

REPORT

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations

Submitted to:

Teck Coal Limited

Fording River Operations
PO Box 100
Elkford BC V0B 1H0

Attention: James Campbell, P.Eng., Qualified Person / Responsible Tailings Facility Engineer

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Ltd.

Suite 200 - 2920 Virtual Way Vancouver, BC, V5M 0C4 Canada

+1 604 296 4200

Reference No. 21456080-2021-192-R-Rev0-200

25 March 2022



Distribution List

Electronic Copy – Teck Coal Limited

Electronic Copy – Golder Associates Ltd.

Executive Summary

This report presents the 2021 annual facility performance report (AFPR) for the South Tailings Pond (STP) and North Tailings Pond (NTP) tailings storage facilities (TSFs) at the Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations (FRO) site, located near Elkford, British Columbia. The reporting period for the data review is from 1 September 2020 through 31 August 2021, unless otherwise noted.

Annual Dam Inspection

Based on the visual observations during the 25 and 26 May 2021 site visit, the STP and NTP facilities appeared safe with no deficiencies requiring immediate actions. Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) have been involved in the design engineering and inspection of these facilities for more than 50 years.

Summary of Facility Description

The FRO site is an active open pit coal mine located near Elkford, BC. FRO's tailings storage infrastructure includes four tailings storage facilities, the STP and NTP, the 2 Pit and 3 Pit Tailings Storage Area, and the Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility. Tailings discharge from the wash plant, within the processing plant, is currently directed to the STP. The NTP is currently inactive.

The STP facility is located south of the processing plant, on the east side of a realigned reach of the Fording River; it occupies a total area of approximately 80 ha and has a minimum dam crest elevation of 1,637.85 m (Elk Valley Elevation Datum). The STP is composed of two dams, the Main and West dams. Both the Main Dam and West Dam are downstream-constructed, zoned earth fill dams. The Main Dam was developed on the flood plain of the Fording River, while the West Dam was founded on the till bench that borders the western edge of the Fording River diversion channel and on a portion of the Fording River flood plain. Construction of the STP was initiated in 1977 and the dams were raised in six stages between 1983 and 2013. Construction of an emergency spillway for the STP facility was completed in July 2021.

The NTP facility is located on the west side of a realigned reach of the Fording River across from the processing plant. The facility occupies a total area of approximately 40 ha and has a minimum dam crest elevation of 1,652.6 m (Elk Valley Elevation Datum). The NTP dam is a downstream-constructed, zoned earth fill dam developed on a segment of the Fording River flood plain. Construction of the NTP was initiated in 1971 and the dam was raised in four stages between 1973 and 1979.

Summary of Key Hazards

Potential credible failure modes for the STP and NTP facilities include:

■ Internal erosion (suffusion and piping)

- For both the STP and NTP, filter compatibility is generally met between till fill material and coarse rejects or combined coarse and fine rejects shell and the foundation flood plain sand and gravel; however, is not met for the tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel. Migration of the tailings through the sand and gravel has not historically been observed and low seepage gradients are expected.
- At the STP, internal erosion as a result of seepage along the decommissioned gas pipeline through the West Dam at the north abutment has a low likelihood of occurrence. FRO is developing a plan to properly abandon this section of the decommissioned pipeline.

■ Overtopping

- Pond elevation in the NTP and STP is managed to be maintained below the normal operating range target. A trigger-action-response plan (TARP) provides direction if a water elevation approaches a trigger level.
- For the STP facility, the construction of an emergency spillway was completed in July 2021 (Golder 2020c, 2021c). With the spillway now in place, the STP is not susceptible to an overtopping failure mode as a result of an extreme storm event.
- Liquefaction of the STP tailings beach during a seismic event could result in tailings beach displacement that results in a wave that could overtop the Main Dam. Additional analyses are recommended to better characterize the failure potential of saturated tailings block and resulting wave attenuation potential.

■ Instability

- Static and seismic stability assessments (Golder 2018b) indicated the factors of safety for failure surfaces that involve the full width of the dam crest meet or exceed design criteria.
- Riprap protection (for a 200-year return period flood level) is in place along the NTP dam toe and part of the STP dam toe to mitigate against instability of the dams' toes from erosion from the Fording River. FRO is undertaking the STP Floodplain Widening Project along the downstream toe of the STP West Dam to reduce the risk of this hazard to the STP with construction beginning in July 2021 and expected to be completed in 2024.

■ Release of tailings, mine-affected water, or water through pipeline failure around either the STP or NTP

- This failure mode is managed through inspection of active pipelines.

Consequence of Failure

The STP and NTP facilities' consequence of failure is Very High, considering the guidelines for consequence classification in Section 3.4 of the HSRC Guidance Document (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016). As detailed in Section 5.3 of this report, this classification approach is not aligned with Teck's approach to safety. Teck has met or exceeded the requirements for the STP and NTP TSFs for such classification.

Summary of Significant Changes, and Changes to Instrumentation, Stability, and Surface Water Control

South Tailings Pond

The STP pond elevation was lowered prior to beginning construction of the emergency spillway in September 2020.

The construction of an emergency spillway was completed in July 2021 (Golder 2021c). The spillway is designed to safely convey a peak flow resulting from the 24-hour probable maximum flood (PMF) event to downstream of the dam (Golder 2020c). The construction of the spillway decreased the likelihood of overtopping due to a flood event.

The 2021 dredging season occurred from 7 April to 12 October 2021, with a total of 1.81 million dry metric tonnes of tailings dredged from the STP to the Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility.

Four bathymetric surveys were conducted by FRO to monitor remaining capacity in the facility. In 2021, the surveys were conducted on 3 April, 10 May, 1 September, and 17 October.

During the reporting period, site drainage was sent to the North Loop Pond (NLP) for short periods of time in December 2020, March 2021, and July 2021; it was diverted to the STP the rest of the time.

A temporary pump system was installed in February 2021 to pump water from the STP to the Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility as part of managing additional inflows to the STP from Shandley Pit dewatering.

There were no significant changes in visual monitoring records, instrumentation, dam stability, or surface water control for the STP since the 2020 annual report.

North Tailings Pond

Water was pumped from the NTP to the STP on 15 and 19 March 2021 when the monitoring of the NTP water level triggered a High Level alarm or freeboard warning. Pumping continued until the pond was below the normal operating level on 20 March 2021.

There were no significant changes in visual monitoring records, instrumentation, dam stability, or surface water control for the NTP since the 2020 annual report.

Operation, Maintenance, and Surveillance Manual

FRO last completed an update of the operation, maintenance, and surveillance (OMS) manual for the STP and NTP on 27 May 2020 (FRO 2020b). A review of this version of the OMS manual was completed by Golder as part of this AFPR. An update to the OMS manual is currently in progress.

Emergency Response and Preparedness Plans

FRO last completed an update of the emergency response plan (ERP) for the tailings facilities at FRO in May 2020 (EP.009.R1; FRO 2020b).

The current emergency preparedness plan for tailings facilities is dated 25 May 2020 (EP.008.R2; FRO 2020d).

The current Tailings Impoundment Flood Response Protocol for the Fording River is dated 15 May 2020 (EP.010.R0; FRO 2020a).

FRO completed an internal tabletop ERP testing exercise on 14 May 2021. Mr. John Cunning and Ms. Clara Lee of Golder participated in the 2021 testing of the ERP. The ERP should continue to be tested every year in such a way that procedures include consideration of the STP and NTP and would capture proper emergency response if the event had the potential to impact the STP or NTP.

Dam Safety Review

A DSR was completed by a third-party consultant (SNC-Lavalin 2020) in 2019. The DSR for the STP and NTP dams concluded the dams are reasonably safe despite the identified continual improvement deficiencies and non-conformances.

The next DSR should be initiated in 2024 based on the current regulatory requirements.

Status of Previous and New Priority Recommended Actions

Table E-1 summarizes the status of previous priority level 1 and 2 recommended actions from the 2020 annual report (Golder 2020a). There are no new priority level 1 or 2 recommended actions from the 2021 AFPR. Completed recommended actions are shown with grey shading and will be removed from next year's AFPR. Recommendations of other priorities are presented in the report body.

A number of recommendations are in progress, and some are incomplete, but Golder considers the work to be appropriately prioritized based on good communication between the Engineer of Record team and the FRO tailings team.

Table E-1: Current Status of Previous Recommend Actions for the South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond Facilities

Facility	ID Number	Deficiency or Non-conformance	Applicable Regulation, Guideline or OMS Manual Reference	Recommended Action	Priority Level	Recommended Timing for the Action	Status as of March 2022
STP	2015-12a, b	Riprap erosion protection along downstream toe north of STP Sta. 0+680, no riprap south of STP Sta. 0+680; risk-informed protection requirements not yet defined.	HSRC §10.1.8	Perform risk-informed assessment to determine appropriate flood protection requirements for downstream toe of dam along Fording River and timeline to implement.	2	2020	Completed – South Tailings Pond Floodplain Widening Detailed Design report (KCB 2020).
				Implement required protection measures for the operational phase according to the as-defined schedule.	2	2024	In progress – Construction for Fording River flood plain widening started in 2021 and is scheduled to be completed by 2024.
	2018-06	Construction of the AWTF-S is underway downstream of the STP Main Dam, potentially increasing the number of workers in the dam breach inundation zone.	HSRC §10.1.7 CDA 2013	Implement awareness training for AWTF-S workers considering the results of the STP Main Dam breach and inundation study draft report.	2	2021	Complete – AWTF-S workers underwent dam awareness training with Tailings Engineer which included the upstream hazard of STP and NTP in 2021.
	2020-02	No passive emergency system against overtopping.	Permit condition from Permit C-3 Amendment (July 2020) HSRC §10.1.13 HSRC Guidance Document §4.4.1	Construct permanent spillway.	2	2021	Complete – Spillway constructed
	2020-04	There is a major vertical erosion gully on the downstream slope of the Main Dam above the seepage collection well.	n/a	Direct surface runoff onto a dam bench and away from the erosion gully on dam face.	2	2021	Complete
NTP	2015-06	Risk-informed criteria for flood erosion protection along toe of dams not defined.	CDA 2013 §6.2	Design and implement the required flood protection measures for downstream toe of dam along the Fording River including consideration of the Fording River Multiplate embankment upstream of the NTP.	2	2023	Incomplete

Note: Grey shaded rows indicate completed actions.
OMS = operation, maintenance, and surveillance; STP = South Tailings Pond; Sta. = Station; CDA = Canadian Dam Association; HSRC = Health, Safety and Reclamation Code; AWTF-S = active water treatment facility-south; NTP = North Tailings Pond.

Priority Level	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant risk of regulatory enforcement.
2	If not corrected could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory enforcement; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.

Source: HSRC Guidance Document, Section 4.2 (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016).

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	ii
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Purpose, Scope of Work, Method	1
1.2 Regulatory Requirements	1
2.0 BACKGROUND.....	3
2.1 Fording River Operations Tailings Storage.....	3
2.2 Overview of Design, Construction, and Previous Operation.....	4
2.3 Key Personnel.....	13
2.4 Quantifiable Performance Objectives	13
3.0 OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND CONSTRUCTION DURING 2020/2021 REPORTING PERIOD	17
3.1 South Tailings Pond.....	17
3.2 North Tailings Pond.....	19
4.0 REVIEW OF CLIMATE DATA AND WATER BALANCE	20
4.1 Climatic Review.....	20
4.2 Water Balance.....	22
4.3 Water Quality	23
5.0 TAILINGS FACILITY DAM SAFETY ASSESSMENT.....	24
5.1 Site Visit	24
5.2 Review of Background Information	24
5.3 Consequence of Failure	25
5.4 Review of Operational Documents	25
5.5 South Tailings Pond	26
5.6 North Tailings Pond.....	42
6.0 SUMMARY OF 2021 AFPR.....	53
7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	55
8.0 CLOSURE.....	58

REFERENCES 59**STUDY LIMITATIONS..... 65****TABLES**

Table 1: Maximum Pond Elevation or Pond Volume and Freeboard Levels.....	11
Table 2: Fording River Operations Site Seismic Hazard Values.....	13
Table 3: Piezometer Instrumentation Trigger Levels for the South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond	14
Table 4: GPS Monitoring Instrumentation Trigger Levels for Both South and North Tailings Ponds	14
Table 5: Slope Inclinator Summary	15
Table 6: Trigger Levels for Slope Inclinator.....	15
Table 7: Water Level Triggers for the South Tailings Pond and the North Tailings Pond.....	16
Table 8: Total Precipitation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021	20
Table 9: South Tailings Pond Water Balance – 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021	22
Table 10: North Tailings Pond Water Balance – 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021	22
Table 11: Assessment of South Tailings Pond Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes.....	26
Table 12: Fording River Operations Reported Seepage Losses from the South Tailings Pond.....	29
Table 13: GPS Monitoring Locations on South Tailings Pond	34
Table 14: South Tailings Pond Inclinator.....	35
Table 15: South Tailings Pond Main Dam Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary	36
Table 16: South Tailings Pond West Dam Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary	39
Table 17: Assessment of North Tailings Pond Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes	42
Table 18: Instrument Monitoring Locations on North Tailings Pond	48
Table 19: North Tailings Pond Inclinator	48
Table 20: North Tailings Pond Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary	50
Table 21: Status of 2020 Recommended Actions and New Actions from the 2021 AFPR for the South and North Tailings Pond Facilities	56

CHARTS

Chart 1: Monthly Precipitation Data from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021	21
Chart 2: South Tailings Pond Water Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.....	32
Chart 3: Main Dam Vibrating Wire Piezometer and Standpipe Water Elevations and South Tailings Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.....	37
Chart 4: West Dam Vibrating Wire Piezometer and Standpipe Water Elevations and South Tailings Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.....	40

Chart 5: North Tailings Pond Water Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021	46
Chart 6: North Tailings Pond Vibrating Wire Piezometers and Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.....	51

FIGURES

Figure 1: Fording River Operations Site Plan.....	66
Figure 2: South Tailings Pond 2021 Site Visit Photograph Locations.....	67
Figure 3: South Tailings Pond Monitoring Locations.....	68
Figure 4: South Tailings Pond Typical Section through Main Dam (STN. 1+150 to 1+600) and West Dam (STN. 0+000 to 0+400).....	69
Figure 5: South Tailings Pond Typical Section through West Dam (STN. 0+400 to 1+150)	70
Figure 6: North Tailings Pond 2021 Site Visit Photograph Locations	71
Figure 7: North Tailings Pond Monitoring Locations	72
Figure 8: North Tailings Pond Typical Section through Dam	73

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Site Photographs

APPENDIX B

South Tailings Pond Inspection Report

APPENDIX C

North Tailings Pond Inspection Report

APPENDIX D

Summary of FRO Dam Inspection Action Items

APPENDIX E

GPS Plots

APPENDIX F

Slope Inclinator Data

APPENDIX G

KWL Riprap Inspection Report

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose, Scope of Work, Method

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has completed this annual facility performance report (AFPR) for the South Tailings Pond (STP) and North Tailings Pond (NTP) facilities at the Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations (FRO) site, located near Elkford, BC. The reporting period for the data review is from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021, unless otherwise noted.

The report is based on a site visit carried out by Golder from 25 to 26 May 2021, discussions with FRO staff, and review of data provided by FRO. This report consists of the following and was prepared with consideration of the Teck Resources Limited *Guideline for Tailings and Water Retaining Structures* (Teck Resources 2019):

- a summary of the site conditions and background information for the facilities
- a summary of the operation, construction, and maintenance activities for the reporting period
- facility consequence of failure and review of required operational documents
- site photographs and records of dam inspections
- review of dredging data
- review of assessment of dam safety relative to potential failure modes
- recommended actions

Photographs of STP and NTP from the site inspection are presented in Appendix A, and a summary of the observations is included in the inspection reports in Appendix B and Appendix C for the STP and NTP, respectively.

FRO switched coordinate systems on 25 October 2016 from FRO Mine Grid to Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) with elevations referenced to the Elk Valley Elevation Datum. All coordinates presented in this report are in UTM with elevations referenced to the Elk Valley Elevation Datum unless otherwise noted.

The previous annual inspection for this facility was carried out in August 2020 and is reported in the 2020 annual report (Golder 2021a).

This report is to be read in conjunction with the Study Limitations provided at the end of the report.

1.2 Regulatory Requirements

1.2.1 BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code

This report was prepared in accordance with Part 10.5.3 of the Health, Safety and Reclamation Code (HSRC) for Mines in British Columbia (EMLI 2021), which sets out the minimum frequency for inspection of tailings storage facilities and associated dams. It is understood that this report will be submitted by FRO to the Chief Inspector of Mines.

The guidelines for annual reports provided in the HSRC Guidance Document (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016, Section 4.2) were considered where applicable during the preparation of this report.

1.2.2 Permits and Licences

Specific amendments to the permits concerning STP and NTP include:

- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system – South Tailings Pond tailings dredging project. Issued by the Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources. 27 April 1995.
- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system and reclamation program – Raising the South Tails Pond Dyke. Issued by the Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources. 30 June 2008.
- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system and reclamation program – Turnbull South Pit Tailings Storage Facility. Issued by the Ministry of Energy and Mines. 14 November 2013.
- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system and reclamation program – Turnbull South Pit Tailings Storage Facility East Pipeline Route. Issued by the Ministry of Energy and Mines. 6 May 2015.
- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system and reclamation program – Fording River Swift Mine Plan and Reclamation Program. Issued by the Ministry of Energy and Mines. 15 December 2015.
- Permit C-3 Amendment to permit approving work system and reclamation program – Approving South Tailings Pond Spillway. Issued by the Ministry of Energy and Mines. 3 July 2020.
- Permit 424 Amendment to authorized discharges. Issued by the Ministry of Environment. 6 December 2016.
- Permit 424 Amendment to authorized discharges – Request for additional site water pumping to maintain freeboard at the South Tailings Pond. Issued by the Ministry of Environment. 22 March 2018.
- Permit 424 Amendment to authorized discharges – Request for additional site water pumping to maintain freeboard at the North Tailings Pond. Issued by the Ministry of Environment. 2 January 2019.

