital. Our debt is rated investment grade by independent rating agencies that assess, among other things, our ability to meet our interest and principal obligations and our financial policies. These policies include a target debt to debt-plus-equity ratio of approximately 30% and a target ratio of debt to EBITDA of approximately 2.5. These ratios are expected to vary from their target levels from time to time, reflecting commodity price cycles and corporate activity, including the development of major projects. We also maintain a revolving credit facility that is committed from highly rated banks to ensure liquidity.

As at December 31, 2013, our debt to debt-plus-equity ratio was 29% (2012 – 29%) and our debt to EBITDA ratio was 2.5 (2012 – 2.6). We manage the risk of not meeting our financial targets through the issuance and repayment of debt and issuance of equity capital as well as through the ongoing management of operations, investments and capital expenditures.

28. Key Management Compensation

The compensation for key management, which includes our directors and senior vice presidents, in respect of employee services is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries, director fees and other short term benefits</td>
<td>$16</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-employment benefits</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share-based compensation</td>
<td>$11</td>
<td>$12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$28</strong></td>
<td><strong>$31</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. Adoption of New and Amended IFRS Pronouncements

We have adopted the new and amended IFRS pronouncements listed below as at January 1, 2013, in accordance with the transitional provisions outlined in the respective standards.

a) Pronouncements Affecting Our Financial Results

The adoption of the following new and amended IFRS pronouncements has resulted in adjustments to previously reported figures as outlined below.

i) Post-employment benefits

We adopted the amended version of IAS 19, Employee Benefits (“IAS 19”) on January 1, 2013 with retrospective application. IAS 19 does not require an entity to present comparative sensitivity information for the disclosure requirements in the year of adoption.

For defined benefit plans, the amendments to IAS 19 eliminate the option to defer actuarial gains and losses on the balance sheet through the "corridor method." The amendments to IAS 19 to eliminate the corridor method and the requirement to recognize remeasurement gains or losses in other comprehensive income did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements as we had not adopted this policy under the previous IAS 19. The amendments also require any remeasurement gains or losses, including actuarial gains and losses, to be recognized immediately.
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29. Adoption of New and Amended IFRS Pronouncements (continued)

and presented in other comprehensive income, eliminating the option to recognize and present these amounts through the income statement. The amendments to IAS 19 require one discount rate be applied to the net defined benefit asset or liability for the purposes of determining the interest element of the defined benefit cost and require the recognition of unvested past service cost awards in profit immediately. There is also a requirement to change the presentation of finance income and finance expense to present both as a net finance expense (income) amount in the consolidated financial statements. Additional disclosures are required to present more information about the characteristics, amounts recognized and risks related to defined benefit plans.

We have analyzed the amendments to IAS 19 and calculated the effect of these amendments on our comparative consolidated financial statements for 2012. On the date of our earliest period presented, January 1, 2012, we expensed unamortized past service costs through equity. For the comparative periods presented, we reversed the amortization of past service costs and applied one discount rate to the net defined benefit asset or liability to determine the interest element of the defined benefit cost. The tables in Note 29(d) below outline the adjustments to our consolidated financial statements for all comparative periods presented. We continue to immediately recognize in retained earnings all defined benefit adjustments recognized in other comprehensive income. The amended disclosure requirements for IAS 19 are incorporated into our annual consolidated financial statements as at December 31, 2013 (Note 18).

The adoption of the amendments to IAS 19 did not have a significant effect on our annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

ii) Production stripping costs

We adopted IFRIC 20, Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine (“IFRIC 20”) and have applied the requirements to production stripping costs incurred on or after January 1, 2012, in accordance with the transitional provisions of IFRIC 20. We have also analyzed predecessor stripping assets recorded as of January 1, 2012, the date of our earliest period presented, in accordance with the transitional provisions of IFRIC 20.

The interpretation provides guidance on how to account for overburden waste stripping costs in the production phase of a surface mine. Stripping activity related to inventory produced is accounted for in accordance with IAS 2, Inventories. Stripping activity that improves access to ore is accounted for as an addition to or enhancement of an existing asset.

Based on our analysis, we have identified components of our ore bodies to be phases, pits or sub-pits depending on the ore body being analyzed. These components align with how we view each mine and plan our mining activities. Previously, we capitalized waste rock stripping costs related to major expansions only. Under IFRIC 20, we capitalize waste rock stripping costs when the following three criteria are met:

• it is probable that the future economic benefit (improved access to the ore body) associated with the stripping activity will flow to the entity;

• the entity can identify the component of the ore body for which access has been improved; and

• the costs relating to the stripping activity associated with that component can be measured reliably.
Waste rock stripping costs capitalized under IFRIC 20 are classified as capitalized production stripping costs within property, plant and equipment, which is consistent with the classification of the asset these costs relate to. These assets are amortized on a units-of-production basis over the remaining proven and probable reserves of the respective components.

