	Response to Chu Updated July 13, 2023		d and Swedis	sh Council on	Ethics for the AP Fu	nds tailings disclo	sure request												
Tailings Dan Identifier	Location (latitude/longitude)	Ownership Structure	Status	Date of Initial Operation	Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design, and within design intent?	Raising Method	Current Maximum Height (m)	Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m ³)	Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment in 5 years (m3 in January 2028)	Most Recent Independent Expert Review (in addition to annual expert engineer of record review)	Full and Complete Relevant Engineering Records Including Design, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and/or Closure?	Hazard Categorization of this Facility, Based on the Consequence of Failure	Classification System Guideline Followed	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as atable, as per the design criteria and requirements in place, by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different fimi?	Internal/In-house Engineering Specialist Oversight of this Facility; or, External Engineering Support for this Purpose?	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrantrocture in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and updated to reflect current and anticipated conditions? If as, when ?	Closure Plan in Place for this Dam? Does it include Long Term Monitoring?	Tailings facilities are assessed against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change?	Other relevant information and supporting documentation
	49.441605" / - 119.095922"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1980	Yes	Downatream	12	384,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + WSP	No - inactive facility with no credible flow to community (s)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews
Beaverdell South Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	49.435957° / - 119.097717°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1254	Yes	Downatream	10	544,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + WSP	No - inactive facility with no credible flow to community (s)	Yes and Yes	Yes	a round a war reconstruction of the second secon
Bulmoose Talings Storage Facility (Caraola)	55.136278° / - 121.476274°	Owned and operated	Closed	1983	Yes	Downatream	38	4,400,000	4,400,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Saf ety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code ref erences CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Anona on we by Esperar of Record . The M segment of Record and Record and Record . The M segment is failed an experiment of Record . The M segment is failed an experiment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment background and the M segment of Record . The M segment for and an experiment of Record . The M segment for the M segment of Record . The M segment is finded a sem "Exclusive Record . The M segment is finded a sem "Exclusive Record . The M segment is finded a sem "Exclusive Record . The M segment is background and the Record . The M segment is finded a sem "Exclusive Recor
Douglas Mine (United States)	46.523795% 113.162639*	Owned and operated	Closed	1963	Yes	Downatream	15	108,651	108,661 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + Pamora	Yes (2003)	Yes and Yes	Yes	is found at www.Teck.com/tailmos Externe weather within design stoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dom said by inspections and dam said by reviews
Duck Pond Tallings Management Facility (Canada)	48.647480° / - 56.490561°	Owned and operated	Inactive	2005	Yes	Single Stage	9.5	1,250,000	1,250,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (2019)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Externe warre winn daugh toma considerio. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to talings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews
Eliview Lagoon A (Canada)	49.7525131" / - 114.874237"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1958	Yes	Single Stage	4	185,000	185,000 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Conceptual and none envisioned for this minor structure	Yes	is found at wew.Teck.com/tailmos Exterem weather within design stoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam said sty impections and dam said sty reviews
Elicview Lagoon B (Canada)	49.7540611" / - 114.8742807"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1958	Yes	Single Stage	4	287,700	287,700 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Conceptual and none required for this minor structure	Yes	In found at www.ieck.com/tailings Extenses weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews
Eliview Lagoon C. (Genada)	49.754622" / - 114.87837"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1970	Yes	Single Stage	19.5	4,658,600	4,558,500 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, there were stability concerns in 2002, these were addressed through implementation of recommendations shortly thereafter inclusive of cassastion of use and allowing the facility date. It was subsequently deemed stable	Both Teck + KCB	Yex (2014)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	In round as were reached on the sign storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to takings management and links to our most record external dam saf dry inspections and dam saf dry reviews is found at wear Teck count failures.