2.0 BACKGROUND

2.1 Fording River Operations Tailings Storage

The FRO site is an open pit coal mine located near Elkford, BC, which currently has two tailings pond facilities on site along the Fording River: the North Tailings Pond (NTP) and South Tailings Pond (STP). FRO currently has two permitted destinations for in-pit tailings storage: the 2P-3P TSA and the Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility. This AFPR report is for the STP and the NTP facilities.

The STP facility is located south of the processing plant, on the east side of the Fording River. The STP is composed of two dams, the Main and West dams. Tailings discharge from the wash plant, within the processing plant, is currently directed to the STP.

The NTP facility is located on the west side of the Fording River across from the processing plant. The NTP has been essentially filled to its design capacity and is currently inactive.

A location and plan view of the STP and NTP facilities is shown in Figure 1.

2.1.1 Description of Tailings Facilities

At the STP, the earth fill dams provide the following:

- impoundment of the tailings slurry
- storage of settled tailings
- temporary storage of runoff, excess slurry water, and water from pit dewatering or sediment ponds (when viable based on freeboard)
- reservoir of water as the reclaim source of the coal processing plant

At the NTP, the earth fill dam provides storage for settled tailings and only retains a small pond, which receives runoff from the local tailings surface area and small surrounding catchment area. This facility is not in active use.

In the past, tailings were discharged to the STP and NTP alternately. The tailings stream has never discharged to both ponds concurrently. The NTP is essentially full, and tailings have not been deposited there since 2006.

Tailings are seasonally dredged from the STP to increase available tailings storage capacity. Previously, the dredged tailings were pumped to the NTP, 2 Pit, or 3 Pit South (Golder 2016a). Dredging operations to the NTP ended in 2006. Dredging to 2 Pit was discontinued in 2004. No dredged tailings have been sent to 3 Pit South since 6 October 2015.

Seasonal dredging from the STP to the Turnbull TSF started in 2016 and is planned to continue until the Turnbull TSF reaches capacity, which at an annual transfer rate of 1 million dry metric tonnes per year would continue until about 2034 (base case in Golder 2018a).

2.1.2 Coal Processing Waste Materials

The raw coal delivered to the breaker at FRO contains high-ash material in the form of carbonaceous mineral rock. To meet product specifications, this high-ash rock is separated from the raw coal at the wash plant within the processing plant. The high-ash waste consists of a coarse fraction and a fine fraction. The coarse fraction, referred to as coarse rejects (CR), consists of sand and gravel-sized fragments of washed, crushed rock ranging in size from approximately 1 to 100 mm. The fine fraction of the waste, comprising rock fragments smaller than

approximately 1.0 mm, includes “coarse-fine” rejects (0.75 to 1.0 mm) and the flotation tailings (less than 0.75 mm). Since 2005, the coarse-fine rejects and the majority of the flotation tailings have been separated at the wash plant. The coarse-fine rejects are mixed with the CR to produce combined coarse and fine rejects (CCFR), which are hauled by trucks to a designated CCFR spoil.

Both the CR and CCFR materials have been used in the construction of the downstream dam shell for the NTP and STP dams.

2.1.3 Tailings Description

The flotation tailings from the wash plant are a slurry and are sent via pipeline to the STP where they are hydraulically deposited from the north single point discharge. Site drainage is typically directed to the STP but may be directed to the North Loop Settling Pond. When directed to the STP, site runoff and sediments are added to the tailings line and discharged into the STP. Site drainage includes wash water from the dryer building and clean coal building, water used in the plant site area, and surface water runoff from the plant site area and nearby waste rock piles.

Particle size distribution testing of tailings samples collected at the north end of the STP was carried out in 2018 (Golder 2020b) using a laser diffraction method. Six tests were conducted using samples from depths between 2.4 and 16 m. The results show that the particle size of the tailings has sand content from 5% to 68% and fines content from 32% to 95%. Atterberg limits tests were carried out on the same six samples. One of the samples was found to be non-plastic and the others had low plasticity, with liquid limit from 32% to 49% and corresponding plasticity index from 4% to 8%. Specific gravity ranged from 1.4 to 1.9 and an in situ dry density averaged 858 kg/m³ considering four Shelby tube samples.

2.1.4 Dam Safety Review

A DSR (SNC-Lavalin 2020) was conducted for the STP and NTP dams by a third-party consultant in 2019. The DSR for the STP and NTP dams concluded the dams are reasonably safe despite the identified continual improvement deficiencies and non-conformances.

2.2 Overview of Design, Construction, and Previous Operation

A summary of the STP and NTP design, dam construction, and past operation is presented in the following subsections. Additional details of construction history are presented in the operation, maintenance, and surveillance (OMS) manual (FRO 2020b).

2.2.1 South Tailings Pond

A plan view of the STP facility is shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The STP occupies a total area of approximately 80 ha and is located to the south of the processing plant, on the east side of a realigned reach of the Fording River. The STP facility was developed on the flood plain of the Fording River. The Fording River was diverted to a new alignment outside the footprint of the STP by excavating a new channel through a topographic bench on the west side of the Fording River flood plain. This topographic bench consists of native glacial till soils overlying Fernie Shale. Confinement at the STP is provided by the Main Dam, which extends across the width of the Fording River flood plain, and by the West Dam, which extends parallel to the east side of the Fording River diversion channel. The West Dam is primarily founded on the glacial till bench.

Initial construction of the STP dams was performed between 1977 and 1979 based upon a design by Golder (1976). From 1983 to 2013, the STP dams were raised in six stages using the downstream construction method:

- 1) 1983 to 1984 (FCL 1984)
- 2) 1985 to 1990 (FCL 1988, 1989, 1990)
- 3) 1993
- 4) 2008 (Golder 2009)
- 5) 2010 (FRO 2010)
- 6) 2012 to 2013 (Golder 2013, 2014d)

The design crest elevation of 1,637.85 m was specified in the original design report (reported as elev. 1,638.3 m FRO Mine Grid in Golder 1976), and this elevation was reached for the Main Dam with construction carried out in 2013. The design crest elevation of the north end of the West Dam is 1,639.5 m, and this elevation was reached with construction carried out in 2013; however, a section of the north abutment was not completed due to the gas pipeline in this area. Designs of the north and south abutment sections of the dam are presented in the design update report and design drawings (Golder 2011, 2012a). The latest construction summary of the STP raise is reported in the construction record report (Golder 2014d).

The current minimum crest of the STP dam is elev. 1,637.85 m (confirmed with 2021 LiDAR survey data from FRO).

The dam's construction prior to the 2008 raise was wider than design, which created a bench along the length of the facility when the 2008 and later lifts were constructed, as shown in the sections in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

The June 2013 flooding of the Fording River caused high flows along the downstream toe of the STP West Dam, which eroded the foundation soils and a minor portion of the CR shell. Repairs to the West Dam downstream toe area were completed in 2013.

Riprap upgrades were completed for the STP in 2016, and construction was carried out under the direction of Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL) as Designer of Record. KWL oversaw the placement of approximately 2.5 m thickness of riprap by FRO and FRO contractors along the existing STP riprap alignment for scour protection and to accommodate the revised 200-year return period (Q200) design flow (KWL 2017b). During construction, KWL provided oversight to the gradation and quality of the riprap, which was sourced on site. A construction completion report and record drawings for these riprap upgrades are included in KWL (2017b). Golder provided on-site services to oversee re-sloping of the till bench and cutting into weathered bedrock for key-in of the riprap material, and monitored seepage conditions and signs of instability (Golder 2017a).

One recommendation remains outstanding from the reconstruction and riprap upgrades (Golder 2014c): river flood protection south of STP Sta. 0+680 needs to be completed to improve long-term stability of the STP structure (recommendation 2015-12 in Table 21). The riprap upgrades south of Sta. 0+680 have not been completed. Riprap along this area has been included in the detailed design of the STP flood widening project (KCB 2020) with works planned for construction in 2021 through to 2024.

A 168 mm outer diameter, 160.3 mm internal diameter steel pipeline crosses beneath the north abutment of the STP at Sta. 0+185 m and continues under the tailings along the western side of the railway embankment, then crosses under the STP's south abutment at approximately Sta. 1+850. This was previously used as a high-pressure gas pipeline which was decommissioned and purged in 2020 by FortisBC, the owner of the pipeline. A portion of the gas pipeline from the tree island (along the railway embankment) to downstream of the STP south abutment was backfilled with a sanded grout mix provided by CIF Construction Ltd. which was supplemented with hydrated bentonite provided by Golder. Approximately 525 linear metres of the pipeline was backfilled, and grouting was completed in one day on 28 June 2020. The grouted pipeline was then capped by FRO. The construction record report of the decommissioning and grouting of the gas line is provided in Golder (2020d). A section of the decommissioned steel pipeline which was not grouted remains under the north abutment. Golder is working with FRO to develop a plan to properly abandon this section of the decommissioned pipeline.

An environmental design flood is defined as the most severe flood that is to be managed without release of untreated water to the environment (CDA 2019). The 100-year return period, 10-day rain-on-snow event was adopted as the environmental design flood (Golder 2020c).

Golder carried out a site investigation in December 2018 on the tailings at the STP. The investigation included six cone penetration tests (CPTs), two seismic CPTs, sonic drilling, electronic field vane shear testing, vibrating wire (VW) piezometer installation, and laboratory testing. The data collected from the site investigation are summarized in a report by Golder (2020b). Results from the site investigation were used for liquefaction assessment and bearing capacity of tailings (Golder 2020b), as well as an assessment of potential of dam overtopping due to displacement induced by tailings liquefaction (Golder 2020a, in draft). Locations of the boreholes and VW piezometers are shown in Figure 3. A study was carried out to address recommended action 2017-05 in Table 21; however, due to high levels of uncertainty in the results, additional analyses have been recommended to better characterize the failure potential of saturated tailings block and the resulting wave attenuation potential.

Signage was placed at the dam toe, crest, and vicinity of the STP in June 2019 to notify passersby that the structure is a tailings dam and to provide direction and contact information to report any issues observed or any proposed work in the vicinity.

Following the reclassification of the STP dam from a High to a Very High consequence structure, the hydrologic assessment of the STP (Golder 2018b) identified that the STP facility without a spillway did not have sufficient capacity to store the 72-hour Inflow Design Flood (IDF) as required by the HSRC (EMLI 2021).

Detailed design for an emergency spillway for the operation phase for the STP facility was completed in 2020 (Golder 2020c), permitted for construction, and construction was completed in July 2021. The spillway was designed to safely convey a peak flow of 16.3 m³/s resulting from the 24-hour probable maximum flood (PMF) event through the spillway to downstream of the dam. This exceeds the Very High requirement and aligns with Teck's approach of extreme external load adoption for operating facilities with potential failure modes leading to flow failures. The spillway design consists of:

- A spillway invert (20 m long) with a 53 m wide base and a concrete sill with invert elevation at 1,637.1 m.
- A spillway chute (78 m long) consisting of a channel, chute, and stilling basin; the chute tapers from 53 m at its connection with the spillway invert to 36 m at the stilling basin.

- A channel stilling basin to account for a hydraulic jump that is expected at the base of the spillway chute during spillway flow events.
- A spillway channel (440 m long) that starts at 36 m in width then tapers to 10 m except for the portion of the spillway channel that will act as an access road.

2.2.1.1 Main Dam

The STP Main Dam, which extends across the Fording River flood plain, has a maximum height of approximately 35 m. A typical section of the STP Main Dam is presented in Figure 4. The Main Dam was constructed and raised using a downstream construction method. It consists of a low permeability starter dam of compacted glacial till soil with a cut-off through the sand and gravel into the underlying in situ till. Raises above the starter dam included an inclined low permeability zone of compacted glacial till soil on the upstream side of the dam, supported by a zone of compacted CR or CCFR. The compacted CR or CCFR zone that forms the downstream shell of the Main Dam provides the structural strength of the dam.

As indicated in Figure 4, discontinuous flood plain sands and gravels extend beneath the whole downstream shell of the Main Dam. These sands and gravels extend through the Fording River flood plain gravels and are joined to in situ glacial till soils that underlie the flood plain gravels. These flood plain sediments are pervious and serve as an underdrain for the dam.

The Main Dam abuts high ground at the location of the reclaim barge. The south abutment till blanket and dam tie into both the high ground and in situ soil in the railway embankment. The till blanket was constructed to reduce potential seepage losses from the STP south abutment and to mitigate against a preferential flow path at the dam's tie-in to the south abutment.

A draft dam breach and inundation study was conducted by Golder (2021d) to assess the potential for inundation in the immediate downstream area of the Main Dam, which now includes the active water treatment facility-south (AWTF-S). The study was carried out as a result of recommended action 2018-06 in Table 21. FRO tailings team has worked with the AWTF-S team to update training and emergency planning for workers at the water treatment facility.

2.2.1.2 West Dam

The STP West Dam is founded on the till bench that borders the western edge of the Fording River diversion channel and on a portion of the Fording River flood plain. It was constructed and raised using a downstream construction method. A typical section through the West Dam, presented in Figure 5, consists of a low permeability zone of compacted glacial till soil on the upstream side of the STP West Dam, supported by a zone of compacted CR or CCFR. The West Dam abuts into the railway embankment at the north abutment. The West Dam ranges from a height of 16 m near Sta. 0+400 to 24 m near the transition to the Main Dam.

A key-in excavation was constructed for a portion of the north abutment, and the excavation was filled with compacted till. The construction of the north abutment section between Sta. -0+160 and -0+223 was not completed in 2013 due to proximity to the high-pressure gas pipeline. An interim berm was constructed approximately parallel to the gas pipeline.

Tailings were observed to periodically back up at the north single point discharge channel area and the backed-up tailings would cause the tailings pipeline to become partially submerged. In 2018 and 2019, FRO contracted an earthworks company to excavate, with a long-arm excavator, tailings from the main discharge channel to direct tailings flow away from the discharge point and toward the main reclaim pond. The excavated tailings were

stockpiled to the west of the channel and spread locally in the area using a dozer. The stockpiled tailings were regraded in 2019 across a larger area (than the stockpile) and to slope the tailings to the topography of the STP facility and dam, where elevation is the highest at the north end and slopes down toward the south. The Engineer of Record (EoR) provided recommendations (Golder 2019) on the maximum elevation of the graded surface tailings, setback between the graded tailings and STP dam upstream slope, and geotechnical considerations for safe working conditions in this area to FRO's safe work plan of this work.

The tailings pipeline was extended upstream in 2020. The pipeline was extended to allow tailings to deposit farther upstream from the north abutment area in response to past occurrences of tailings backup at the discharge point. The extended pipeline lowers the risk of tailings backing up and overtopping at the north abutment of the STP.

2.2.1.3 *Railway Embankment*

A segment of the railway embankment south of the loading loop traverses an area that impounds tailings in the STP facility. A stability assessment of the embankment was previously carried out by Golder in 1984 (Golder 1984) and updated in 2010 (Golder 2010). The 1984 assessment recommended a buttress on both sides of the railway embankment to maintain stability of the embankment with respect to the increase in the pond elevation. FRO constructed this buttress in stages as the tailings and STP pond level increased between 1985 and 2014.

In 2010, Golder recommended that FRO grout the existing culverts that conveyed surface runoff through the railway embankment, install new culverts at a higher elevation, and backfill the area east of the railway embankment to provide further buttressing for the railway embankment to improve stability (Golder 2010). The corrugated steel culverts passing through the railway embankment were filled with concrete during 2009 and 2010 to prevent the flow of tailings from the STP to the east as the tailings level rose above the elevation of the existing culverts. The unused culverts were properly closed and abandoned, and in 2010 the area of the railway embankment was backfilled and graded. Surface runoff from the area upslope of the railway embankment, including Blackmore Creek, is now diverted around the backfilled area into the STP through twin 0.8 m diameter culverts installed in 2010.

A till cut-off was constructed through the rejects buttress fill that runs parallel to the railway embankment (Golder 2013).

Three culverts were installed in 2015 under the railway track to pass pipelines (two at 0.6 m diameter and one at 0.3 m diameter) as part of the STP to Turnbull TSF tailings transfer project. These culverts are located just north of the twin Blackmore Creek culverts.

2.2.2 *North Tailings Pond*

A plan view of the NTP facility is shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7. The NTP was developed on a segment of the Fording River flood plain and occupies a total area of approximately 40 ha. In the 1970s, the Fording River was diverted into a new constructed channel (McElhanney 1969) to allow construction of the NTP on the west side of the Fording River flood plain (Golder Brawner 1969). Along the eastern and southeastern sides of the NTP facility, confinement for water and the stored tailings is provided by a zoned earth fill dam that has a maximum height of approximately 24 m. The NTP dam was designed and constructed using a downstream construction method. A confining dam is not required along the west side of the facility because the natural ground to the west of the NTP is higher than the stored tailings or pond level.

A typical section through the zoned earth fill NTP dam is presented in Figure 8. The crest of the dam was raised in stages, as the tailings storage requirements increased progressively during the early years of operation at FRO. Stage 1 of the dam was constructed entirely of compacted glacial till soil, complete with a compacted glacial till cut-off that extends through the Fording River flood plain gravels and is joined to in situ glacial till soils that underlie the flood plain gravels.

During subsequent stages of construction, the compacted glacial till was extended upward in the form of an inclined zone on the upstream side of the NTP dam. Structural support for this inclined till zone is provided by compacted CR. As shown in Figure 8, the in situ fluvial sands and gravels of the Fording River flood plain extend beneath the cross-section of the dam. These fluvial sediments have a high hydraulic conductivity and serve as an underdrain that promotes downward seepage from the facility.

The original design for the NTP was completed by Golder (Golder Brawner 1969, 1970). Construction of the NTP was initiated in 1971 (Golder Brawner 1971), and the facility was put into service in March 1972. The NTP dam was raised four times between 1973 and 1979 (Golder Brawner 1973, 1974a,b, 1975a,b; Golder 1979) using a downstream construction method and reached its current elevation in 1979. The NTP facility was at its tailings storage capacity by 1980 (Golder 1981). Between 1980 and 1991, the NTP was inactive, and the facility was dewatered and excavated using scrapers to recover additional tailings storage capacity (FCL 1981; Golder 1981). The NTP was put back into active use and refilled with tailings between 1993 and 1997, after which the facility was again inactive. From 2001 to 2002, the NTP was dredged and the tailings were sent to 2 Pit and 3 Pit South. Dredged tailings from the STP were used to fill the excavated areas of the NTP seasonally between 2004 and 2006. No tailings have been sent to the NTP since 2006, and the tailings pipeline has been partially removed.