The adoption of IFRIC 20 resulted in an increase in the capitalization of waste rock stripping costs on our consolidated balance sheet and an increase in our profit and earnings per share. These items were partially offset by the amortization of stripping activity assets on a units-of-production basis in the respective periods. Inventories were adjusted to capitalize production stripping costs. The depreciation of stripping activity assets is included in the cost of inventories. The tables in Note 29(d) below outline the adjustments to our financial statements for all comparative periods presented.

The adoption of IFRIC 20 has significantly increased our capitalization of production stripping costs as compared to our previous accounting policy. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we capitalized $801 million of stripping activity assets, primarily at our coal operations. We recorded depreciation expense on stripping activity assets of $313 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

b) Pronouncements Affecting Our Financial Statement Presentation or Disclosures

The adoption of the following new and amended IFRS pronouncements has resulted in enhanced financial statement disclosures in our consolidated financial statements or a change in financial statement presentation. These pronouncements did not affect our financial results.

i) Disclosures of interest in other entities

We adopted IFRS 12, Disclosures of Interests in Other Entities (“IFRS 12”) on January 1, 2013. IFRS 12 outlines the disclosure requirements for interests in subsidiaries and other entities to enable users to evaluate the risks associated with interests in other entities and the effects of those interests on an entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The adoption of IFRS 12 did not significantly affect our disclosures for the year ended December 31, 2013. We have included a non-controlling interests’ financial statement note (Note 21) to comply with the requirements of IFRS 12.

ii) Fair value measurement

We adopted IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement (“IFRS 13”) with prospective application from January 1, 2013. IFRS 13 defines fair value, sets out a single IFRS framework for measuring fair value and outlines disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

IFRS 13 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, so assumptions that market participants would use should be applied in measuring fair value. The required IFRS 13 disclosures are included in Note 14 and Note 26.

iii) Other comprehensive income

We adopted the amendments to IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements (“IAS 1”) on January 1, 2013, with retrospective application. The amendments to IAS 1 require companies preparing financial statements under IFRS to group items within other comprehensive income that may be reclassified to profit or loss and those that will not be reclassified.
We have amended our annual consolidated statement of comprehensive income for all periods presented to reflect the presentation changes required under the amended IAS 1. Since these changes are reclassifications within our statement of comprehensive income, there is no net effect on our comprehensive income.

c) Pronouncements Affecting Accounting Policies Only

The adoption of the following new IFRS pronouncements did not affect our financial results or disclosures as our analysis determined that no changes were required to our existing accounting treatment.

i) Consolidated financial statements

We adopted IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements ("IFRS 10") on January 1, 2013 with retrospective application. IFRS 10 establishes principles for the presentation and preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity controls one or more other entities. This IFRS defines the principle of control and establishes control as the basis for determining which entities are consolidated in an entity’s financial statements. IFRS 10 sets out three elements of control: power over the investee; exposure, or rights, to variable returns from involvement with the investee; and the ability to use power over the investee to affect the amount of the investors’ return; and the requirements on how to apply the control principle. IFRS 10 supersedes IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements and SIC 12, Consolidation – Special Purpose Entities.

Based on our analysis, IFRS 10 did not have an effect on our annual consolidated financial statements as the adoption did not result in a change in the consolidation status of any of our subsidiaries or investees.

ii) Joint arrangements

We adopted IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements ("IFRS 11") on January 1, 2013, with retrospective application from the date of our earliest period presented of January 1, 2012. If an arrangement results in joint control, IFRS 11 classifies joint arrangements as either joint operations or joint ventures, depending on the rights and obligations of the parties involved. We also adopted IAS 28(R), Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures ("IAS 28") which included amendments to address the accounting for joint ventures.

A joint operation is an arrangement where the jointly controlling parties have rights to the assets and obligations in respect of the liabilities of the arrangement. An entity accounts for a joint operation by recognizing its portion of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. A joint venture is an arrangement where the jointly controlling parties only have rights to the net assets of the arrangement. A joint venture is accounted for using the equity method.