Eliview Lapon D (Canada)	49.748305" /- 114.874921"	Owned and operated	Active	1972	Yes	Single Stage	57	22,380,000	22,800,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, there were stability concerns in 2002, these were addressed through implementation of recommendations shortly thesefter. It was subsequently deemed stable	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2007)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Another and they represent and its most of service terms water well well wells approximate constraints. Another wells is Departed if basics if have a service that any service well wells and the service that the service of the service of the service is the service of the service of the service of the service is the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service is the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service that and the service of the
Elkview West Fork Tailings Facility (Canada)	49.751937" /- 114.791045"	Owned and operated	Active	2006	Yes	Single Stage	80	7,840,000	12,741,000	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Rectamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible path	Yes and none envisioned due to nature of structure	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif ety review is found at www.Teck.com/tailince
Embalse de Relavies Carmen de Ardacolio (Ohle)	-30.295758" / - 71.103254"	Owned and operated	Active	2009	Yes	Downatream	109.5	128,855,005 m3 (31- 12-2022) 133,540,093 m3 (30- 06-2023 estimated)	150,116,585	2022	Yes	Extreme	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + Wood (now WSP E&I)	Yes (2023)	Yes and Yes	Yes	is found at waw.Teck.com/tailroa Externa weather within design atoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dams at dry inspections and dam saf ety reviews is found at waw.Teck.com/tailros
Faheman Raad Talings Injourdment Area (Canada)	49.464757° / - 117.390042°	Land owned but nev or operated by Teck	Inactive	1890	No - There are no design and construction records an alkabia. Tailings were likel deposited in 1957. Tack overs the land, but did not operate the facelity. Oropoing alke investigations to assess geotechnical and hydrological conditions. No dam safety concerns from engineering assessments	NA	25	95,000 m3 (no change closed facility)	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from dam safety impection and past performance, however, it does not have sufficient capacity to note the required IDF and upgraded water management was necommended by EoR.	Significant	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + NCB	No - How failure is not expected from this closed facility	a) Developing final closure/versediation plan b) Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within during atoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teack approach to tallege management and leak to our most recent externed dam and my inspections and dam and ety nerview is found at www. Neckconshallings
Fording River 2 Pit - 3 Pit Tailings Disposal Area (Canada)	50.180256 / - 114.895016	Owned and operated	Inactive	1995	Yes	Centerline	6	8,830,000	8,830,000	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this in-pit facility	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design atoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailinge measurement and links to our most secent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailinge Externers weather within design atoms considered.
Fording Riv er North Tailings Pond (Canada)	50.190888" / - 114.895532"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1972	Yes	Downatream	24	3,800,000	3,800,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2017)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extense weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety impections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River South Tailings Pond	50.177177° / - 114.876420°	Owned and operated	Active	1977	Yes	Downatream	35	12,100,000	12,760,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2017)	Yes and Yes (both conceptual)	Yes	Extense weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Tack's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam set sty inspections and dam safety reviews in found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River Tumbul Pit South Tailings Storage Facility	50.218553 / - 114.873263	Owned and operated	Active	2016	Yes	n'a - in-pit facility	n/a - in-pit facility	10,100,000	9,500,000	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	No - no credble failure/flow potential from this in-pit facility	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual even by Engineer of Record, Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif ety review in found at www.Teck.com/failings
Fording River Switt South Spoil Co-Management Facility	50.14903633/- 114.87119544	Owned and operated	Inactive	2021	Yes	Dry Stack or Comingled Facility	125	4,432,600	4,432,600 (inactive, no plana to expand)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Design eliminated flow failure modes and adopted extreme loading conditions - no credble failure modes	Yes and Yes	Yex	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual neview by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most secant external dam saif day impections and dam saif by reviews a fund at some Tech some hadrow
Greenhills Tailings Storage Pacility (Canade)	50.059724" / - 114.851663"	Owned and operated	Active	1983	Yes	Downatream	55	17,000,000	20,550,000	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Rectamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2019)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	is format at some Tasks men hällen. Extenses waarbe within design atomat considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Task's appreach to tailinger management and links to our most necent external dam sail ety inspections and dam sail ety reviews in found at www.Task.com/links.com/satest Externet washers water of Barret Tark's segments.
Highland Valley Copper, Bethlehem (Canada)	50.509400" / - 120.987025"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1953/1954	Yes	Hydrid: Upstream / Centerline	91	68,100,000	68,100,000 (no change, closed facility)	2022	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews Extreme weather within design storms considered.