The design crest of the NTP dam is elev. 1,653 m with minimum elevation of the NTP dam crest at elev. 1,652.6 m (confirmed with 2021 LiDAR survey data from FRO).

Following the flood of June 1995, riprap was placed along the downstream/eastern toe of the dam, as well as along the opposite (left) side of the Fording River channel. The condition of the riprap placed in 1995 had degraded by the time of the 2006 dam safety review (DSR), and review of the riprap sizing and placement was recommended by Golder. Assessment of the riprap was performed by KWL (2007, 2009).

Between 19 and 20 June 2013, a significant 48-hour rainfall event (approximately 1:150 to 1:200 year return) occurred which resulted in flooding of the Fording River. High flows along the toes of the NTP dam triggered major erosion of the CR shell. Golder was retained by FRO to provide geotechnical input for flood repairs of the NTP dam. KWL was retained to provide recommendations for sizing and placement of the riverbank protection along the downstream dam toe (KWL 2014). The dam shell was rebuilt using compacted CCFR material. A total CCFR fill of approximately 22,350 m³ was placed and compacted between 3 July and 8 August 2013 (Golder 2014b). Riprap revetment construction was carried out along the toe of NTP dam under the direction of KWL in 2013 and 2014. A major deflection structure in the Fording River, a main source of the concentrate flow that led to the erosion, was removed in 2013 as part of flood repair works.

In 2016, FRO constructed a sediment pond north of the NTP facility (the Liverpool Sediment Pond); the outlet channel from this pond is routed through the north end of the NTP tailings deposit and includes a fish barrier weir constructed through the north abutment of the NTP dam (AMEC-FW 2017).

Additional riprap upgrade works were designed and construction was carried out under the direction of KWL as Designer of Record (DoR) in 2016 and 2017 (KWL 2017a). The 2016 work included placing riprap of approximately 2.5 m thickness along the existing NTP riprap alignment for scour protection and to accommodate the revised 200-year return period (Q200) design flow plus freeboard. During 2017, riprap construction was completed under the direction of KWL which included the excavation and placement of approximately 150 m of riprap at the upstream end of the NTP and the placement of approximately 745 m of riprap over the existing bank protection. During construction, KWL provided oversight to the gradation and quality of the riprap, which was sourced on site. A construction completion report and record drawings for these riprap upgrades are included in KWL (2017b).

Golder completed a screening-level flowability assessment of the tailings within NTP in 2016 (Golder 2017c) to assess the possibility of revising the NTP from a tailings dam to a mine waste facility or “landform” per Section 10.6.12 of the HSRC (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2017).

In September 2018, FRO installed two monitoring wells at the toe of the NTP dam to support the NTP transition scope of the Flood Mitigation Project. The location of these monitoring wells is shown in Figure 7.

On 18 May 2019, a VW piezometer was installed in the NTP pond to monitor pond elevation. A data logger was installed for the piezometer and it is connected to GeoExplorer. Readings from the NTP pond piezometer are live on GeoExplorer and are recorded every six hours.

A staff gauge was installed in the NTP pond in October 2019 to also monitor pond elevation. The staff gauge has been calibrated regularly as part of maintaining accurate readings.

2.2.3 Water Management of the South Tailing Pond and North Tailings Pond

2.2.3.1 Freeboard Management

The minimum required freeboard during the IDF event and maximum operating water levels for the STP and NTP are summarized in Table 1.

Construction of the emergency spillway capable of passing the PMF event started in September 2020 and was completed in July 2021. Further details of the spillway construction are presented in Section 3.1.1. The resulting minimum required freeboard during the IDF event and Environmental Design Flood (EDF) storage volume required below the spillway invert are summarized in Table 1.

For the NTP, the maximum operating water level must be 1.9 m below the minimum dam crest elevation to store the IDF while maintaining the required minimum freeboard.

Table 1: Maximum Pond Elevation or Pond Volume and Freeboard Levels

Parameter	STP (m)	NTP (m)
Minimum dam crest elevation	1,637.85 ^(a)	1,652.60 ^(b)
Spillway invert elevation	1,637.10	n/a
Minimum required freeboard (while passing IDF)	0.40	n/a
Minimum required freeboard (while storing IDF)	n/a	0.35
IDF maximum water level (dam crest elevation minus the minimum freeboard)	1,637.45	1,652.25
Maximum operating water level to allow for storage of the IDF	n/a	1,650.70
EDF storage volume required between operating pond and spillway invert, with no pumping	463,381 m ³	n/a

(a) Minimum Main Dam crest elevation following 2013 dam raise construction reported in Golder (2014d), checked with 2021 LiDAR survey data from FRO.

(b) Dam crest elevation from 2018 LiDAR, checked with 2021 LiDAR survey data from FRO.

Source: Golder 2018b, 2020c.

n/a = not applicable; STP = South Tailings Pond; NTP = North Tailings Pond; IDF = inflow design flood; EDF = environmental design flood; FRO = Fording River Operations.

2.2.3.2 Control of Inflows and Outflows

Floating reclaim pumps are used to recirculate water from the STP to the processing plant. Water demand at the plant is greater than the volume of water that is available from recirculation of tailings slurry transport water alone, creating a water deficit in the STP facility water balance. Makeup water is added to the STP from various locations on site to satisfy the reclaim water demand.

In the event of high water levels, the current STP water level trigger-action-response plan (TARP) or the NTP water level TARP from Appendix C of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b) would be followed.

There are no permanent working pumps at the NTP. A portable pump and pipeline are in place to pump water from the NTP to the STP when required.

2.2.4 Design Parameters for the South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

The following design parameters apply to the STP and NTP. Typical sections of the dams are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5 for the STP and in Figure 8 for the NTP.

2.2.4.1 Foundation Materials

The retention dams at the STP and the NTP are founded on Fording River flood plain sands and gravels, dense glacial till soils, or shale bedrock.

A subsurface investigation was completed by FRO to compile in situ density data and subsurface stratigraphy under the STP and NTP dams (FRO 2016).

2.2.4.2 Embankment Fill Materials

Materials that were used to construct the dams were till fill, CR, and CCFR.

2.2.4.2.1 Till Fill

A zone of compacted glacial till fill forms the upstream face of the retaining dams. This till fill zone serves as a low permeability zone to minimize seepage through the dam rather than as structural support. The glacial till material was sourced locally on site.

2.2.4.2.2 Coarse Rejects and Combined Coarse and Fine Rejects

At both the STP and the NTP, support for the low permeability zone of the dams is provided by compacted CR or CCFR. The CR is a waste product generated at the wash plant and consists of sand and gravel-sized, well-graded, washed crushed rock material.

For the 2010 and 2012 raises of the STP dams, CCFR was used in place of the CR following modifications to the wash plant waste streams. The CCFR is formed by combining the CR with finer material previously sent to the tailings ponds as tailings. The CCFR contains approximately 2% to 10% material finer than 0.075 mm. The engineering properties of the CCFR are similar to those of the CR and used in slope stability analysis (Golder 2018b).

Golder personnel were on site throughout the 2012 and 2013 dam raise construction period to provide quality control services following the Quality Control Specifications from Golder (2011). Results of the quality control program related to the dam raise, including construction observations and deficiencies noted by the Golder personnel, and recommendations to address the deficiencies, are included in the construction record reports (Golder 2013, 2014d).

2.2.4.3 Seismicity

The site is located in an area of relatively low seismicity in BC. Golder developed a site-specific seismic hazard model for the FRO site based on historical seismicity and a review of geological and paleoseismological features (Golder 2016b). Golder's model includes four area sources from the 5th Generation Seismic Hazard Model and nine faults and fault segments mapped in northwest Montana. The 5th Generation Seismic Hazard Model was developed by Natural Resources Canada for use in the 2015 National Building Code of Canada.

Probabilistic analysis results from site-specific hazard model are listed in Table 2. All site-specific peak ground acceleration values were evaluated for a soil Site Class C as described in the 2010 National Building Code of Canada (NRCC 2010) as this represents Golder's understanding of the general foundation conditions at the dam locations. Note the NRCC 2015 description for Site Class was not published at the time of writing the site-specific seismic hazard model report.

Table 2: Fording River Operations Site Seismic Hazard Values

Exceedance Probability	Return Period (years)	Peak Ground Acceleration (g)
40% in 50 years	100	0.020
10% in 50 years	475	0.063
5% in 50 years	1,000	0.097
2% in 50 years	2,475	0.158
1% in 50 years	5,000	0.222
½% in 50 years	10,000	0.300

Note(s): For firm ground site class “C,” very dense soil and soft rock foundation, as defined by 2010 National Building Code of Canada (NRCC 2010). Return periods are not exact representations of annual exceedance probabilities; rounding per Canadian Dam Association (CDA 2013, 2019) is shown. FRO (Fording River Operations) site coordinates: 50.202°N, -114.876°W.

2.3 Key Personnel

The EoR for the STP and NTP dams is Mr. John Cunning, P.Eng., an employee of Golder Associates Ltd. The DoR for the STP spillway is Ms. Adriana Parada, P.Eng., also an employee of Golder Associates Ltd.

KWL has historically been responsible for hydraulics-related works and has completed a Fording River hydraulics assessment (KWL 2017c) and prepared design and construction record reports for erosion protection along the STP and NTP dam toes following the 2013 flood event (KWL 2017a,b). Mr. Jason Miller of KWL is the DoR for the erosion protection works for both the STP and NTP facilities.

The Qualified Person (QP) / Responsible Tailings Facility Engineer (RTFE) for the STP and NTP is Mr. James Campbell, P.Eng., Senior Tailings Engineer, who is an employee of Teck. Mr. James Campbell became the QP / RTFE on 4 May 2021.

2.4 Quantifiable Performance Objectives

Quantifiable performance objectives (QPOs) have been established for the STP and NTP with consideration of the credible failure modes for the facilities. Golder has updated the QPOs for piezometers and GPS units at the STP and NTP based on the stability update completed in 2018 (Golder 2018b).

2.4.1 Piezometers

Slope stability analysis of the STP and NTP dams (Golder 2018b) informed the initial development of warning and alarm QPOs. The warning and alarm QPOs were developed based on stability assessments (Golder 2018b) and presented in the Elk Valley Elevation Datum system, rounded to the nearest 0.1 m. These QPOs are included in the 2020 version of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b).

Three VW piezometers (at BH-CPT18-05A and -07A) were installed in 2018 within the STP tailings deposit. No QPOs are required for these instruments as they were not installed for dam safety reasons. These sensors are connected to the GeoExplorer system.

Seven piezometers were installed in three locations (CP17-NTP-01, -02, and -04) within the NTP tailings deposit in November and December 2017. These are being monitored to support NTP facility closure studies, and no QPOs are required for these instruments.

The piezometer QPOs are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Piezometer Instrumentation Trigger Levels for the South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

Dam	Monitoring Instrument	Warning Water Elevation (m)
NTP	TH15-05	>1,646.5
	TH15-06	>1,643.5
	TH15-07	>1,640.5
STP – Main Dam	SP-3	>1,604.0
	SP-5	>1,603.5
	TH15-04	>1,603.5
	TH15-01/VW-5	>1,617.5
	TH15-02/VW-4	>1,624.0
STP – West Dam	TH15-03/VW-1/VW-2	>1,627.5
	SP-W1	>1,623.1
	SP-W3	>1,623.0
	VW-3	>1,627.0

NTP = North Tailings Pond; STP = South Tailings Pond; >= greater than.

2.4.2 Dam Crest Displacement Monitoring

The STP has 10 GPS units to monitor displacements. FRO ceased the use of prisms on the NTP dam in May 2018 due to difficulties with surveying in winter (i.e., snow cover on the prisms) and with backsight readings. The prisms were replaced with GPS units, with three units installed at the NTP in June 2018. Dam crest displacement monitoring is considered a best practice, and warning levels for displacement data from the GPS units have been established.

Data from the GPS units are reviewed by FRO as part of the tailings dam inspections for the STP and NTP (weekly to monthly for the STP and monthly for the NTP) to check for movements or trends of concern. The data and results of the routine FRO review are provided to the EoR team on a monthly basis for review.

Table 4 provides the trigger levels for GPS units on the STP and NTP dams from Golder (2018b).

Table 4: GPS Monitoring Instrumentation Trigger Levels for Both South and North Tailings Ponds

Dam	Monitoring Instrument	Survey Data	Warning	Alarm
STP & NTP	GPS	3D displacement (or cumulative relative displacement)	>100 mm	>150 mm
		3D point velocity with 12-point averaging	>100 mm/day	>150 mm/day

Note: Discuss with Engineer of Record prior to zeroing displacement data.

STP = South Tailings Pond; NTP = North Tailings Pond; >= greater than.

2.4.3 Slope Inclinometers

In total, there are seven slope inclinometers (Table 5): four inclinometers are installed in the STP dam (TH15-01 to TH15-04) and three are installed in the NTP (TH15-05 to TH15-07). These were installed during 2015 drilling as a best practice, and not in response to any dam displacement concerns. Slope inclinometer data are collected three times per year and the readings timed with the following events:

- shortly before freshet
- latter part of freshet
- late summer

Table 5: Slope Inclinometer Summary

Location	Test Hole	Approximate A-A Axis Azimuth (°)	Hole Depth (m)	Casing Stickup (m)	Start Depth (m)	Reading Intervals (m)
STP	TH15-01	310	41.00	0.8	40.0	1.0
	TH15-02	10	40.00	1.0	40.0	1.0
	TH15-03	30	30.05	1.1	30.0	1.0
	TH15-04	15	6.00	1.0	6.0	1.0
NTP	TH15-05	235	20.90	0.9	21.0	1.0
	TH15-06	290	29.20	1.0	29.0	1.0
	TH15-07	305	40.80	0.9	41.0	1.0

Source: Summary table provided by email (Roseingrave 2017, pers. Comm.).

STP = South Tailings Pond; NTP = North Tailings Pond.

FRO and the EoR discussed challenges with setting QPOs for inclinometers as they are not a critical dam safety control and it is not practical to set QPOs for inclinometers. Monitoring inclinometers is considered a best practice and Table 6 has been updated to provide suggested screening trigger levels for review of the inclinometer data.

Table 6: Trigger Levels for Slope Inclinometers

Monitoring Instrument	Trigger Level	Severity	
		Acceptable	Warning
Slope Inclinometer	Downstream displacement at depth greater than 1 m	<5 mm/year	>5 mm and <15 mm/year

Note: Trigger levels provided are for readings measured at depths greater than 1 m below ground surface.

>= greater than; <= less than.

2.4.4 Water Level Quantifiable Performance Objective

The warning and alarm triggers shown in Table 7 are currently used by FRO for the STP and NTP facilities' water level elevations. The NTP water level TARP is provided in Appendix C of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b). The STP water level TARP (version 2020-05) is the most recent update by FRO.

Table 7: Water Level Triggers for the South Tailings Pond and the North Tailings Pond

Dam	Survey Data	High Level Warning (EVED)	High Level Alarm (EVED)
NTP	Water level	>1,650.4 m	>1,650.7 m
STP	Water level	>1,636.5 m	>1,636.8 m

NTP = North Tailings Pond; STP = South Tailings Pond; >= greater than; EVED = Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

The STP spillway construction is complete and the STP water level TARP warning and alarm triggers shall be updated (recommended action 2020-01 in Table 21) in the OMS manual.

2.4.5 Swift Area Blasting

The Swift mining area has active open pit mining operations located near the STP and NTP dams. A blast monitoring TARP has been prepared to monitor potential effects from this nearby blasting. The response framework for the monitoring data is described in Golder (2018c), and the TARP is included in Appendix D of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b).

3.0 OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND CONSTRUCTION DURING 2020/2021 REPORTING PERIOD

A summary of the operations, maintenance, and any construction activities for the 2020/2021 reporting period is presented in the following sections.

3.1 South Tailings Pond

3.1.1 Spillway Construction

Spillway construction was started on 28 September 2020 and completed on 8 July 2021 following the Issued for Construction Drawings and Specifications (Golder 2020c). During spillway construction Golder provided full-time construction QC supervision, field design support and QA.

Details of construction are reported in the construction record report Golder (2021c) and signed off by the spillway DoR, which noted that the construction work met the intent of the design, with noted deficiencies and recommendations. The following are the main deficiencies and recommendations:

- The protection to the clarified water pipeline did not follow the supplemental instructions and had to be field fit. This section of the spillway needs to be clearly marked as no large trucks can safely drive over this area.
- Approximately 1,591 m³ of the 4,482 m³ (35%) of the Class 10 kg and Class 50 kg riprap sourced from the Swift South Spoil placed during Phase I did not meet the specifications for micro-deval.
- Approximately 2,891 m³ of the 4,482 m³ (65%) of the Class 10 kg and Class 50 kg riprap sourced from the Swift Pit placed during Phase I did not meet the specifications for absorption, micro-deval and soundness.
- Golder informed Teck that the riprap was deficient and did not approve placement as the riprap has the potential to deteriorate over time. Teck acknowledged the deficiency and agreed to accept the deterioration risk to accommodate the construction schedule. Golder recommended that Teck document this project decision along with estimates of potential future repair costs and that Teck develop a long-term monitoring and mitigation plan for the riprap. The monitoring plan should include annual inspections consisting of visual and photographic assessment of the riprap to evaluate any breakage or deterioration, change in riprap gradation, change in extent of surface cover or other deficiencies. Golder recommends establishing at least 5 test sections within the spillway and checking the riprap condition at the same test sections every year.

3.1.2 Unauthorized Trench Excavation at South Abutment

During construction of the STP spillway, a trench was observed by FRO personnel in the STP dam crest during a routine inspection of the STP on 16 November 2020. The FRO tailings team notified the EoR. The trench was located at the western edge of the spillway, and it was excavated with the purpose of burying two relocated Kilmarnock pipelines.