We completed an analysis of all of our joint arrangements to determine the appropriate accounting treatment under IFRS 11 and to assess whether there would be any changes required from our previous accounting policy of proportionate consolidation for our jointly controlled entities. Based on our analysis, we have concluded that all of our joint arrangements are joint operations under IFRS 11 and, accordingly, we have recorded the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in relation to our interest in each joint operation. The adoption of IFRS 11 did not have an effect on our annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 or prior periods presented.

The change in accounting treatment of our interest in Fort Hills from an investment in an associate to a joint operation during the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to changes made to the Limited Partnership Agreement, Unanimous Shareholder Agreement and the Fort Hills Oil Sands Project Operating Services Contract on October 30, 2013 and not the adoption of IFRS 11 on January 1, 2013 (Note 12(a)).
d) Adjustments to Consolidated Financial Statements

i) Adjustments to condensed consolidated balance sheets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>December 31, 2012</th>
<th>January 1, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity before accounting changes</strong></td>
<td>$ 17,977</td>
<td>$ 17,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories (a)(ii)</td>
<td>(97)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment (a)(ii)</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income and resource tax assets</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income and resource tax liabilities</td>
<td>(134)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement benefit obligations (a)(i)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity after accounting changes</strong></td>
<td>$ 18,264</td>
<td>$ 17,885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Equity after accounting changes attributable to:**

| | December 31, 2012 | January 1, 2012 |
| Shareholders of the company | $ 18,075 | $ 17,713 |
| Non-controlling interests | $ 189 | $ 172 |

ii) Adjustments to condensed consolidated statement of income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Year ended December 31, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit before accounting changes</strong></td>
<td>$ 870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration expense</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense, net</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income and resource taxes</td>
<td>(152)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit after accounting changes</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Profit after accounting changes attributable to:**

| | December 31, 2012 |
| Shareholders of the company | $ 1,068 |
| Non-controlling interests | 72 |
| **Earnings per share after accounting changes** | $ 1,140 |

Basic | $ 1.82 |
Diluted | $ 1.82 |

The adjustments to profit relating to the new and amended IFRS pronouncements in Note 29(a)(i) and (ii) increased basic earnings per share by $0.43 and diluted earnings per share by $0.44 for the year ended December 31, 2012.
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iii) Adjustments to condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive income

(CAD$ in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year ended December 31, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive income before accounting changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other comprehensive income:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurements of retirement benefit plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and resource taxes on remeasurements of retirement benefit plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive income after accounting changes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comprehensive income after accounting changes attributable to:

| Shareholders of the company | $ 969 |
| Non-controlling interests | 70 |
| Total | $ 1,039 |

30. Supplemental Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Teck Metals Ltd. (“Teck Metals”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Teck Resources Limited (“Teck,” or “our”), provides a full and unconditional guarantee or the equivalent in respect of substantially all of our outstanding indebtedness for borrowed money.

The following tables set forth condensed consolidating financial information for Teck Metals as at December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and January 1, 2012. The information is presented with separate columns for: (i) Teck; (ii) Teck Metals; (iii) our other subsidiaries on a combined basis; (iv) consolidating adjustments; and (v) the total consolidated amounts. The investments in subsidiaries held by Teck, Teck Metals and other non-guarantor subsidiaries have been accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Compañía Minera Antamina (“Antamina”) and Fort Hills Energy Limited Partnership are not considered subsidiaries and, as such, our share of their results and balances are included in consolidation adjustments in the following tables.
## Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>As Reported in IFRS</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>$ 153</td>
<td>$ 328</td>
<td>$ 2,229</td>
<td>$ 62</td>
<td>$ 2,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current income and resource taxes receivable</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade accounts and intra-group receivables</strong></td>
<td>3,718</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>12,212</td>
<td>(14,841)</td>
<td>1,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventories</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>1,181</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial and other assets</strong></td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,080</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>(2,778)</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments in associates</strong></td>
<td>31,590</td>
<td>24,792</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>(57,240)</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property, plant and equipment</strong></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1,131</td>
<td>23,782</td>
<td>2,631</td>
<td>27,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax assets</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 3,899</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>15,666</td>
<td>(14,725)</td>
<td>$ 5,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade accounts and intra-group payables and other liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$ 7,987</td>
<td>$ 4,843</td>
<td>$ 3,815</td>
<td>(14,861)</td>
<td>$ 1,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dividends payable</strong></td>
<td>259</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current income and resource taxes payable</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 8,246</td>
<td>4,844</td>
<td>3,868</td>
<td>(14,795)</td>
<td>$ 2,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Debt</strong></td>
<td>8,702</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>(2,811)</td>
<td>7,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax liabilities</strong></td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>2,285</td>
<td>1,852</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>5,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirement benefit liabilities</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other liabilities and provisions</strong></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 18,465</td>
<td>8,418</td>
<td>7,737</td>
<td>(17,248)</td>
<td>$ 17,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attributable to shareholders of the company</strong></td>
<td>18,597</td>
<td>19,515</td>
<td>35,195</td>
<td>(54,710)</td>
<td>18,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attributable to non-controlling interests</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 18,597</td>
<td>19,515</td>
<td>35,409</td>
<td>(54,710)</td>
<td>$ 18,811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                        | $ 37,062           | $ 27,933    | $ 43,146                  | (71,958)                  | $ 36,183            |
## 30. Supplemental Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Information (continued)