Highland Valley Copper, Highland (Canada)	50.547334" / - 121.122614"	Owned and operated	Active	1977	Yes	Centerline	161	1,190,300,000	1,460,300,000	2022	Yes	Extreme	CDA	No	Both Teck + NCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/Jalings Externer washar within design storms considered.
Highland Valley Copper, 7 Day Pord (Canada)	50.476" / -121.025"	Owned and operated	Active	2020	Yes	Centreline	6	204,555	320,000	2023	Yes	Low	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yex (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety impections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailinus Externe washer within dasign storms considered.
Highland Valley: Copper, 24 Mie Lake (Cenado)	50.511" / -121.060"	Owned and operated	Active	2019	Yes	Centreline	NA	963,000	3,500,000	2023	Yes	Low	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yex (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Recard. Teck's appendix to shallow management and loss to one woll need to shallow management and loss to one woll need to a function of the second strategies. The second strategies management and loss to one strategies and function with by Engineer of Recard. Teck's appendix is faund a wave. Teck constrained. Annual review by Engineer of Recard. Teck's appendix is faund a wave. Teck constrained a faund at wave. Teck constrained. Annual review by Engineer of Recard. Teck's appendix strategies and the second strategies and the second faund at wave. Teck constrained a faund at wave. Teck constrained.
Highland Valley Copper, Highmore (Cenade)	50.432155° / - 120.921190°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1980	Yes	Centerline	47	27,700,000	27,700,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yex (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings Extreme weather ethtin dealon storms considered.
Highland Valley Copper, Tiojan (Canada)	50.514552" / - 121.005987"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1973	Yes	Hybrid - Centerline / Upstream	70	25,000,000	25,000,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + NCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailinus Extereme washar within dasign shorms considered.
Louvicourt TSF (Canada)	48.132622" / - 77.601511"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1993	Yes	Single Stage	15	5,992,050	5,992,050 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (2012), new 2023 update in progress	Yes and Yes	In programs	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews in forget at water Tack combinitiem.
Magmont Tailings Dam (United States)	37.630936" / - 91.108224"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1958	Yes	Downstream	41.2	15,435,000	15,435,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yex (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design atoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings

Tailings Dam Identifier	Location (latitude/longitude)	Ownership Structure	Status	Date of Initial Operation	Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design, and within design intent?	Raising Method	Current Maximum Height (m)	Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m ³)	Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment in 5 years (m3 in January 2028)	Most Recent Independent Expert Review (in addition to annual expert engineer of record review)	Full and Complete Relevant Engineering Records Including Design, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and/or Closure?	Hazard Categorization of this Facility, Based on the Consequence of Failure	Classification System Guideline Followed	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as atable, as per the design criteria and requirements in place, by an independent engineer (even it later certified as atable by the same or a different firm)?	Internal/in-house Engineering Specialist Oversight of this Facility; or, External Engineering Support for this Purpose?	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and updated to reflect current and anticipated conditions? If so, when?	Closure Plan in Place for this Dam? Does it Include Long Term Monitoring?	Tailings facilities are assessed against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change?	Other relevant information and supporting documentation
Pend Crelle Talings Pond 1 (United States)	48.889872"/- 117.351680"	Owned and operated	Closed	1968	Yes	Upatream	21	954,715	954,715 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	N/A	CDA	No	Both Teck + AECOM	No - closed, drained with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif ety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pend Crelle Tailings Pond 2 (United States)	45.859117"/- 117.346237"	Owned and operated	Closed	1973	Yes	Upstream	6	225,330	225,330 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	N/A	CDA	No	Both Teck + AECOM	No - closed, drained with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design atoms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pend Crelle Tailings Pond 3 (United States)	48.881379" / - 117.348785"	Owned and operated	Inactive	2003	Yes	Downatream	24.3	2,812,010	2,915,297	2022	Yes	High	CDA	No	Both Tack + KP	No - subgrade facility with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design atoms considered. Annual new law by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pinchi Tailinga Storage Facility (Canada)	54.627353" / - 124.426425"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1967	Yes	Downatream	15	1,000,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual evenive by Engineer of Record. Tock's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam said sty impections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pine Point Tailings Impoundment Area (Canada)	60.879272" / - 114.437272"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1954	Yes	Downatream	9	N/A	36,597,270	2022	Yes	Significant	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + Golder	No, very remote isolated/closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Tack's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/lailings