The excavation in the STP dam crest was not authorized by the FRO tailings team and notification of excavation had not been provided to the EoR. Recommendations for backfilling and construction specifications were provided to FRO outlined in Golder (2020e). The trench was backfilled in accordance with the specifications provided in December 2020 and the pipelines were subsequently installed above the dam crest. Water levels were actively being managed at the time and the unauthorized trench did not cause any immediate dam safety concerns.

3.1.3 Fording River Flood Plain Widening

Detailed design of the South Tailings Pond Floodplain Widening Project was completed in August 2020 (KCB 2020). In the Fall of 2021, FRO started earthwork excavation to widen the west bank of the Fording River along the STP West Dam.

3.1.4 Pond Capacity, Dredging, and Other Operation Updates

The STP was active and tailings were deposited into the STP throughout the reporting period.

The 2021 dredging season was between 7 April and 12 October 2021. A total of 1.81 million dry metric tonnes of tailings was dredged from the STP and sent to the Turnbull TSF.

The STP pond level was managed starting in September 2020 for spillway construction based on a maximum operating water elevation at 1,636.4 m as provided in the STP spillway detailed design report (Golder 2020c). The pond level was lowered to elev. 1,636.2 m as of 3 September 2020, and FRO managed the pond level through spillway construction following the most recent version of the STP water level TARP.

A temporary pump system was installed in February 2021 to pump water from the STP to the Turnbull TSF as part of managing additional inflows to the STP from Shandley Pit dewatering.

Bathymetric surveys were completed by FRO on 3 April (before dredging commenced), 10 May (interim), 1 September (interim), and 17 October 2021 (after dredging operations) as part of monitoring storage capacity in the STP. The storage capacity and operating pond volume of the STP are to be confirmed after each bathymetric survey is completed (recommended action 2020-03 in Table 21).

During the reporting period, site drainage was sent to the STP except during periods on:

- 22 to 23 December 2020
- 21 to 22 March 2021
- 4 July 2021

3.1.5 Construction and Maintenance

3.1.5.1 West Dam Pipe Removal

Pipelines crossing the STP West Dam crest that were used historically to dredge tailings from the STP as described in Section 2.1.1 at approximately Sta. 0+680 were removed on 28 July 2021. Excavated material, comprising of CCFR on the crest, and a combination of till and CCFR towards the toe was used to backfill the excavations to original ground. Excavated material was placed and compacted in 0.3 m lifts.

3.1.5.2 Rail Line Embankment Erosion Repair

In December 2020, FRO site personnel noticed erosion at the rail line embankment at the STP north abutment caused by discharge from the NLP water lines. On 31 December 2021, the area was reinforced with breaker rock to prevent further erosion.

3.1.5.3 Silt Fences

In August of 2021, silt fences were installed at the STP north end beach area for dust suppression purposes.

3.1.6 Inspections

The STP dams were inspected by FRO tailings personnel throughout the year per the inspection schedule outlined in Section 1.3.3 of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b). The STP dams were inspected weekly between May and October and twice per month between November and April. The inspections were completed at the required frequency. A summary of the dam inspection action items is included in Appendix D, and the EoR team has reviewed them as part of this annual review.

Water quality testing is completed by FRO environmental personnel.

3.2 North Tailings Pond

3.2.1 Operation and Capacity

The NTP was not operational and there was no tailings deposition during the reporting period.

In the beginning of the reporting period, multiple High Level Alerts were triggered in GeoExplorer and it was determined that the VW sensor was moving with the ice. This was followed up with visual observations using the staff gauge during monthly inspections. Monthly inspections showed the NTP water level below the High Level Alert elevation.

A High Level Alarm was triggered in mid March 2021 by the pond's VW piezometer. FRO began intermittent pumping of water from the NTP to the STP on 15 and 19 March 2021 to draw down the pond level. Pumping continued until the pond was below the normal operating level on 20 March 2021.

To facilitate real-time visual monitoring while snow and ice are present at the NTP, temporary water elevation stakes were installed by FRO and were visible from the NTP camera.

FRO installed a stilling well at the NTP in December 2021 to replace the VW piezometer currently being used to measure pond elevation.

A bathymetric survey was completed by Golder at the NTP on 16 October 2021 to confirm the stage storage curve for the facility and to understand rate of sediment build up (recommended action 2020-06 in Table 21).

3.2.2 Construction and Maintenance

There was no construction or maintenance at NTP during the reporting period.

3.2.3 Inspections

The NTP dam was inspected monthly by FRO tailings personnel. The inspections were completed at the required frequency. A summary of the dam inspection action items is included in Appendix D, and the NTP dam inspection reports have been reviewed by the EoR team.

4.0 REVIEW OF CLIMATE DATA AND WATER BALANCE

4.1 Climatic Review

Three local climate monitoring stations exist at FRO: waste water treatment plant, A Spoil, and Brownie Spoil. Records were available from the waste treatment plant and Brownie Spoil weather stations during the reporting period of 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021. Only limited precipitation data was available for the A Spoil station and therefore it has been excluded from the climate data review.

The Fording River Cominco station is the closest regional Environment and Climate Change Canada station to the FRO site; however, the station has not published precipitation data since 2017. The waste water treatment plant station has been used as the main precipitation station for the Fording River Cominco infilling gap process since December 2013, and now makes up the majority of the data set. As a result, a new combined data set, herein referred to as the Fording River (infilled) data set, has been used for the climate review. The waste water treatment plant station precipitation data were used over the majority of the reporting period with the exception of 28 April to 4 May 2021 (inclusive), where missing data were infilled with data from the Sparwood CS regional station.

The total precipitation recorded at the Fording River (infilled) plant and Brownie Spoil stations over the reporting period is shown in Table 8, with their monthly total precipitation presented in Chart 1. For comparison purposes, the long-term (1970 to 2020) average monthly precipitation at FRO (from the Fording River Cominco infilled dataset) is also presented in Chart 1. The long-term (1970 to 2020) average annual precipitation at the mine site is estimated to be 632 mm.

Note that data presented in Table 8 and Chart 1 for the Fording River (infilled) and Brownie Spoil stations are raw data; no adjustments for station elevation or undercatch were made.

Table 8: Total Precipitation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

Weather Station	Total Precipitation (mm)
Fording River (infilled)	532
Brownie Spoil	858




Chart 1: Monthly Precipitation Data from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

The climate data in Table 8 indicate the annual precipitation used for the Fording River (infilled) dataset from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 was lower than the long-term average of 632 mm whereas the corresponding annual precipitation received at the Brownie Spoil weather station was higher than the long-term annual average. A similar observation could be made from Chart 1, where the total monthly precipitation data used in the Fording River (infilled) dataset were lower than the long-term average and the total monthly precipitation data recorded at the Brownie Spoil weather station were generally at or higher than the long-term average except for the following time periods:

- October 2020 and August 2021 where the total monthly precipitation data recorded at the stations used to infill the Fording River (infilled) were higher than the long-term average.
- September 2020, December 2020, and March 2021 where the total monthly precipitation data recorded at the Brownie Spoil weather station was lower than the long-term average.

Freshet typically starts in April to May at FRO with higher runoff flow events expected during those months as a result of combined rainfall and snowmelt.

4.2 Water Balance

4.2.1 South Tailings Pond

The water balance for STP from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 is summarized in Table 9 using climate inputs from the waste water treatment plant station.

Table 9: South Tailings Pond Water Balance – 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

IN	Annual Volume (m³)	OUT	Annual Volume (m³)	Total Inventory Change (m³)
Calculated Parameters				2,000
Surface water runoff	47,000	Evaporation	294,000	
Precipitation	228,000	Water retained in tailings	-318,000 ^(a)	
Tailings slurry	29,165,000	-	-	
Measured Parameters				
Makeup water	5,471,000	Dredged slurry to Turnbull TSF	2,692,000	
Miscellaneous	1,314,000	Clarified water return	28,143,000	
-	-	Water to Turnbull	495,000	
Estimated Parameters				
-	-	Seepage Loss	4,917,000	
Sum	36,224,000	Sum	36,222,000	

Notes: 12-month volumes and total inventory change may not exactly equal the sum of inflows and/or outflows due to rounding.

a) A lower tonnage of tailings solids in the tailings slurry was delivered to the STP from the wash plant than what was dredged from the STP to the Turnbull TSF, resulting in an overall net positive change to the water stored within the facility.

For the reporting period, the water balance model estimates a slight increase in volume in the STP pond.

No facility performance issues were noted associated with the precipitation observed on site or considering the water balance during the reporting period.

4.2.2 North Tailings Pond

The water balance for NTP from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 is summarized in Table 10 using climate inputs from the waste water treatment plant station.

Table 10: North Tailings Pond Water Balance – 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

IN	Annual Volume (m ³)	OUT	Annual Volume (m ³)	Total Inventory Change (m ³)
Surface water runoff	237,000	Evaporation and seepage loss	269,000	-10,000
Precipitation	22,000			
Sum	259,000	Sum	269,000	

Note: 12-month volumes and total inventory change may not exactly equal the sum of inflows and/or outflows due to rounding.

For the reporting period, the water balance model estimates a small decrease in volume in the NTP pond, which is consistent with the observed small decrease in pond volume. Estimated seepage loss is similar to previous years.

No facility performance issues were noted associated with the precipitation observed on site or considering the water balance during the reporting period.

4.3 Water Quality

It is understood that FRO Environment submits water quality monitoring results to the BC Ministry of Environment and Climate Change Strategy as part of compliance monitoring. The assessment of the water quality results is beyond the scope of this AFPR.

5.0 TAILINGS FACILITY DAM SAFETY ASSESSMENT

This section presents the dam safety assessment of the STP and NTP facilities based on the observations and data review for each of the failure modes that are most relevant to this type of dam.

5.1 Site Visit

The site inspections at the STP and NTP were carried out on 25 and 26 May 2021 by Mr. John Cunning, P.Eng., and Ms. Clara Lee, P.Eng., of Golder. Mr. Cunning and Ms. Lee were accompanied by Mr. Patrick Lea, P.Eng., tailings engineer, Mr. James Campbell, P.Eng., QP / RTFE, and Ms. Katie Goguen, tailings EIT. The temperature during the two-day visit ranged from approximately 8 to 14°C and the weather was from rainy and overcast to sunny.

Appendix A presents a summary of photographs of the STP and NTP from the site inspection. The location, direction, and number for each photograph are noted in Figure 2 (for the STP) and Figure 6 (for the NTP). The STP was observed to be in good condition at the time of the 2021 annual inspection. The area of the downstream toe of the NTP that was excavated for a monitoring well installation program in 2019 had not been repaired. The excavated area should be backfilled and graded (recommendation 2019-03).

A summary of the observations is included in the inspection reports in Appendix B and Appendix C, for the STP and NTP respectively.

Details of the site inspection are discussed in Sections 5.5 and 5.6.

5.2 Review of Background Information

FRO provided the following information for this AFPR:

- 2021 FRO site LiDAR topographic data and orthophoto
- tailings pond bathymetric data for the STP from the April and May 2021 surveys and the post-dredge October 2021 survey
- dredging records for the STP to Turnbull TSF
- tailings pond water levels in STP and NTP
- VW piezometer level data
- GPS monitoring data and slope inclinometers on the STP and NTP
- records of routine visual inspections by FRO qualified personnel
- site climate data from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

5.3 Consequence of Failure

Teck has advised that they are aligned with the most conservative interpretation of the Global Industry Standard on Tailings Management (GISTM, GTR 2020) which, in turn, is consistent with their safety culture. Commensurately, Teck has advised that consequence classification is not a part of their tailings management governance as it is non-conservative. Instead, they will adopt the extreme consequence case design loading for any facility with a credible flow failure mode. This consequence case applies for both earthquake and flood scenarios for all tailings facilities, consistent with the GISTM (GTR 2020). The designs of the STP and NTP TSFs will be reviewed against the extreme loading scenarios in 2022. Adopting this approach meets or exceeds regulatory requirements, aligns with Teck's goal to eliminate any risk for loss of life, and is consistent with the new GISTM (GTR 2020) which supports evolving beyond the conventional consequence classification system. This approach is consistent with industry-leading best practices and has an added benefit of providing accurate narratives to communities about the safety of tailings facilities that could impact them and who share Teck's approach of one life lost is one too many to be at risk.

The STP and NTP facilities' consequence of failure is Very High, considering the guidelines for consequence classification in Section 3.4 of the HSRC Guidance Document (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016). This classification approach is not aligned with Teck's approach to safety. Teck has met or exceeded the requirements for the STP and NTP facilities' for such classification.

5.4 Review of Operational Documents

5.4.1 Operation, Maintenance, and Surveillance Manual

The OMS manual for the STP and NTP is Version 2020-04, dated 27 May 2020 (FRO 2020b). A review of this version of the OMS manual was completed by Golder as part of this AFPR. An update to the OMS manual is currently in progress.

5.4.2 Emergency Preparedness Plan / Emergency Response Plan / Flood Response Protocol

FRO last completed an update to the emergency response plan (ERP) for the tailings facilities at FRO in May 2020 (EP.009.R1; FRO 2020c).

The current emergency preparedness plan for tailings facilities is dated 25 May 2020 (EP.008.R2; FRO 2020d).

The current Tailings Impoundment Flood Response Protocol for the Fording River is dated 15 May 2020 (EP.010.R0; FRO 2020a).

FRO completed an internal tabletop ERP testing exercise on 14 May 2021. Mr. John Cunning and Ms. Clara Lee of Golder participated in the 2021 testing of the ERP. The ERP should continue to be tested every year in such a way that procedures include consideration of the STP and NTP and would capture proper emergency response if the event had the potential to impact the STP or NTP.

5.4.3 Dam Safety Review

A DSR was completed by a third-party consultant (SNC-Lavalin 2020) in 2019. The DSR for the STP and NTP dams concluded the dams are reasonably safe despite the identified deficiencies and non-conformances.

The next DSR should be initiated in 2024 based on the regulatory requirements.

5.5 South Tailings Pond

The record of inspection for the FRO STP conducted by the EoR team on 26 May 2021 is included in Appendix B. Figure 3 provides a plan of the STP with the location of the monitoring points. Typical sections of the STP dams are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5.

This section presents an assessment of dam safety for the STP dam based on observations and data review and includes a review of the 2020 recommendations for the facility.

5.5.1 Assessment of Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes

Potential hazards and failure modes were reviewed as part of this AFPR and are summarized in Table 11. The performance of the STP Facility relative to each failure mode is discussed in the following sections.

Table 11: Assessment of South Tailings Pond Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes

Potential Failure Mode	Observations/Data	Comments
Internal erosion (suffusion and piping)	<p>Filter compatibility is generally met between till fill material and CR or CCFR shell and foundation flood plain sand and gravel; however, it is not met for the tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel.</p> <p>Ongoing seepage monitoring at West Dam since 2015.</p> <p>Internal erosion as a result of seepage along the decommissioned gas pipeline through the West Dam at the north abutment has a low likelihood of occurrence.</p>	<p>Migration of the tailings through the sand and gravel is considered low likelihood.</p> <p>West Dam seepage continues to be monitored and not noted as increasing.</p> <p>FRO plans on capping the decommissioned gas pipeline in the north abutment area at STP in 2022.</p>
Overtopping	<p>Spillway has been constructed.</p> <p>Pond elevation was maintained below normal operating range target throughout the reporting period. The STP water level TARP is being followed in response to high pond water level conditions.</p>	<p>The construction of a spillway to accommodate a PMF event has been completed.</p> <p>Liquefaction of the tailings beach during a seismic event could result in tailings beach displacement that results in a wave that could overtop the Main Dam (Golder 2020a, in draft). Additional analyses are recommended to better characterize the failure potential of saturated tailings block and resulting wave attenuation potential.</p>
Instability	No evident instability.	<p>Static and seismic stability assessments (Golder 2018b) indicated that the FoS for failure surfaces that involve the full width of the dam crest meet or exceed static and pseudo-static slope stability FoS design criteria considering 2017 maximum phreatic conditions.</p> <p>Phreatic conditions at piezometer locations during the 2020/2021 reporting period were at or lower than the maximum phreatic conditions from 2017 that were used in the previous stability assessment (Golder 2018b).</p>
River erosion along dam toe	Based on observations from the 2021 annual riprap inspection (Appendix G), the riprap appeared to be in good condition. Continued weathering of riprap pieces along the entire length of the STP protection was observed, but	Detailed design of the STP Flood Plain Widening Project was completed in March 2021 and construction is planned to be completed by 2024.

Table 11: Assessment of South Tailings Pond Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes

Potential Failure Mode	Observations/Data	Comments
	the degradation had not affected the integrity of the riprap.	
Tailings, mine-affected water, or water pipeline failure	No leakage reported from active tailings pipelines.	Continue to manage this failure mode by routine inspection of the pipelines.

CR = coarse rejects; CCFR = combined coarse and fine rejects; STP = South Tailings Pond; TARP = trigger-action-response plan; PMF = probable maximum flood; FoS = factor(s) of safety.

5.5.1.1 Internal Erosion (Suffusion and Piping)

Design Basis and Existing Controls

The following filter relationships were checked for the STP:

- compatibility between the tailings and the upstream till blanket
- compatibility between the upstream till blanket and CR/CCFR shell
- compatibility between the till cut-off and flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- compatibility between the CR or CCFR shell and the flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- compatibility between tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- internal stability of the CR/CCFR shell

Filter compatibility was reviewed based on gradation quality control data from the 2008, 2012, and 2013 as-built reports, as well as the 2002 till evaluation, which were used to confirm filter compatibility of all materials placed (Golder 2002, 2009, 2013, 2014d).

Various methods were used to check filter compatibility, including the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (USBR 1977), the Sherard criteria (Sherard et al. 1984; Sherard and Dunnigan 1989), the Terzaghi method (Terzaghi 1922), US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE 2004), Kenney and Lau (1985), Li et al. (2009), and Fell et al. (2005).

A filter compatibility and internal stability assessment was completed by Golder in 2015 in response to a February 2015 Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (formerly the Ministry of Energy and Mines) order to undertake an assessment to determine if the tailings facilities dams may be at risk of internal erosion (Golder 2015a).