### Year Ended December 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Teck</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>As Reported in IFRS (CAD$ in millions)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$119</td>
<td>$1,760</td>
<td>$6,909</td>
<td>$594</td>
<td>$9,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of sales</td>
<td>$(131)</td>
<td>$(1,695)</td>
<td>$(5,084)</td>
<td>$(46)</td>
<td>$(6,956)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross profit</td>
<td>$(12)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$1,825</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>2,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>$(101)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(129)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td>$(20)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(65)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>$(2)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income (expense)</td>
<td>$(77)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(98)</td>
<td>(40)</td>
<td>(216)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit (loss) from operations</td>
<td>$(212)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,633</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>1,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance income</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(207)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense</td>
<td>(440)</td>
<td>(151)</td>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>(339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating income (expense)</td>
<td>(511)</td>
<td>(48)</td>
<td>(117)</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of profit (losses) of associates</td>
<td>1,993</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>(3,389)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit before tax</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>1,788</td>
<td>(2,076)</td>
<td>1,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income and resource taxes</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(229)</td>
<td>(121)</td>
<td>(282)</td>
<td>(633)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$1,667</td>
<td>$(2,358)</td>
<td>$1,010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Profit attributable to:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Teck</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders of the company</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$1,618</td>
<td>$(2,358)</td>
<td>$961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-controlling interests</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit for the year</td>
<td>$961</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$1,667</td>
<td>$(2,358)</td>
<td>$1,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year Ended December 31, 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As Reported in IFRS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CAD$ in millions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Teck Metals</td>
<td>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</td>
<td>Consolidating Adjustments</td>
<td>Consolidated Totals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information</strong></td>
<td>$1,051</td>
<td>$751</td>
<td>$3,955</td>
<td>$(2,879)</td>
<td>$2,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating activities</strong></td>
<td>$1,051</td>
<td>$751</td>
<td>$3,955</td>
<td>$(2,879)</td>
<td>$2,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(54)</td>
<td>(138)</td>
<td>(1,521)</td>
<td>(145)</td>
<td>(1,858)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized production stripping costs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(677)</td>
<td>(67)</td>
<td>(744)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures on financial investments and other assets</td>
<td>(280)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(325)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the sale of investments and other assets</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of debt</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of debt</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt interest paid</td>
<td>(355)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(355)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase and cancellation of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>(176)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends paid</td>
<td>(521)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(521)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(38)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdivision distributions</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(307)</td>
<td>(2,805)</td>
<td>3,112</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>(1,051)</td>
<td>(307)</td>
<td>(2,870)</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>(1,128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year</strong></td>
<td>171</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>(980)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(495)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</strong></td>
<td>(18)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,209</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</strong></td>
<td>$153</td>
<td>$328</td>
<td>$2,229</td>
<td>$62</td>
<td>$2,772</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