	68.060581* / - 162.871763*	Owned and operated	Active	1989	Yes	Downstream/Centerline Hybrid	63.4	65,830,000	71,373,000	2023	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (Inundation Study 2019 + Human Rights Assessment 2020)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extense weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Independent Tailings Review Board. Tack's approach to tailings management and links to cur most record external dam safety impactions and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/salings
Sa Dena Hea North Tailings Embankment (Canada)	60.528250" / - 128.850678"	Owned and operated	Closed	1991	Yes	Single Stage	15	465,570	466,670 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + SRK	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	In programs	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual enview by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day inspections and dam saif ety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sulivan Calcine Tailings Storage Facility (Caseds)	49.647142"/ - 115.956205"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1972	Yes	Upstream	4.5	817,500	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Rectamation Code for Mnes 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/Tiow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan East Gypsum Dike, West Gypsum Dike, Gypsum Divider Dike, NorhEast Gypsum Dike (Canada)	49.644530° / - 115.935520°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1959	Yes	Upstream/Single Stage	22.9	4,578,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - Dam Breach & Inundation Study completed in 2014 but tailings runout not completed.	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
	49.657872" / - 115.937887"	Owned and operated	Inactive	1975	Yes	Upstneam	29	15,527,800	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yea, there was a failure in 1948 that travelled offsite and another failure in 1991 which was contained within the tailing facility. The dike was repaired, toe terms were constructed and slopes significantly failtened following the 1991 failure. There have been no stability issues since then.	Both Teck + KCB	Yex (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Esterne weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent esternel den saif sty impections and dam saif sty reviews in found at uww.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan Old Iron Dike and Iron TSF Divider Dike (Canada)	49.658303" / - 115.952749"	Owned and operated	Inactive	-1900	Yes	Upstream	7.6	9,682,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Rectamation Code for Mnes 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, failures occurred in 1926 and 1930. New dike constructed and too berms constructed and skpes flattened in 1920's. No stability issues reported since early failures.	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/Tiow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Ansual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif ety review. Is found at www.Teck.com/lailings
Sulivan Sécesus No. 1 Dike, Sticeous No. 2 Dike, Sélocous No. 3 Dike (Canada)	49.657971*/- 115.923105*	Owned and operated	Inactive	1923	Yes	Upstream	12.5	15,648,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/Tiow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Tack's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/lailings
Quebrada Bianca Talinga storage facility	-21.055288" / - 68.849062"	Owned and Operated	Active	2023	Yes	Centreline	120	0	124,000,000	2022	Yes	Extreme	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + WSP Golder	Yes (2022)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual new law by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/lailings
Lernard Shell	-18.305092 / 125.785965	JV Owned - Teck 50%, Glencore 50% Teck - Operator	Closed	1998	Yes	NA	12	7,000,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2021	Yes	Low	Western Australia DMRS	No	Both Teck + ATC Williams Pty Ltd	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual new law by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam saif day impections and dam saif sty reviews is found at www.Teck.com/lailings
Line Creek Operations Ral Loop Ponds	49.88751/ -114.85408	Owned and operated	Active	1981	Yes	Centreline	3-7	100,000	100,000	2023	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from encineerino assessments.	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck and Thurber	Yes (2020)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to takings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Line Creek Operations Pond Fines Dump	49.87759/ -114.85844	Owned and operated	Inactive	2000	Yes	n/a - dry stack	50	200,000	200,000	2023	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from encineerino assessments.	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck and Thurber	Yex (2023)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent externit dem safety impections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Non-operated Joint Venture Facilities																			
Presa de Releves de Anterrira (Peru)	-9.541285" / - 77.033332"	JV with COMPANIA MINERA ANTAMINA S.A. (BHP, Glencore, Mtsubishi, Teck)	Active	2001	Yes	Downstream/Centerline Hybrid	240	374,000,000	600,000,000	2019	Yes	Extreme	CDA	No	Both Antamina + Golder	Yes (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	