All materials generally have filter compatibility by all methods except between the tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel. The potential filter inadequacy between the foundation and tailings will not impact the stability of the dam, as the dam stability is not reliant on the tailings. Migration of the tailings through the sand and gravel is expected to be contained by the till cut-off, and is therefore a low risk. No tailings have been observed downstream to date.

The internal stability of the CR shell was confirmed (Golder 2015a).

There are some gaps in construction quality control records, particularly for the 1983 to 1984, 1985 to 1990, and 1993 raises; however, the gradation of the CR and CCFR filter/shell material created by the wash plant appears to have remained relatively consistent since the 1970s (Golder 2015a). Where data were available, they indicated that filter compatibility between the local till and the CR/CCFR was achieved. Gaps in the construction quality control records are considered to be very low risk.

Based on the performance of the dam over the last 40 plus years, piping through the dam due to filter-incompatible materials is considered to have at most a rare likelihood of occurrence as it has not historically been observed. Internal erosion as a result of seepage along the decommissioned gas pipeline through the uncompleted portion of the West Dam at the north abutment has a low likelihood of occurrence.

Continual seepage is evident in the foundation materials below the toe of the STP dam, particularly along the slope below the West Dam, which has been monitored and reported for many years. Cloudy seepage water can indicate internal erosion, but records of the seepage from the STP indicate clear water. Regular inspections for evidence of increased seepage and piping should continue. Quantitative monitoring of seepage at the West Dam began in late 2015 in response to a visual observation of increased year-over-year seepage rates. The critical hydraulic gradient through the Main Dam is to be assessed to better quantify the likelihood of a piping failure through the dam occurring and possibly impacting the AWTF-S downstream of the dam (recommended action 2020-05 in Table 21).

Instrumentation Data – Seepage Monitoring

In 1979, shortly after the STP was put into operation, it became apparent that at some location beneath the bottom of the STP, the lower gravel stratum had hydraulic connection with the surficial flood plain gravels that extend over the base of the pond. It is understood that the STP water balance showed unexpected losses.

The total seepage losses from the pond are not measured directly. The estimated rate of seepage loss noted in previous water balances for the STP contains uncertainties resulting from inaccuracies in the water balance modelling, such as not accounting for the mass balance.

Seepage losses from the STP from 1989, 2000, 2003, and 2006 through 2021 are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Fording River Operations Reported Seepage Losses from the South Tailings Pond

Year	Approximate Average Pond Elevation (m)	Historical FRO Reported Seepage (m ³ /min)	GoldSIM Seepage (m ³ /min)
1989	1,629.1	7.5	n/a
2000	1,629.7	4.3	n/a
2003	1,629.5	5.5	n/a
2006	1,629.7	0.4	n/a
2007	1,629.0	3.2	n/a
2008	1,629.5	2.8	n/a
2009	1,630.0	2.3	n/a
2010	1,630.1	1.5	n/a
2011	1,631.9	3.4	n/a
2012	1,632.9	3.9	n/a
2013	1,634.5	10.6	n/a
2014	1,635.5	13.1	n/a
2015	1,636.3	n/a	9.9
2016	1,636.3	n/a	10.4
2017	1,636.2	n/a	5.0
2018	1,636.4	n/a	4.8
2019	1,636.5	n/a	4.7
2020	1,636.4	n/a	4.8
2021	1636.0	n/a	9.4

Note: Pond elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

FRO = Fording River Operations; n/a = not applicable.

In response to an increase in the observed seepage below the south end of the West Dam, FRO installed two seepage collection pipes within the seepage area in 2015. Seepage can also be observed and is monitored through twin culverts downstream of the north end of the West Dam. During the reporting period, seepage data from the collection pipes were only taken during the 2021 site inspection visit. Photograph A-8 in Appendix A shows the location of the collection pipes and the estimated flow measurements during the site inspection. Seepage data should be collected at least annually in this area.

Observed Performance

The key observations made during the STP dam inspection were as follows:

- Seepage continues along the presumed till/bedrock contact in the diversion channel slope below the West Dam (Appendix A, Photographs A-8). The seepage has pushed up mats of organics and created a hummocky, broken surface area. This is consistent with previous years' observations in this area. Ground movement of the surficial organic soils were noted in this area.
 - Seepage from the two collection pipes in this area was measured on 26 May site visit and recorded to be 0.07 L/s from the W Seep North pipe. The majority of the seepage in the area of the pipe outlets was bypassing the pipes and was flowing out of the slope, and the flow was estimated to be around 0.3 L/s.
 - Red staining was noted in some areas of seepage along the bedrock contact, consistent with observations from previous years.
- Water was observed to be ponding in portions of the ditch along the downstream toe of the West Dam, south of approximately Sta. 0+800. The water is likely from surface runoff and seepage exiting the dam. Vegetation growth was also observed along these ditches.
- Water was observed to be flowing into the ditch from the Fording River along the downstream toe of the West Dam north of approximately Sta. 0+200.
- All observed seepage, including external seepage water, was clear and had no sediments.
- No zones of subsidence or any sinkholes were observed that would indicate voids due to either suffusion or piping.
- Visual monitoring during routine inspections by FRO tailings personnel did not observe any signs of seepage related to the pipeline through the uncompleted portion of the north abutment of the West Dam. This is currently a construction deficiency in the facility. In 2022, FRO is planning to cap the decommissioned pipeline under the West Dam at the north abutment, extend the water return lines at the north abutment area and backfill upstream of dam as required to address the deficiency as noted in recommended action 2017-01 in Table 21.
- The current and critical seepage gradients are unknown. Golder will work with FRO to complete this in 2022 (recommended action 2020-05).

5.5.1.2 Overtopping

Design Basis and Existing Controls


As part of the detailed design for the STP spillway (Golder 2020c), IDF and freeboard assessments were conducted. Key design parameters are as follows:

- The spillway was sized for the Extreme events as directed by FRO and used the PMF as the design event. The 24-hour spring PMF is assumed for the IDF. Rainfall depth was estimated to be 319 mm and snowmelt depth was estimated to be 61 mm.
- The 100-year return period, 10-day duration rain-on-snow event was selected the Environmental Design Event. The rain-on-snow depth is estimated to be 327.2 mm. The EDF is defined as the volume resulting from the Environmental Design Event that is to be managed without release of untreated water to the environment (CDA 2014).
- A maximum operating water level elevation of 1,636.8 m assumes a pumping rate of 2,400 USGPM and a dredging rate of 4,100 USGPM during the EDF, considering the April 2019 bathymetry and Lidar survey data. This water level should be updated with most recent bathymetric survey data.

The trigger levels of the STP pond volume are to be updated considering the spillway is now constructed and considering the results of the October 2021 bathymetric survey (recommended action 2020-01 in Table 21).

Instrumentation Data

Chart 2 presents the pond elevation data for 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 at the STP based on data received from FRO. Pond water levels in the STP are monitored in real time with a water level sensor located on the water reclaim barge, and levels are actively managed by FRO processing plant personnel. The pond elevation data were provided in Mine Grid then converted to Elk Valley Elevation Datum by Golder. FRO updated the pond high level alarm elevation at the start of phase 1 spillway construction and after the phase 1 spillway construction.



Note: Pond elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Chart 2: South Tailings Pond Water Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

The STP water level is shown to have been maintained below the high level water elevations during the reporting period.

In the event of high water levels at the STP, the current version of the STP water level TARP would be followed. Water management options for STP during freshet are also included in the OMS manual.

Observed Performance

The operating pond volume on 3 April 2021 prior to the start of dredging was about 591,600 m³, which was greater than the minimum water reservoir volume of 300,000 m³.

Dredging operations at the STP were started as part of the plan to manage the tailings volume in the facility. FRO dredged 1.81 million dry metric tonnes from 7 April to 12 October 2021. The pond volume of the STP after dredging was estimated to be 975,000 m³ using results from the October 2021 bathymetric survey. Available storage above the pond in October 2021 was about 350,000 m³, which is about 117,000 m³ less than required to store the EDF volume without pumping. The permit for spillway construction (Permit Amendment C-3 Approving South Tailings Pond Spillway) requires the EDF to be re-assessed after spillway construction including the assessment of a passive EDF management option (with no pumping). The OMS manual should be updated with an EDF management plan (recommendation 2021-02).

The key observations made during the STP dam inspection were as follows:

- The pond was clear and free of major debris.
- Makeup water was being sent to the STP pond. Water from site drainage, water from Shandley pit dewatering and reclaim water from the Turnbull TSF was being discharged into the STP.
- Minor rutting was observed on the dam crest.

Construction of the STP emergency spillway was in progress at the time of the May 2021 inspection. The Spillway construction was completed in July 2021 and a construction record report was issued (Golder 2021c). With the spillway now in place, the STP is not susceptible to an overtopping failure mode as a result of an extreme storm event.

5.5.1.3 *Instability*

Design Basis and Existing Controls

Details of the assessment and results from updated stability and liquefaction assessments were provided in Golder (2018b). A brief summary of the conclusions is provided below:

- The liquefaction assessment results indicated that the saturated soils below the dam are unlikely to liquefy during the design earthquake of $\frac{1}{2}$ between the 1-in-2,475-year and 1-in-10,000-year event.
- Both static and pseudo-static conditions were considered in the stability assessment. However, the post-earthquake conditions were not analyzed in the foundation because the liquefaction assessment results indicated that the alluvial soils below the dams and dam materials are not susceptible to liquefaction during the design earthquake event as the factor of safety against liquefaction were found to be above unity (i.e., FoS against liquefaction >1.0). The results of the stability assessment indicated that the FoS for failure surfaces that fully involve the crest of the STP dam met or exceeded static and pseudo-static slope stability design criteria.
- The STP West Dam is susceptible to instability from erosion during flooding of the Fording River. KWL assessed Fording River flood flows and designed riprap armouring for a portion of the West Dam downstream toe which was constructed in 2016 (KWL 2017b). The south section of the West Dam from the pipe bridge southward (south of Sta. 0+680) does not have any erosion protection but consists partially of bedrock, which provides some erosion protection. Detailed design of the STP floodplain widening (KCB 2020) includes riprap armouring for this section of the West Dam.

Instrumentation Data – Dam Displacement Monitoring

There are 9 operational GPS units used for displacement monitoring on the STP West and Main dams.

A summary of the GPS units in use during the 2021 reporting period is presented in Table 13.

Table 13: GPS Monitoring Locations on South Tailings Pond

GPS Identification	Reading Start Date	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Location Description
STP-GPS 01	October 2018	5,560,728.9	651,109.0	West Dam – crest
STP-GPS 02	August 2016	5,560,621.6	651,163.7	West Dam – crest above flood construction
STP-GPS 03	April 2016	5,560,537.4	651,186.9	West Dam – flood construction toe
STP-GPS 04	May 2017	5,560,540.1	651,239.9	West Dam – crest above flood construction
STP-GPS 05	October 2014	5,560,441.9	651,355.6	West Dam – crest above flood construction
STP-GPS 07	December 2013	5,560,259.9	651,525.9	West Dam – crest
STP-GPS 08	July 2018	5,560,152.6	651,659.4	West Dam – crest
STP-GPS 09_old	April 2016	5,560,081.3	651,844.4	Main Dam – crest
STP-GPS 09	April 2019	5,560,081.1	651,844.7	Main Dam – crest
STP-GPS 10_old ^(a)	April 2016	5,560,022.7	652,029.4	Main Dam – toe
STP-GPS 11	July 2018	5,560,089.4	652,051.2	Main Dam – crest

Note: Northings and Eastings reported in FRO UTM, Sensor locations downloaded from GeoExplorer.

STP = South Tailings Pond; FRO = Fording River Operations; UTM = Universal Transverse Mercator.

Hourly readings from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 were recorded in real time via GeoExplorer for each of the GPS units. The initial readings of the GPS units were used as locations of the GPS monitors and are shown in Figure 3. The tracked location (i.e., northing and easting), 3D point velocity, cumulative relative displacement, and elevation for each of the GPS monitors were downloaded from GeoExplorer for the Main Dam and West Dam. Due to the manner in which GPS elevation is referenced at FRO, the change in elevation data instead of the measured elevation data is reviewed, as shown in Appendix E.

A review of the GPS data shown in Appendix E did not indicate data or data trends of concern.

The survey data on the Main and West dams indicated little crest displacement during the reporting period.

GPS unit STP-GPS 06 was decommissioned in February 2020 as it is no longer needed and has been removed from the STP.

Instrumentation with no communications for over seven days should be inspected and repaired within the allotted time for the specific instrument as outlined in the OMS manual (FRO 2020b).

Instrumentation Data – Slope Inclinometers

Slope inclinometers were installed at four locations in 2015 along the STP crest (Table 14) to monitor horizontal movement in the dam in addition to the GPS data. The A axis is oriented in the upstream to downstream direction (with negative displacements in the downstream direction) and the B axis is oriented along the dam centreline. The location of the inclinometers on the STP is presented in Figure 3.

Table 14: South Tailings Pond Inclinometers

Inclinometer ID	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Elevation (m)	A-A Axis Azimuth (°)	Probe Serial No.	Reel Serial No.
TH15-01	5,560,086.2	652,037.3	1,638.2	310	DP15600000	DR21300000
TH15-02	5,560,093.0	651,786.4	1,638.3	10		
TH15-03	5,560,550.6	651,227.5	1,638.7	30		
TH15-04	5,559,997.8	652,003.4	1,604.6	15		

Note(s): Azimuth is approximate. The upper wheel should face the indicated direction for the first set of readings. Northings and Eastings are reported in Universal Transverse Mercator and elevations are reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Inclinometer data were supplied to Golder by FRO. Readings have been taken approximately quarterly at the STP inclinometers since December 2015. Starting in September 2018, and as discussed with the EoR, FRO has been reading the inclinometers three times per year (shortly before freshet, in the latter part of freshet, and in late summer).

A total of two readings were taken at each of the four inclinometers within the AFPR reporting period. Inclinometer data were collected and plotted by FRO and are shown with a plan view of each inclinometer location by Golder in Appendix F. Data readings are from 11 January 2016 to 25 June 2021 and include the initial reading from 18 December 2015 as a reference line.

The inclinometer readings do not indicate any significant trends in deformation, and the maximum cumulative downstream deflection does not exceed 5 mm over a year for depths greater than 2 m below ground, which is in the acceptable range for the slope inclinometer QPO (Table 6).

Instrumentation Data – Piezometers on Main Dam

The VW piezometer and standpipe locations are shown in plan in Figure 3. A summary of the VW piezometer locations and sensor depths on the Main Dam is shown in Table 15. The performance at each VW piezometer was evaluated by assessing whether the warning levels were exceeded. The warning levels were confirmed in Golder (2018b) and are used in GeoExplorer.


Table 15: South Tailings Pond Main Dam Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary

Borehole/Piezometer ID	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Top of Well Elevation (m)	Data Logger Serial No.	Piezometer Serial No.	GeoExplorer Sensor No.	Piezometer Tip Elevation (m)	Soil Unit of Piezometer Sensor	Warning Water Elevation (m)	Minimum Recorded Water Level (2020/2021) (m)	Maximum Recorded Water Level (2020/2021) (m)	Warning Water Elevation Exceeded?	Comments
VW-4	5,560,100.6	651,758.7	1,639.2	DT08079	VW27921	2	1,617.2	Coarse rejects (compacted)	>1,624.0	1,618.1	1,618.4	No	No concerns
				DT08082	VW27920	1	1,615.0			dry	1,615.3	No	No concerns, minimum recorded water level less than sensor elevation (dry)
VW-5	5,560,106.2	652,102.4	1,639.2	DT08073	VW27929	2	1,615.5	Coarse rejects (compacted)	>1,617.5	1,615.4	1,615.9	No	No concerns
				DT08075	VW27930	1	1,610.4			1,610.4	1,610.8	No	No concerns
TH15-01	5,560,086.2	652,037.3	1,638.2	DT04498	VW33227	1	1,611.1	Dam fill	>1,617.5	1,613.8	1,614.2	No	No concerns
					VW33229	2	1,604.9	Dam fill/foundation fluvial sands and gravel		1,606.2	1,607.3	No	No concerns
					VW33244	3	1,600.9	Foundation fluvial sands and gravel		N/A	N/A	n/a	Likely malfunctioning, negative water pressure
TH15-02	5,560,093.0	651,786.4	1,638.3	DT04499	VW33238	3	1,612.2	Granular drain	>1,624.0	1,613.3	1,613.7	No	No concerns
					VW33233	2	1,605.5	Foundation fluvial sands and gravel		1,611.2	1,612.0	No	No concerns
					VW33243	1	1,601.5	Bedrock		1,610.9	1,611.7	No	No concerns
TH15-04	5,559,997.8	652,003.4	1,604.6	DT09637	VW33224	n/a	1,599.6	Foundation fluvial sands and gravel	>1,603.5	1,602.7	1,603.1	No	No concerns
SP-3	5,560,032.4	652,043.8	1,610.4	DT08083	VW27931	n/a	1,600.6	Foundation fluvial sands and gravel	>1,604.0	1,602.9	1,603.4	No	No concerns
SP-5	5,560,057.5	652,163.7	1,605.0	DT08074	VW27918	n/a	1,595.9	Foundation fluvial sands and gravel/till contact	>1,603.5 ^(a)	1,602.0	1,602.4	No	No concerns

Note(s): Northings and Eastings are reported in Universal Transverse Mercator and elevations are reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum. Warning water elevations from GeoExplorer.

n/a = not applicable; >= greater than.

Chart 3 presents the piezometer readings for 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021, as well as the pond elevation over the same time period. The piezometer plots were taken from GeoExplorer. The number in parentheses next to the piezometer ID indicates the sensor number in GeoExplorer (for boreholes with more than one piezometer). The sensor number can be found in Table 15.



Note: Elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Chart 3: Main Dam Vibrating Wire Piezometer and Standpipe Water Elevations and South Tailings Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

The phreatic level readings for the reporting period were generally stable, with very little to no response to spring freshet.

Instrumentation Data – Piezometers on West Dam

Golder installed two VW piezometers (boreholes BH-CPT18-05A and -07A) in the tailings of the STP during the 2018 drilling program. The readings from these piezometers are not provided in this section as they do not monitor the water levels in the dam, though they are shown in plan view in Figure 3.