#### 30. Supplemental Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Information (continued)

**As at December 31, 2012** *(Restated)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As Reported in IFRS (CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>$ (18)</td>
<td>$ 7</td>
<td>$ 3,209</td>
<td>$ 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current income and resource taxes receivable</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade accounts and intra-group receivables</td>
<td>5,798</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>12,092</td>
<td>(16,747)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventories</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1,275</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and other assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in associates</td>
<td>28,230</td>
<td>24,930</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>(53,072)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>22,592</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income and resource tax assets</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,829</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>16,666</td>
<td>(16,639)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and other assets</td>
<td>1,671</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>(2,107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments in associates</td>
<td>28,230</td>
<td>24,930</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>(53,072)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1,069</td>
<td>22,592</td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income and resource tax assets</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodwill</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>8,360</td>
<td>7,062</td>
<td>3,092</td>
<td>(16,694)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt</td>
<td>8,134</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>(2,158)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income and resource tax liabilities</td>
<td>1,315</td>
<td>2,018</td>
<td>1,973</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement benefit liabilities</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities and provisions</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>17,881</td>
<td>10,445</td>
<td>7,014</td>
<td>(18,549)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable to shareholders of the company</td>
<td>18,075</td>
<td>17,140</td>
<td>34,901</td>
<td>(52,041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributable to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>18,075</td>
<td>17,140</td>
<td>35,090</td>
<td>(52,041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$ 35,956</td>
<td>$ 27,585</td>
<td>$ 42,104</td>
<td>$ (70,590)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$134</td>
<td>$1,872</td>
<td>$7,655</td>
<td>$682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of sales</strong></td>
<td>(118)</td>
<td>(1,861)</td>
<td>(4,804)</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross profit</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2,851</td>
<td>646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other operating expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General and administration</td>
<td>(105)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(83)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other operating income (expense)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit (loss) from operations</strong></td>
<td>(128)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>2,747</td>
<td>639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance income</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>(264)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance expense</td>
<td>(534)</td>
<td>(183)</td>
<td>(104)</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-operating income (expense)</td>
<td>(681)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of profit (losses) of associates</td>
<td>2,202</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>(3,883)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit before tax</strong></td>
<td>1,032</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>3,097</td>
<td>(3,378)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for income and resource taxes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(253)</td>
<td>(330)</td>
<td>(220)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Profit for the year</strong></td>
<td>$1,068</td>
<td>$903</td>
<td>$2,767</td>
<td>$(3,598)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Profit attributable to:**

- Shareholders of the company: $1,068 $903 $2,695 $(3,598) $1,068
- Non-controlling interests: – – 72 – 72

**Profit for the year**: $1,068 $903 $2,767 $(3,598) $1,140
## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements  Years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012

### 30. Supplemental Guarantor Condensed Consolidating Financial Information (continued)

#### Year Ended December 31, 2012  (Restated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As Reported in IFRS  (CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows Information</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating activities</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,857</td>
<td>$ 280</td>
<td>$ 3,318</td>
<td>$ (2,037)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(85)</td>
<td>(164)</td>
<td>(1,296)</td>
<td>(155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalized production stripping costs</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(671)</td>
<td>(61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures on financial investments and other assets</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition of SilverBirch Energy Corporation</td>
<td>(432)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from the sale of investments and other assets</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of debt</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment of debt</td>
<td>(2,822)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(113)</td>
<td>(92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt interest paid</td>
<td>(423)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issuance of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase and cancellation of Class B subordinate voting shares</td>
<td>(129)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividends paid</td>
<td>(469)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributions to non-controlling interests</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdivision distributions</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(1,105)</td>
<td>(1,238)</td>
<td>2,343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(62)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents

| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 53        | 1,002                     | 3,275                    | 75                | 4,405            |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year      | $ (18)    | $ 7                       | $ 3,209                  | $ 69              | $ 3,267          |
### Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As Reported in IFRS (CAD$ in millions)</th>
<th>Teck Metals</th>
<th>Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries</th>
<th>Consolidating Adjustments</th>
<th>Consolidated Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>$ 53</td>
<td>$ 1,002</td>
<td>$ 3,275</td>
<td>$ 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current income and resource taxes receivable</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade accounts and intra-group receivables</strong></td>
<td>6,743</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>10,106</td>
<td>(15,751)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventories</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash and cash equivalents</strong></td>
<td>6,824</td>
<td>1,564</td>
<td>14,625</td>
<td>(15,624)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial and other assets</strong></td>
<td>2,124</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,717</td>
<td>(3,903)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investments in associates</strong></td>
<td>27,134</td>
<td>23,906</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>(50,784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property, plant and equipment</strong></td>
<td>558</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>20,761</td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred income and resource tax assets</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodwill</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$ 36,640</strong></td>
<td>$ 27,610</td>
<td>$ 39,240</td>
<td>$(69,277)</td>
<td>$ 34,213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Trade accounts and intra-group payables and other liabilities | $ 9,185 | $ 6,193 | $ 2,145 | $(16,088) | $ 1,435 |
| Dividends payable | 235 | – | – | – | 235 |
| Current income and resource taxes payable | – | – | 59 | 34 | 93 |
| Debt | 203 | – | 40 | 116 | 359 |
| **Debt** | 9,623 | 6,193 | 2,244 | (15,938) | 2,122 |
| Deferred income and resource tax liabilities | 1,349 | 1,788 | 2,137 | 65 | 5,339 |
| Retirement benefit liabilities | 36 | 285 | 375 | – | 696 |
| Other liabilities and provisions | 32 | 209 | 1,210 | 44 | 1,495 |
| **Equity** | 18,927 | 10,277 | 6,182 | (19,058) | 16,328 |
| Attributable to shareholders of the company | 17,713 | 17,333 | 32,886 | (50,219) | 17,713 |
| Attributable to non-controlling interests | – | – | 172 | – | 172 |
| **17,713** | **17,333** | **33,058** | **(50,219)** | **17,885** |