A summary of the VW piezometer locations and sensor depths on the West Dam is shown in Table 16.


Table 16: South Tailings Pond West Dam Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary

Borehole/Piezometer ID	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Top of Well Elevation (m)	Data Logger Serial No.	Piezometer Serial No.	GeoExplorer Sensor No.	Piezometer Tip Elevation (m)	Warning Water Elevation (m)	Minimum Recorded Water Level (2020/2021) (m)	Maximum Recorded Water Level (2020/2021) (m)	Warning Water Elevation Exceeded?	Comments
VW-1	5,560,710.9	651,118.1	1,640.0	DT08070	VW27922	2	1,620.4	>1,627.5	1,620.5	1,621.2	No	No concerns
				DT08078	VW27923	1	1,606.4		1,621.1	1,622.2	No	No concerns
VW-2	5,560,494.1	651,310.0	1,639.3	DT08076	VW27926	2	1,616.9	>1,627.5	1,617.3	1,617.8	No	No concerns
				DT08077	VW27928	1	1,610.5		1,616.0	1,616.5	No	No concerns
VW-3	5,560,278.9	651,509.5	1,638.9	DT08071	VW27925	2	1,622.3	>1,627.0	dry	1,623.1	No	No concerns, minimum recorded water level less than tip elevation (dry)
				DT08072	VW27924	1	1,611.4		1,619.0	1,620.7	No	Decreasing trend from October 2020 to February 2021, increasing overall trend since February 2021 with minor decrease in June 2021
TH15-03	5,560,550.6	651,227.5	1,638.7	DT04500	VW33225	3	1,618.2	>1,627.5	dry	1,618.7	No	No concerns, minimum recorded water level less than tip elevation (dry)
					VW33228	1	1,614.2		1,620.1	1,620.6	No	No concerns
					VW33226	2	1,612.2		1,617.1	1,617.6	No	No concerns
SP-W1	5,560,273.7	651,497.3	1,633.9	DT08081	VW27927	n/a	1,613.4	>1,623.1	1,618.9	1,620.5	No	Decreasing trend from October 2020 to February 2021, increasing overall trend since February 2021 with minor decrease in June 2021
SP-W3	5,560,255.0	651,481.4	1,624.5	DT08080	VW27919	n/a	1,615.0	>1,623.0	1,617.8	1,618.8	No	Decreasing trend from October 2020 to February 2021, increasing overall trend since February 2021 with minor decrease in June 2021

Note: Northings and Eastings are reported in Universal Transverse Mercator and elevations are reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

n/a = not applicable; >= greater than.

The location of VW piezometers and standpipes are presented in plan in Figure 3. Chart 4 presents the piezometer readings from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021, as well as the pond elevation over the same time period. The piezometer data were taken from GeoExplorer and the pond elevation was provided by FRO.



Note: Elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Chart 4: West Dam Vibrating Wire Piezometer and Standpipe Water Elevations and South Tailings Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

As reported in the 2020 annual report (Golder 2021a), water elevations in some West Dam VW piezometers reported an increase likely due to 2020 dredging activities. The trend on these water elevations through February 2021 indicates decreases, ranging between 0.5 and 1.5 m, likely as a result of tailings deposition in areas upstream of this section of the West Dam.

No warnings were triggered in GeoExplorer for the piezometers.

Observed Performance

The key observations made during the STP dam inspection related to assessment of instability were as follows:

- No significant evidence of slope instability on the constructed dam (i.e., significant sloughing, cracking, crest subsidence) was observed during the 2021 AFPR.
- Minor rutting was observed on the dam crest due to traffic and usage by the dredging crew.
- Minor erosion has been noted on the downstream slope over the years, generally in the CR material, with the exception of a major vertical erosion gully on the downstream slope of the Main Dam above the seepage collection well. Surface runoff is to be redirected onto a dam bench and away from the gully (recommended action 2020-04 in Table 21). The gully should continue to be monitored. FRO has repaired previous erosion channels present on the STP by placing breaker rock over geotextile on the eroded areas, creating armoured channels. Current and future erosion should continue to be monitored and repaired in a similar or equivalent manner as part of ongoing maintenance.
- The downstream slope has sections steeper than the design, but the overall embankment has been constructed wider than the design. The over-steepened areas are susceptible to increased erosion but are not an overall stability concern.

5.5.1.4 River Erosion Protection (KWL)

KWL completed the annual inspection of the riprap along the toe of the STP on 22 September 2021. The annual riprap inspection report is provided in Appendix G.

The existing riprap covers only a portion of the STP embankment upstream from approximately sta. 0+250 to 0+680. The objective of the STP floodplain widening project is to widen the floodplain of the Fording River and install riprap to protect the STP dam from extreme flood events up to the PMF event.

5.5.1.5 Release of Tailings, Mine-Affected Water, or Water through Pipeline Failure Design Basis

The pipelines present at STP and its vicinity are:

- Tailings pipeline from the plant to the STP, crossing the West Dam at the north abutment.
- Dredged tailings pipeline from the STP to the Turnbull TSF, located along the southeast side of the STP.
- Reclaim water pipeline from the STP to the plant and from the Turnbull TSF to the STP, located on the east side of the STP.
- Makeup water pipeline from the Kilmarnock ponds, located on the Main Dam on south side of the STP.

A failure of one of these pipelines could release tailings, mine-affected water, or water.

Observed Performance

This failure mode is managed by routine inspections of the pipelines. FRO reports that the pipelines operated well and were in good condition during the reporting period.

5.6 North Tailings Pond

The record of the site inspection for the FRO NTP conducted by the EoR team on 25 May 2021 is included in Appendix C. A plan of the NTP with the location of the monitoring points is shown in Figure 7, and a typical section of the NTP retaining dam is shown in Figure 8.

This section presents an assessment of dam safety for the NTP dam based on observations and data review and includes a review of the 2020 recommendations for the facility.

5.6.1 Assessment of Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes

Potential hazards and failure modes were reviewed as part of this AFRP and are summarized in Table 17. The performance of the NTP Facility relative to each failure mode is discussed in the following sections.

Table 17: Assessment of North Tailings Pond Dam Safety Relative to Potential Failure Modes

Potential Failure Mode	Observations/Data	Comments
Internal erosion (suffusion and piping)	Filter compatibility is generally met between till fill materials and CR shell and foundation flood plain sand and gravel; however, this is not met for the tailings and the foundation flood plain sand and gravel. Abandoned pipeline pass through the dam section, pipelines are capped on the upstream side of dam.	Migration of the tailings through the sand and gravel is expected to be contained by the till cut-off, and therefore a low risk. Abandoned pipelines exist through the dam (Golder 2015). Material and water may preferentially flow along the pipes, creating a void or potential internal erosion pathway. A risk assessment was completed in 2021 and certain pipelines were prioritized for backfilling, FRO is developing a plan to backfill these pipes.
Overtopping	In March 2021, the NTP pond level triggered the high level alarm. The existing NTP water level TARP was used and provided direction on pumping from NTP to STP in response to the high level alarm.	Updated IDF and freeboard assessment was completed for Very High dam classification (Golder 2018b), freeboard increased to 1.9 m. FRO had been lowering the pond level since March 2021.
Instability	No evident instability.	Static and seismic stability assessments were completed for the Very High dam classification (Golder 2018b) and the results indicated that the FoS for failure surfaces that involve the full width of the dam crest meet or exceed the static and pseudo-static slope stability FoS design criteria considering the 2017 maximum phreatic conditions.
River erosion along dam toe	Based on observations from the 2021 annual riprap inspection (Appendix G), the riprap appeared to be in good condition except in areas where it is up to 0.4 m lower than the design elevation. Continued weathering of riprap pieces along the entire length of the STP protection was observed, but the degradation had not affected the integrity of the riprap.	Signs of settling or subsidence in the riprap should be confirmed by survey and levels of protection should be raised if required, and FRO should seek opportunities to cost-effectively achieve the intended 1 m freeboard.
Mine-affected water pipeline failure	No leakage reported from tailings pond water pipeline.	Continue to manage this failure mode by routine inspection of the pipeline while in use from the NTP to the STP.

CR = coarse rejects; NTP = North Tailings Pond; TARP = trigger-action-response plan; STP = South Tailings Pond; IDF = inflow design flood; FRO = Fording River Operations; FoS = factor(s) of safety.

5.6.1.1 Internal Erosion (Suffusion and Piping)

Internal erosion of a dam can be caused by materials migrating out of the dam, leaving voids. This generally happens with materials that do not have filter compatibility; that is, the fines fraction of one material can migrate into or through the voids of the adjacent material under a sufficient hydraulic gradient. Piping is induced by regressive erosion of particles toward an outside environment until a continuous pipe is formed. Suffusion is the migration of soil particles through the soil matrix and can occur in a single material. If a material is internally stable, it is considered resistant to suffusion.

Design Basis and Existing Controls

The following filter relationships were checked for the NTP:

- compatibility between the tailings and the upstream till blanket
- compatibility between the upstream till blanket and CR or the CCFR shell
- compatibility between the till cut-off and flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- compatibility between the CR or CCFR shell and the flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- compatibility between the tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel foundation
- internal stability of the CR shell

Filter compatibility was reviewed based on grain size distributions in the construction records (Golder Brawner 1973, 1974b); data obtained during an investigation of the existing coal tailings in 2 Pit, 3 Pit, and the NTP (Golder 2012b); data from the 2013 NTP flood repair works; and results from the 2015 site investigation (FRO 2016).

Various methods are available to check filter compatibility, including the Terzaghi method, the Sherard and Dunnigan criteria, and the USACE criteria (Terzaghi 1922; Sherard et al. 1984; Sherard and Dunnigan 1989; USACE 2004). The CR shell, which acts as a filter for the upstream till blanket, was constructed in accordance with the design. While not explicitly stated in the reports (Golder Brawner 1973, 1974b), the Terzaghi method was likely the method used to confirm filter compatibility during design and construction.

A filter compatibility and internal stability assessment was completed by Golder in 2015 in response to a February 2015 Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources order to undertake an assessment to determine if the tailings facilities dams may be at risk of internal erosion (Golder 2015a). The Sherard and Dunnigan criteria and the USACE criteria were also checked in this document. Filter compatibility was rechecked using the Sherard and Dunnigan criteria after additional foundation information was obtained in 2015.

All materials generally have filter compatibility by all methods except between the tailings and the flood plain sand and gravel. The potential filter inadequacy between the foundation and tailings will not impact the stability of the dam, as the dam stability is not reliant on the tailings. Migration of the tailings through the sand and gravel is expected to be contained by the till cut-off, and therefore a low risk.

The internal stability of the CR shell was confirmed (Golder 2015a).

There are some gaps in construction quality control records. Where data were available, they indicated that filter compatibility was achieved. The gaps in the quality control records are considered to be low risk to confirming filter compatibility.

Based on the performance of the dam over the last 45 years, piping due to filter-incompatible material or suffusion of internally unstable material is considered to have less than a very rare likelihood of occurrence and has not historically been observed.

Observed Performance

The key observations made during the NTP dam inspection were as follows:

- No significant zones of external seepage were observed that would indicate the possible development of internal piping.
- No zones of subsidence or sinkholes were observed that would indicate voids due to either suffusion or piping.

5.6.1.2 Overtopping

Design Basis and Existing Controls

The CDA (2013) provides two calculations for freeboard; the more critical of the two cases sets the minimum freeboard:

- No overtopping by 95% of the waves caused by the most critical wind with a return period of 1 in 1,000 years, with the pond at its maximum normal operating elevation.
- No overtopping by 95% of the waves caused by the most critical wind with a return period of 1 in 2 years (for Very High consequence structures), with the pond at the maximum level during the passage of IDF.

The current minimum crest elevation of the dam at the NTP is 1,652.6 m (confirmed with 2021 lidar).

The HSRC Guidance Document (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016) recommends that the IDF be designed to 2/3 between the 1,000-year flood/storm event and the PMF for a structure classified as Very High consequence. Teck's internal policy adopts the minimum design criteria that exceeds requirements from the HSRC and adopts design criteria of the Extreme dam class if a facility has potential loss of life for credible failure modes.

For impoundments with no emergency spillway, HSRC Section 10.1.8 (EMLI 2021) requires a minimum storage volume to contain runoff from a 72-hour IDF.

As a result of the reclassification of the NTP dam from High to Very High, its freeboard assessment was updated with the HSRC requirements for a Very High consequence facility. The result of the updated assessment (Golder 2018b) indicated that:

- To store the IDF while maintaining the minimum freeboard, the maximum operating pond elevation is 1,650.7 m, 1.9 m below the minimum dam crest.
- The required minimum freeboard is 0.35 m with the IDF level at elev. 1,652.25 m.


The NTP currently has no inputs of water except direct precipitation and some runoff from a small local catchment area, with outputs from the retained pond being evaporation and seepage. The water levels are maintained below freeboard by pumping excess water to the STP. If critical water levels in the pond are approached, the NTP water level TARP in Appendix C of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b) includes pumping and water diversion strategies for the NTP. The NTP is permitted to discharge into the STP only. The freeboard of 1.9 m (as assessed for Very High consequence structures) will be maintained with normal operations or emergency pumping as necessary.

The NTP is not equipped with an emergency spillway. A passive method of controlling water elevation would be a best practice. Golder has produced feasibility level drawings for an emergency spillway on the NTP (Golder 2015b).

An overtopping failure caused by landslide is a possible failure mode for the NTP due to the adjacent CR spoil to the west of the NTP. The CR spoil was resloped in 2015 per previous Golder recommendations and FRO analyses (Golder 2014a,e; FRO 2014). This work was performed to reduce the hazard of a potential spoil failure to impact the NTP and create wave action that could potentially overtop and breach the NTP dam. Based on stability and runout analyses, failure of the reconfigured CR spoil and subsequent wave generation is considered nearly impossible.

Instrumentation

Pond elevation data were recorded by a VW piezometer and the data were downloaded by Golder from GeoExplorer. The VW piezometer was set up to collect readings every six hours. Chart 5 presents the pond elevation during the reporting period. Issues have been noted during the reporting period with the VW piezometer freezing or moving with the ice (giving inaccurate readings) during the winter months. The VW piezometer used to measure the pond elevation at the NTP was replaced on 6 May 2021. FRO is considering an alternative method for measuring the pond elevation at the NTP with a stilling well.



Note: Elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

VW = vibrating wire.

Chart 5: North Tailings Pond Water Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

In the beginning of the reporting period, multiple High Level Alerts were triggered in GeoExplorer and it was determined that the VWP sensor was moving with the ice. This was followed up with visual observations using the staff gauge during monthly inspections. Monthly inspections showed the NTP water level below the High Level Alert elevation.

A High Level Alarm was triggered in mid March 2021 by the pond's VW piezometer. Following the VW piezometer data, FRO initiated pumping from the NTP to the STP between 15 and 19 March 2021 per the procedures of the TARP.

Observed Performance

The key observations made during the NTP dam inspection were as follows:

- The tailings have filled most of the area upstream of the NTP dam, and there is a small reclaim pond at the southern end. The fetch distance on the surface of the NTP is short, so the potential for generation of significant waves when a pond is present is small.
- Unused and damaged pipelines that extend through the crest of the dam should ideally be removed or grouted to eliminate the hazard of future deformation or settlement of the abandoned pipes creating low points in the dam crest (locations shown in Golder 2017b).

- All pipes should continue to be inspected as part of the monthly NTP inspections to confirm that they remained capped on the upstream side. Pipes should be removed or grouted as part of the NTP decommissioning plan.

An NTP pond stage storage curve was prepared using data from the October 2021 bathymetry survey (Golder 2021e) and the 2021 LiDAR survey. Review of the 2021 NTP pond stage storage curve indicates that sediment build up is not resulting in an NTP pond volume reduction. This review completes the recommended action 2020-06 in Table 21.

5.6.1.3 *Instability*

The stability of the NTP is monitored with piezometers, inclinometers, GPS units, and regular visual inspections.

Design Basis

The drainage conditions beneath the NTP dam are favourable with respect to structural stability. The downstream slope of sections rebuilt after the June 2013 flood is less steep (1.5 to 1.75H:1V) than the original design (1.3 to 1.4H:1V).

As a result of the reclassification of the NTP dam from High to Very High, its slope stability and liquefaction assessments were updated to comply with the Very High consequence design criteria (Golder 2018b). This event corresponded to a peak ground acceleration of 0.23 g and a mean moment magnitude of 6.2 based on the probabilistic analysis results from the site-specific hazard assessment (Golder 2016b). Golder will work with FRO in 2022 to update the slope stability and liquefaction analyses based on extreme loading conditions to align with the GISTM.

Details of the assessment and results were provided in Golder (2018b). A brief summary of the conclusions is provided below:

- The liquefaction assessment update was done for a Very High dam class and considered the 2017 topography along with the 2016 and 2017 riprap construction along the toe of the NTP dam and the maximum piezometer readings up to the end of 2017. The results indicated that the saturated soils below the dam are unlikely to liquefy during the design earthquake event.
- The dam stability update used design criteria for a Very High consequence facility based on HSRC Guidance Document (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016) Section 3.3 and CDA (2019) for minimum FoS. A sensitivity case was analyzed for post-earthquake conditions for loose CR layers identified in the NTP. Both static and pseudo-static conditions were considered in the stability assessment. However, the post-earthquake conditions were not analyzed in the foundation because the liquefaction assessment results indicated that the alluvial soils below the dams and dam materials are unlikely to liquefy during the design earthquake event. The results of the stability assessment indicated that the FoS for failure surfaces that fully involve the crest of the NTP dam exceeded the Very High consequence static and pseudo-static slope stability design criteria.

The NTP is also susceptible to instability from erosion during flooding of the Fording River. River erosion has been assessed by KWL, and riprap was placed on the toe of the dam in late 2016 and 2017 (KWL 2017b) to mitigate against river erosion up to a 200-year return period design flow. Risk-informed criteria should be established for the flood erosion protection along the toe of the NTP dam.

Instrumentation Data – Crest Displacement Monitoring

Four GPS monitors are located on the dam crest and have replaced the prisms to monitor crest displacement.

GPS data were downloaded from GeoExplorer for dates from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021. The survey data are summarized in Appendix E.

The initial coordinates of the GPS units at the NTP are listed in Table 18. Due to the manner in which GPS elevation is referenced at FRO, only change in elevation data is reviewed, as shown in Appendix E.

Table 18: Instrument Monitoring Locations on North Tailings Pond

Instrument Identification	Reading Start Date	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Location Description
NTP-GPS 01	October 2014	5,562,143.7	651,102.6	Crest
NTP-GPS 02	June 2018	5,561,994.1	651,130.2	Crest
NTP-GPS 03	June 2018	5,561,641.8	651,047.0	Crest
NTP-GPS 04	June 2018	5,561,379.6	650,902.6	Crest

Note: Northings and Eastings reported in FRO UTM, Sensor locations downloaded from GeoExplorer.

NTP = North Tailings Pond; FRO = Fording River Operations; UTM = Universal Transverse Mercator.

Generally, the GPS devices recorded on an hourly frequency. The survey data indicated little crest displacement during the reporting period. Minor spikes in the data are most likely noise in the system and are not a concern. Movements are well below the GeoExplorer alarm trigger for 3D point velocity (150 mm/day, QPO alarm) and the updated QPOs provided by Golder (2018b) and listed in Section 2.4. No warnings were triggered in the reporting period.

A latent alarm is triggered in GeoExplorer when the measurement age of the GPS unit is greater than a day on the NTP. Any offline monitors will be inspected and repaired within one week (FRO 2020b).

Instrumentation Data – Slope Inclinerometers

Slope inclinometers were installed at three locations in 2015 along the NTP crest (Figure 7) to monitor horizontal movement in the dam. The A axis is oriented in the upstream to downstream direction (with negative displacements in the downstream direction) and the B axis is oriented along the dam centreline. The location of the inclinometers at the NTP is presented in Table 19.

Table 19: North Tailings Pond Inclinerometers

Inclinometer ID	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Elevation (m)	A-A Axis Azimuth (°)	Probe Serial No.	Reel Serial No.
TH15-05	5,561,992.0	651,130.8	1,653.6	235	DP15600000	DR21300000
TH15-06	5,561,641.0	651,047.2	1,653.7	290		
TH15-07	5,561,379.7	650,904.4	1,653.4	305		

Note(s): Azimuth is approximate. The upper wheel should face the indicated direction. Elevations are reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Slope inclinometer data were supplied to Golder by FRO. Readings were collected approximately quarterly at the NTP inclinometers since December 2015. Starting in September 2018, and as discussed with the EoR, FRO has been reading the inclinometer three times per year (shortly before freshet, in the latter part of freshet, and in late summer).

A total of two readings were taken at each of the three inclinometers within the AFPR reporting period. Inclinometer data were collected and plotted by FRO. Inclinometer data were collected and plotted by FRO and are shown with a plan view of each inclinometer location by Golder in Appendix F. Data readings are from 12 January 2016 to 5 July 2021 and include the initial reading from 18 December 2015 as a reference line.

The inclinometer readings do not indicate any significant trends in deformation and the maximum cumulative downstream deflection below a depth of 2 m from the crest does not exceed 5 mm over a year, which is in the acceptable range for the slope inclinometer QPO (Table 5).

Instrumentation Data – Piezometers

VW piezometers were installed in 2015 at three locations along the NTP crest to monitor water levels in and below the dam (Figure 7). Seven piezometers were installed at three locations in the NTP tailings, upstream of the dam, in 2018 (Norwest 2018).

The piezometers located in the NTP dam are listed in Table 20. Data for the piezometers were downloaded from GeoExplorer. The piezometer readings from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021 are presented in Chart 6. Readings have been taken at TH15-05, TH15-06, and TH15-07 since August 2015.


The piezometers in the NTP tailings were not reviewed as part of this AFPR as they do not monitor dam performance.

Table 20: North Tailings Pond Piezometer Installation Details and Performance Summary

Borehole/ Piezometer ID	Northing (m)	Easting (m)	Collar Elevation (m)	Data Logger Serial No.	Piezometer Serial No.	GeoExplorer Sensor No.	Piezometer Tip Elevation (m)	Minimum Water Elevation (2020/2021) (m)	Maximum Water Elevation (2020/2021) (m)	Comments
TH15-05	5,561,992.0	651,130.8	1,653.6	DT09633	VW33222	3	1,641.3	n/a	n/a	Reading negative pressure head (dry)
				DT09636	VW33223	2	1,638.7	n/a	1,639.2	No concerns, minimum recorded water level less than tip elevation (dry).
				DT09638	VW33241	1	1,635.6	1,637.1	1,638.3	No concerns
TH15-06	5,561,641.0	651,047.2	1,653.7	DT09641	VW33240	2	1,628.5	1,636.6	1,637.4	No concerns
				DT09643	VW33239	1	1,626.3	n/a	n/a	Reading negative pressure head (dry)
TH15-07	5,561,379.7	650,904.4	1,653.4	DT094501	VW33231	3	1,630.0	1,631.2	1,631.8	No concerns
					VW33230	2	1,624.0	1,629.4	1,630.1	No concerns
					VW33242	1	1,614.7	1,629.2	1,630.0	No concerns

Note: Coordinates are reported in Universal Transverse Mercator and are elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

n/a = not applicable.



Note: Elevations reported in Elk Valley Elevation Datum.

Chart 6: North Tailings Pond Vibrating Wire Piezometers and Pond Elevation from 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021

The phreatic level readings for the time period were generally stable, with minor gradual increases noted around spring freshet in early April 2020. No warnings were triggered in GeoExplorer for these piezometers.

All piezometers on the NTP collect data in real time. The piezometers should continue to be monitored regularly as outlined in the OMS manual (FRO 2020b).

For instruments that have had no communication or live data for seven days or less, FRO will follow actions outlined in Appendix A of the OMS manual (FRO 2020b) to check on the instrument and inform/notify the appropriate personnel.

Observed Performance

No evidence of slope instability was observed during the 2021 AFPR. The key observations made during the NTP dam inspection were as follows:

- A wet area of ponding water was noted downstream of the NTP dam near Sta. 1+250 (Appendix A, Photograph A-22). The area will be monitored during monthly inspections for additional ponding water, and additional earthworks will be completed as required.
- Parts of the area downstream of the dam toe near Sta. 1+350 were excavated for the drill pad of a monitoring well installation program in 2019. The area is to be regraded (recommended action 2019-03 in Table 21).

5.6.1.4 River Erosion Protection (KWL)

KWL completed the annual inspection of the riprap along the toe of the NTP on 22 September 2021. The annual riprap inspection report is provided in Appendix G.

5.6.1.5 Release of Mine-Affected Water through Pipeline Failure

Design Basis

There is a pipeline connecting the NTP to STP which is inactive except during situations when the pond level in the NTP needs to be lowered and the water is sent to the STP. A failure of this pipeline could release tailings-affected water.

Observed Performance

This failure mode is managed by routine inspections of the pipeline.

6.0 SUMMARY OF 2021 AFPR

Activities During Reporting Period

Activities conducted for the STP during the reporting period were as follows:

- Construction of the emergency spillway was completed in July 2021 (Golder 2021c).
- Dredging occurred from 7 April to 12 October 2021. A total of 1.81 million dry metric tonnes of tailings were dredged from the STP and sent to the Turnbull TSF.
- An unauthorized trench was excavated on the crest of the Main Dam. The trench was backfilled in accordance with the specifications provided by Golder to FRO.
- A temporary pump system was installed in February 2021 to pump water from the STP to the Turnbull TSF as part of managing additional inflows to the STP from Shandley Pit dewatering.
- Four bathymetric surveys were conducted by FRO to monitor remaining capacity in the facility. In 2021, the surveys were conducted on 3 April, 10 May, 1 September, and 17 October.
- During the reporting period, site drainage was sent to the STP except during periods on:
 - 22 to 23 December 2020
 - 21 to 22 March 2021
 - 4 July 2021
- Routine inspections of the STP by FRO tailings personnel were conducted during the reporting year at the following frequencies:
 - once per week from May to October
 - twice a month from November to April

Activities conducted for the NTP during the reporting period were as follows:

- A High Level Alarm was triggered in mid March 2021 by the pond's VW piezometer. FRO began intermittent pumping of water from the NTP to the STP on 15 and 19 March 2021 to draw down the pond level and to increase pond capacity. Pumping continued until the pond was below the normal operating level on 20 March 2021 as per the procedures listed in the TARP.
- To facilitate real-time visual monitoring while snow and ice are present at the NTP, temporary water elevation stakes were installed by FRO and were visible from the NTP camera. A temporary VWP was installed to monitor the NTP water level on 6 May 2021 (replaced compromised sensor).
- Monthly inspections were conducted by FRO tailings personnel.

Climate and Water Balance

The climate data during the reporting period indicate the annual precipitation used for the Fording River (infilled) dataset was lower than the long-term annual average whereas the annual precipitation received at the Brownie Spoil weather station was higher than the long-term annual average.

Performance and Changes

Based on the visual observations during the 25 and 26 May 2021 site visit, the STP and NTP facilities appeared safe with no deficiencies requiring immediate actions and are considered to be meeting their design intent.

At the NTP facility, along the toe at approximately Sta. 1+250 wet area of ponding water was noted. The area will be monitored during monthly inspections for additional ponding water, and additional earthworks as required. The area of the downstream toe that was excavated for access for a monitoring well installation program in 2019 had not been repaired. The excavated dam toe area is to be backfilled and graded (recommended action 2019-03 in Table 21).

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 21 summarizes the status of recommended actions from the 2020 annual inspection (Golder 2021a) and new recommended actions from the 2021 AFPR. Completed actions are shown with grey shading. Items from the 2020 annual report that are incomplete have been brought forward into the 2021 AFPR recommendations. There are two new recommended actions for the STP and no new recommended actions for the NTP based on this 2021 AFPR.

Table 21: Status of 2020 Recommended Actions and New Actions from the 2021 AFPR for the South and North Tailings Pond Facilities

Facility	ID Number	Deficiency or Non-conformance	Applicable Regulation, Guideline or OMS Manual Reference	Recommended Action	Priority Level	Recommended Timing for the Action	Status as of March 2022
STP	2015-12a, b	Riprap erosion protection along downstream toe north of STP Sta. 0+680, no riprap south of STP Sta. 0+680; risk-informed protection requirements not yet defined.	HSRC §10.1.8	Perform risk-informed assessment to determine appropriate flood protection requirements for downstream toe of dam along Fording River and timeline to implement.	2	2020	Complete – South Tailings Pond Floodplain Widening Detailed Design report (KCB 2020).
				Implement required protection measures for the operational phase according to the as-defined schedule.	2	2024	In progress – Construction for Fording River flood plain widening started in 2021 and is scheduled to be completed by 2024.
	2017-01	North abutment construction deficiencies	HSRC §10.5.1(3)	Address construction deficiency by commencing planning in early 2022 and follow up with mitigation works in 2022.	3	Q3 2022	In progress – Risk assessment for the pipeline hazard carried out, FRO developing a plan to execute mitigations based on the risk assessment at north abutment area in Summer 2022.
	2017-05	Potential overtopping hazard due to tailings liquefaction and redistribution during seismic event needs to be assessed.	n/a	Update liquefaction and overtopping assessment for tailings within facility based on most recent bathymetric survey.	3	Q2 2022	In progress – Update work considering tailings slopes based on 2021 bathymetry.
	2018-05	No closure plan for STP	HSRC §10.6.7 MAC TSM	Advance closure plan for STP.	4	2022	Incomplete
	2018-06	Construction of the AWTF-S is underway downstream of the STP Main Dam, potentially increasing the number of workers in the dam breach inundation zone.	HSRC §10.1.7 CDA 2013	Implement awareness training for AWTF-S workers considering the results of the STP Main Dam breach and inundation study draft report.	2	2021	Complete – AWTF-S workers underwent dam awareness training with Tailings Engineer which included the upstream hazard of STP and NTP in 2021.
	2020-01	Current freeboard trigger levels in the OMS manual do not apply to the facility with an emergency spillway.	Permit condition from Permit C-3 Amendment (July 2020) HSRC §10.1.13 HSRC Guidance Document §4.4.1	Spillway is constructed, update the water level QPOs and freeboard values in the OMS manual.	3	Q2 2022	In progress – Draft water level monitoring TARP provided to Golder.
	2020-02	No passive emergency system against overtopping.	Permit condition from Permit C-3 Amendment (July 2020) HSRC §10.1.13 HSRC Guidance Document §4.4.1	Construct permanent spillway.	2	2021	Complete – Spillway constructed
	2020-03	The stage storage relationship for the STP is continuously changing as tailings are continuously being deposited into and dredged out of the STP	n/a	Stage storage curve to be provided by FRO for review.	4	2021	Complete
	2020-04	There is a major vertical erosion gully on the downstream slope of the Main Dam above the seepage collection well.	n/a	Direct surface runoff onto a dam bench and away from the erosion gully on dam face.	2	2021	Complete
	2020-05	The critical hydraulic gradient through the Main Dam is unknown	HSRC Guidance Document §3.3.1 CDA 2013 §6.6	Complete an assessment to determine the current and critical hydraulic gradients in the Main Dam to better quantify the likelihood of a piping failure.	3	2022	Incomplete – Golder to develop scope of work to carry out this task.
	2021-01	Spillway riprap deficiencies	n/a	Develop a long-term monitoring and mitigation plan for the riprap including annual inspections consisting of visual and photographic assessments of the riprap to evaluate any breakage or deterioration, change in riprap gradation, change in extent of surface cover or other deficiencies. At least five test sections within the spillway should be established to check the riprap condition at the same test sections every year.	3	2022	Incomplete
	2021-02	STP facility does not store the passive EDF volume over operating pond and below spillway invert	HSRC §10.1.12, Permit condition from Permit C-3 Amendment (July 2020)	OMS manual should be updated with an EDF management plan.	3	2022	In progress – draft TARP provided to Golder; to be finalized and included in OMS manual.

Table 21: Status of 2020 Recommended Actions and New Actions from the 2021 AFPR for the South and North Tailings Pond Facilities

Facility	ID Number	Deficiency or Non-conformance	Applicable Regulation, Guideline or OMS Manual Reference	Recommended Action	Priority Level	Recommended Timing for the Action	Status as of March 2022
NTP	2015-05a,b	No passive emergency system against overtopping; emergency system requires active response		Assess the need for spillway after establishing an NTP closure plan.	4	2022	Incomplete
				If required, determine a construction schedule.	4	2022	
	2015-06	Risk-informed criteria for flood erosion protection along toe of dams not defined.	CDA 2013 §6.2	Design and implement the required flood protection measures for downstream toe of dam along the Fording River including consideration of the Fording River Multiplate embankment upstream of the NTP.	2	2023	Incomplete
	2015-07b	Buried pipes passing through crest locations	n/a	Execute abandonment plan for identified pipes.	3	2022	Incomplete
	2016-06	No closure plan for NTP	HSRC §10.6.7 MAC TSM	Develop a closure plan for NTP.	4	2022	Incomplete
	2019-03	A part of the downstream toe area below the NTP dam was excavated for access for a monitoring well installation program in 2019	HSRC §10.5.8	Backfill and grade excavated area.	3	2022	Incomplete
	2020-06	Inflow of sediments had been diverted to the NTP and may be reducing the available storage in the pond	n/a	Conduct a bathymetry survey to confirm the stage storage curve for the facility to understand rate of sediment build up and check the pond can store the IDF while maintaining the minimum freeboard.	4	Q4 2021	Complete

Note: Grey shaded rows indicate completed actions.

OMS = operation, maintenance, and surveillance; STP = South Tailings Pond; Sta. = Station; HSRC = Health, Safety and Reclamation Code; IDF = inflow design flood; AWTF-S = active water treatment facility-south; MAC = Mining Association of Canada; TSM = Towards Sustainable Mining; NTP = North Tailings Pond; QPO = quantifiable performance objective; TARP = trigger-action-response plan.

Priority Level	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant risk of regulatory enforcement.
2	If not corrected could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory enforcement; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice – Further improvements are necessary to meet industry best practices or reduce potential risks.

Source: HSRC Guidance Document, Section 4.2 (Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016).

58

REFERENCES

- AMEC-FW (AMEC Foster Wheeler). 2017. Fording River Operations Liverpool Sediment Ponds 2016 Construction As-built Report. Report issued to Teck Coal Limited. Reference No. CW223403. March 2017.
- CDA (Canadian Dam Association). 2013. Dam Safety Guidelines. Original dated 2007, revised 2013.
- CDA. 2019. Technical Bulletin: Application of Dam Safety Guidelines to Mining Dams.
- EMLI (Ministry of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation). 2021. Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines in British Columbia. Revised February 2021. Victoria, BC: Ministry of Energy, Mines and Low Carbon Innovation. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/mineral-exploration-mining/documents/health-and-safety/code-review/health_safety_and_reclamation_code_apr2021.pdf.
- FCL (Fording Coal Limited). 1981. Tailings and Settling Pond Dyke Stability Improvements. Report to Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources. 18 August 1981.
- FCL. 1984. Report on South Tailings Pond 12 Foot Lift. Internal construction summary report. January 1984.
- FCL. 1988. Dyke Construction Summer 1988. Internal construction summary report. 20 August 1988.
- FCL. 1989. Design and Construction Report. Internal construction summary report. November 1989.
- FCL. 1990. Construction Summary – 1990 South Tails Pond. Internal construction summary report. 21 January 1990.
- Fell R, MacGregor P, Stapledon D, Bell G. 2005. Geotechnical Engineering of Dams. London, UK: Taylor & Francis Group plc.
- FRO (Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations). 2010. South Tailings Pond Design and Construction Report. Internal construction summary report. November 2010.
- FRO. 2014. North Tailings Pond – Reject Spoil Runout Analysis. Internal memorandum. 22 October 2014.
- FRO. 2016. Tailings Dyke Foundation Investigation – Test Hole Summary. Internal memorandum. 18 February 2016.
- FRO. 2020a. Tailings Impoundment Flood Response Protocol for the Fording River. EP.010.R0. 15 May 2020.
- FRO. 2020b. FRO Tailings Facility Operation, Maintenance and Surveillance Manual. Version 2020.04. 25 May 2020.
- FRO. 2020c. FRO Tailings Facility Emergency Response Plan. EP.009.R1. 25 May 2020.
- FRO. 2020d. Tailings Pond Dam Breach Emergency Preparedness Plan. EP.008.R2. 25 May 2020.
- GTR (Global Tailings Review). 2020. Global Industry Standard on Tailings Management. August 2020. <https://globaltailingsreview.org/global-industry-standard/>
- Golder Brawner (Golder, Brawner & Associates Ltd.). 1969. Tailings Pond and Dyke Proposed Fording Coal Project – Fording River, BC. Report prepared for Cominco Ltd. Project No. V6994. October 1969.