| **$ 36,640** | **$ 27,610** | **$ 39,240** | **$(69,277)** | **$ 34,213** |
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(4) Member of the Pension Committee  
(5) Member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee  
(6) Member of the Safety and Sustainability Committee  
(7) Member of the Reserves Committee

More information on our directors and officers can be found in our most recent Annual Information Form, or Management Proxy Circular, which are available on our website at www.teck.com, or on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.
Officers listed as at February 26, 2014. More information on our directors and officers can be found in our most recent Annual Information Form or in our Management Proxy Circular, which are available on our website at www.teck.com, or on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.
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### Corporate Information

#### 2013 Share Prices and Trading Volume

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class B subordinate voting shares–TSX–CAD$/share</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Close</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>$37.85</td>
<td>$28.45</td>
<td>$28.60</td>
<td>121,735,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>$29.51</td>
<td>$21.22</td>
<td>$22.47</td>
<td>156,131,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>$29.51</td>
<td>$21.18</td>
<td>$27.68</td>
<td>130,249,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>$30.54</td>
<td>$24.41</td>
<td>$27.65</td>
<td>120,000,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>528,117,389</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class B subordinate voting shares–NYSE–US$/share</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Close</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>$38.36</td>
<td>$27.69</td>
<td>$28.16</td>
<td>120,666,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>$29.24</td>
<td>$20.27</td>
<td>$21.37</td>
<td>207,875,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>$28.80</td>
<td>$20.07</td>
<td>$26.84</td>
<td>149,688,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>$29.29</td>
<td>$22.99</td>
<td>$26.01</td>
<td>125,380,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>603,611,430</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class A common shares–TSX–CAD$/share</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Close</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$29.88</td>
<td>$30.44</td>
<td>109,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>$31.06</td>
<td>$23.35</td>
<td>$24.16</td>
<td>144,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>$31.14</td>
<td>$23.20</td>
<td>$29.28</td>
<td>143,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>$32.03</td>
<td>$25.94</td>
<td>$29.19</td>
<td>130,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>526,889</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stock Exchanges
Our Class A common and Class B subordinate voting shares are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbols TCK.A and TCK.B, respectively. Our Class B subordinate voting shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol TCK.

Dividends declared on Class A and B shares

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount per share</th>
<th>Payment Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.45</td>
<td>July 2, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0.45</td>
<td>January 2, 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These dividends are eligible for both the federal and provincial enhanced dividend tax credits.

Shares Outstanding at December 31, 2013

- Class A common shares: 9,353,470
- Class B subordinate voting shares: 566,904,857

Shareholder Relations
Karen L. Dunfee, Corporate Secretary

Annual Meeting
Our annual meeting of shareholders will be held at 11:00 a.m. on Wednesday, April 23, 2014, in the Waterfront Ballroom, Fairmont Waterfront Hotel, 900 Canada Place Way, Vancouver, British Columbia.

Transfer Agents
Inquiries regarding change of address, stock transfer, registered shareholdings, dividends or lost certificates should be directed to our Registrar and Transfer Agent:

CST Trust Company
1600 – 1066 West Hastings Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6E 3X1

CST Trust Company provides an Answerline Service for the convenience of shareholders:
Toll-free in Canada and the U.S.
1.800.387.0825
Outside Canada and the U.S.
1.416.882.3869
Email: inquiries@canstockta.com

Auditors
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Chartered Accountants
Suite 700
250 Howe Street
Vancouver, British Columbia
V6C 3E7

Annual Information Form
We prepare an Annual Information Form (AIF) that is filed with the securities commissions or similar bodies in all the provinces of Canada. Copies of our AIF and annual and quarterly reports are available on request or on our website at www.teck.com, on the Canadian Securities Administrators website at www.sedar.com (SEDAR) and on the EDGAR section of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) website at www.sec.gov.