- Golder Brawner. 1970. Second Report on Tailings Pond and Dyke Proposed Fording River Coal Project – Fording River, British Columbia. Report prepared for Cominco Ltd. Project No. V6994. April 1970.
- Golder Brawner. 1971. Fording Coal Project, Specifications for Construction of Phase 1 Tailings Dyke. Report prepared for Kootenay Engineering Ltd. Project No. V71047. May 1971.
- Golder Brawner. 1973. Tailings Dam Design Features – Sparwood, BC. Report prepared for Fording Coal Limited and Cominco Limited. Project No. V73020-2. June 1973.
- Golder Brawner. 1974a. Phase II Tailings Dam Construction. Report prepared for Cominco Ltd. And Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. V73020-5. February 1974.
- Golder Brawner. 1974b. Phase 3 Tailings Dam Construction. Report prepared for Cominco Ltd. And Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. V74119. August 1974.
- Golder Brawner. 1975a. Construction of Phase 4, Zone 1b of the Fording Coal Tailings Dyke. Report prepared for Cominco Ltd. and Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. V75013. February 1975.
- Golder Brawner. 1975b. Report on Fording Coal Tailings Dam Construction Phase III. Report prepared for Fording Coal Ltd. Summer 1975.
- Golder (Golder Associates Ltd.). 1976. Tailing Storage Proposed 1977 extension. Report prepared for Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. V75193. January 1976.
- Golder. 1979. Retention Dyke North Tailings Pond. Report prepared for Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. 792-1051. Submitted 15 March 1979.
- Golder. 1981. Assessment of Stability Settling and Tailings Ponds Retention Dykes. Report prepared for Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. 802-1230. February 1981.
- Golder. 1984. Raising the Level of the South Tailing Dam. Report Prepared for Fording Coal Ltd. Project No. 832-1084. Submitted August 1984.
- Golder. 2002. Glacial Till Evaluation. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 022-2402-2000. 17 June 2002.
- Golder. 2009. [2008] Geotechnical Construction Monitoring, South Tailings Dam. Letter report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 08-1343-0011. 18 November 2009.
- Golder. 2010. Replacement of Culverts in the Railway Embankment East of the South Tailings Pond at Fording River Operations. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 09-1427-0098. Doc. No. 006 Ver. 0. 12 April 2010.
- Golder. 2011. Design Update South Tailings Pond Dam, Fording River Operations. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 10-1427-0023/6300. Doc. No. 2011-115 Ver. 0. 25 October 2011.
- Golder. 2012a. STP Dam North and South Abutments. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 12-1427-0098/6200. Doc. No. 2012-223 Rev. 0. 7 September 2012.

- Golder. 2012b. Fording River Operations Geotechnical Investigation of Existing Coal Tailings. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 11-1426-0002/8000. Doc. No. 2012-176 Rev. 0. 7 September 2012.
- Golder. 2013. South Tailings Pond Dam 2012 Construction Dam Raise As-built Report. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 12-1427-0098/6400. Doc. No. 2013-303 Rev.0. 1 April 2013.
- Golder. 2014a. 2013 Annual Geotechnical Assessment of North and South Tailings Ponds. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project Number 13-1427-0098/1000. Doc. No. 2014-512. Rev. 0. 27 March 2014.
- Golder. 2014b. North Tailings Pond Dam 2013 Flood Repairs Construction Summary. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 13-1427-0098/7000. Doc. No. 2014-554 Rev. 0. 30 October 2014.
- Golder. 2014c. 2013 South Tailings Pond Dam Flood Repairs Construction Summary. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 13-1427-0098/8000. Doc. No. 2014-581 Rev. 0. 30 October 2014.
- Golder. 2014d. 2013 South Tailings Pond Dam Construction Record Report for the 2013 Dam Raise. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 13-1427-0098/6000. Doc. No. 2014-542 Rev. 0. 30 October 2014.
- Golder. 2014e. Tailings Pond Dam Breach Flood Inundation Study. Prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1411813-001-R-Rev0-1000. 28 November 2014.
- Golder. 2015a. Response to Ministry of Energy and Mines memorandum dated 3 February 2015, regarding the Fording River Operations Tailings Storage Facilities. Letter prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 1522835/1000. Doc. No. 2015-083 Rev. 0. 30 June 2015.
- Golder. 2015b. Liverpool System Summary Report on Water Management. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1522835-2015-133-R-Rev0-7200. 17 November 2015.
- Golder. 2016a. 2015 Dam Safety Inspection for 2 Pit and 3 Pit Tailings Storage Area. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1522835-2016-013-R-Rev0-8000. 5 April 2016.
- Golder. 2016b. Site Specific Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations, Greenhills Operations, and Coal Mountain Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1522835-2015-149-R-Rev0-4000. 19 February 2016.
- Golder. 2017a. South Tailings Pond Riprap Upgrade – Dam Stability Assessment and Field Engineering Support Summary. Draft technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1655335-2017-020-TM-Rev0-6000. 16 March 2017.
- Golder. 2017b. 2016 Dam Safety Inspection for North Tailings Pond and South Tailings Pond. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1655335-2017-006-R-Rev0-5000. 31 March 2017.

- Golder. 2017c. North Tailings Pond Tailings Flowability Assessment. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1655335-2016-072-R-Rev1-2000. 10 November 2017.
- Golder. 2017d. Tailings Dam Breach Flood Inundation Incremental Consequence Assessment. Report prepared for Teck Coal Ltd., Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1655335-2016-096-Rev0-3000. 24 November 2017.
- Golder. 2018a. Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility – Tailings Deposition Study. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Ltd., Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1897277-2018-054-TM-Rev0-1000. 05 July 2018.
- Golder. 2018b. Geotechnical and Hydrological Assessments for the Very High Consequence Classification of the North and South Tailings Pond Facilities. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 1780806-2017-143-TM-Rev0-1000. 21 November 2018.
- Golder. 2018c. Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations – Blast Monitoring Quantitative Performance Objectives for the North and South Tailings Pond dams. Golder Doc. No. 1522835-2016-090-TM-Rev0-16000. 12 January 2018.
- Golder. 2019. South Tailings Pond Surface Tailings Grading at North End Beach Area. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 18110777-2019-111-TM-Rev0-1000. 7 August 2019.
- Golder. 2020a. South Tailings Pond Tailings Liquefaction-induced Displacement and Dam Overtopping Potential Assessments. Draft technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 18110103-2019-206-TM-RevA-7000. 20 May 2020.
- Golder. 2020b. South Tailings Pond North End Tailings Beach Geotechnical Field Investigation, Bearing Capacity, and Liquefaction Assessments. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 18110103-2019-045-R-Rev0-5000. 27 November 2020.
- Golder. 2020c. South Tailings Pond Spillway Detailed Design. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 19117331-2020-048-R-Rev0-1000. 18 June 2020.
- Golder. 2020d. South Tailings Pond South Abutment Gas Pipeline Backfill Construction Record. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 19126366-2020-182-R-Rev0-4000. 25 August 2020.
- Golder. 2020e. Repair of South Tailings Pond Dam Crest. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 19135918-2020-279-TM-Rev0. 19 November 2020.
- Golder. 2021a. 2020 Dam Safety Inspection for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 20136981-2020-219-R-Rev0-2000. 18 March 2021.
- Golder. 2021b. Engineer of Record Review of Recommendations from 2019 Dam Safety Review Report Fording River Operations Tailings Storage Facilities. Letter prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 20437067-2021-102-L-Rev0-7000. 23 March 2022.

- Golder. 2021c. Fording River Operations South Tailings Pond Spillway Construction Record. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Reference No. 20139273-2021-278-R-Rev1. 8 December 2021.
- Golder. 2021d. South Tailings Pond Main Dam Breach and Inundation Assessment. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Doc. No. 19118233-2019-116-R-RevC-6000. 9 April 2021.
- Golder. 2021e. Turnbull Tailings Storage Facility and North Tailings Pond Bathymetry Survey. Draft technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Golder Ref. No. 2147166-2021-240-TM-RevA-1000. 3 December 2021.
- Kenney TC, Lau D. 1985. Internal Stability of Granular Filters. Canadian Geotechnical Journal 22(2): 215-225.
- Klohn Crippen Berger (KCB). 2020. South Tailings Pond Floodplain Widening Detailed Design Report. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited. KCB File No. M09922A05.730. 24 August 2020.
- KWL (Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd.). 2007. Fording River Flood and Erosion Analysis North and South Tailings Pond River Diversions. Technical memorandum prepared for Elk Valley Coal Corporation, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 008.130. 19 November 2007.
- KWL. 2009. Fording River Riprap Typical Sections and Preliminary Cost Estimate. Technical memorandum prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 8.137-200. 3 March 2009.
- KWL. 2014. Tailings Dam Erosion Criteria Review. Prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 0008.205-300. 9 September 2014.
- KWL. 2017a. 2016 Bank Protection Design for NTP/STP. Design brief. Prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 0008.228-300. 13 January 2017.
- KWL. 2017b. 2016/2017 Bank Protection for NTP/STP. Final completion report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 0008.232-300. 21 December 2017.
- KWL. 2017c. PMF Analysis for Fording River above NTP/STP. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. KWL File No. 0008.220-300. 21 September 2017.
- Li M, Fannin RJ, Garner SJ. 2009. Application of a New Criterion for Assessing the Susceptibility to Internal Erosion. In Canadian Dam Association 2009 Annual Conference. Whistler, BC, Canada. Dated 3 to 8 October 2009.
- MAC (Mining Association of Canada). 2011. Developing an Operation, Maintenance and Surveillance Manual for Tailings and Water Management Facilities.
- MAC. 2017. A Guide to the Management of Tailings Facilities. Version 3. Revised November 2017.
- McElhanney (McElhanney Surveying & Engineering Ltd.). 1969. Report on the Diversion of the Fording River Alternate "3." Report prepared for Cominco Limited. Reference No. 7101-0. 7 October 1969.

- Ministry of Energy and Mines (British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines). 2016. Guidance Document – Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines in British Columbia. Version 1.0. Updated July 2016. Victoria, BC: British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines. http://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/mineral-exploration-mining/documents/health-and-safety/part_10_guidance_doc_10_20july_2016.pdf.
- Ministry of Energy and Mines. 2017. Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines in British Columbia. Under the Mines Act. Victoria, BC: British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines. http://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/mineral-exploration-mining/documents/health-and-safety/code-review/health_safety_and_reclamation_code_2017.pdf.
- Norwest (Norwest Corporation). 2018. Fording River Operations North Tailings Pond – Geotechnical Investigation Results. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. Project No. 324-37. 17 May 2018.
- NRCC (National Research Council of Canada). 2010. National Building Code of Canada 2010. https://www.nrc-cnrc.gc.ca/eng/publications/codes_centre/2010_national_building_code.html.
- Roseingrave R. 2017. Geotechnical Engineer, Teck Coal Limited. Inclinator Reading Summary [summary table in MS Excel]. Email to McGrath C, Golder Associates Ltd. 15 November 2017.
- Sherard JL, Dunnigan LP, Talbot JR. 1984. Basic Properties of Sand and Gravel Filters. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). June 1984.
- Sherard JL, Dunnigan LP. 1989. Critical Filters for Impervious Soils. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. July 1989. 115(7): 927–947.
- SNC-Lavalin (SNC-Lavalin Inc.). 2020. 2019 Dam Safety Review Report – Fording River Operations Tailings Storage Facilities. Report prepared for Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. SNC-Lavalin Ref. No. 666607. 29 May 2020.
- Teck Coal (Teck Coal Limited). 2016. Environmental and Cultural Value Determination for Fish or Wildlife Habitat Impacts Downstream of the North and South Tailings Facilities at Fording River Operations. Internal technical memorandum. 6 December 2016.
- Teck Resources (Teck Resources Limited). 2019. Guideline for Tailings and Water Retaining Structures. Edition 2. Effective 31 January 2019.
- Terzaghi K. 1922. Der Grundbruch an Stauwerken und seine Verhütung (The Failure of Dams by Piping and its Prevention). Die Wasserkraft, 17. Pp. 445-449. Reprinted in part in Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice. New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1996, pp. 81-82.
- USACE (US Army Corps of Engineers). 2004. General Design and Construction Considerations for Earth and Rock-filled Dams. Engineer manual no. 1110-2-2300. 30 July 2004. Washington, DC.
- USBR (United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation). 1977. Design of Small Dams. Revised reprint. A Water Resources Technical Publication.


STUDY LIMITATIONS

Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder) has prepared this document in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering and science professions currently practising under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this document. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

This document, including all text, data, tables, plans, figures, drawings and other documents contained herein, has been prepared by Golder for the sole benefit of Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations. All third parties relying on this document do so at their own risk.

This document represents Golder's professional judgement based on the knowledge and information available at the time of completion. The factual data, interpretations, suggestions, recommendations and opinions expressed pertain to the specific project, site conditions, design objective, development and purpose described to Golder by Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations, and are not applicable to any other project or site location. In order to properly understand the factual data, interpretations, suggestions, recommendations and opinions expressed in this document, reference must be made to the entire document.

Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations may make copies of the document in such quantities as are reasonably necessary for those parties conducting business specifically related to the subject of this document or in support of or in response to regulatory inquiries and proceedings. Golder is not responsible for any unauthorized use or modification of this document. Electronic media is susceptible to unauthorized modification, deterioration and incompatibility and therefore no party can rely solely on the electronic media versions of this document.




REFERENCE

1. 2021 AERIAL PHOTO PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED, RECEIVED: 12 OCTOBER 2021, DATE FLOWN: 22 JULY 2021.

NOTES

1. ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
2. COORDINATES ARE IN UTM ZONE 11, ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.



CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



GOLDER
MEMBER OF WSP

YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE
PREPARED	M.HEAL
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH
APPROVED	J.CUNNING

PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH
TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SITE PLAN

PROJECT NO. 21456080	PHASE/TASK/DOC. 200/205/2021-192	REV. 0	FIGURE 1
-------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------	-------------



LEGEND

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS

BATHYMETRY CONTOURS

EXTENT OF STP DOWNSTREAM RIPRAP

2021 SITE VISIT PHOTOGRAPH LOCATION

STP DAM REFERENCE LINE AND STATION

- NOTES
1.

ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
2.

COORDINATES ARE IN UTM ZONE 11, ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.
3.

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS SHOWN AT 5.0 m MINOR AND 25.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.
4.


BATHYMETRY CONTOURS SHOWN AT 1.0 m MINOR AND 5.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

- REFERENCES
1.

2021 LIDAR TOPOGRAPHY AND AERIAL PHOTO PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED, RECEIVED: 12 OCTOBER 2021, DATE FLOWN: 22 JULY 2021.
2.

STP RIPRAP EXTENTS PROVIDED BY KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD. RECEIVED: 17 JANUARY 2018.
3.

2021 BATHYMETRY DATA SURVEYED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS ON 17 OCTOBER 2021. FILE NAME: "211017 STP Bathymetry Surface.dxf"



CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED

FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS

ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE	
PREPARED	M.HEAL	
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH	
APPROVED	J.CUNNING	



PROJECT

2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

SOUTH TAILINGS POND

2021 SITE VISIT PHOTOGRAPH LOCATIONS

PROJECT NO.	PHASE/TASK/DOC.	REV.	FIGURE
21456080	200/205/2021-192	0	2



LEGEND

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS

BATHYMETRY CONTOURS

EXTENT OF STP DOWNSTREAM RIPRAP

GPS MONITORING LOCATION

VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER LOCATION

VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER AND INCLINOMETER LOCATION

RETROFIT STANDPIPE WITH VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER LOCATION

SEEPAGE RETURN WELL LOCATION

STP DAM REFERENCE LINE AND STATION

- NOTES
1. ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

2. COORDINATES ARE IN UTM ZONE 11, ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

3. TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS SHOWN AT 5.0 m MINOR AND 25.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

4. BATHYMETRY CONTOURS SHOWN AT 1.0 m MINOR AND 5.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED, WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IT IS TECK PROPERTY. TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
	DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE
	PREPARED	M.HEAL
	REVIEWED	C.McGRATH
	APPROVED	J.CUNNING

- REFERENCES
1. 2021 LIDAR TOPOGRAPHY AND AERIAL PHOTO PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED, RECEIVED: 12 OCTOBER 2021, DATE FLOWN: 22 JULY 2021.

2. STP RIPRAP EXTENTS PROVIDED BY KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD. RECEIVED: 17 JANUARY 2018

3. GPS MONITORING LOCATIONS BASED ON INITIAL READINGS OF DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER ACCESSED 13 DECEMBER 2017.

4. LOCATIONS OF STANDPIPE PIEZOMETERS BASED ON SURVEY DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED 13 DECEMBER 2017.

5. LOCATIONS OF 2014 VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS BASED ON SURVEY DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED 13 DECEMBER 2017.

6. LOCATIONS OF 2015 VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS AND INCLINOMETERS BASED ON SURVEY DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED 13 DECEMBER 2017.

7. 2021 BATHYMETRY DATA SURVEYED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS ON 17 OCTOBER 2021. FILE NAME: "211017 STP Bathymetry Surface.dxf"

8. SEEPAGE RETURN WELL LOCATIONS APPROXIMATED FROM 2018 AERIAL PHOTO.

9. LOCATIONS OF 2018 VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS BASED ON SURVEY DATA FROM GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED 24 OCTOBER 2019.


PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
SOUTH TAILINGS POND
MONITORING LOCATIONS

PROJECT NO.	PHASE/TASK/DOC.	REV.	FIGURE
21456080	200/205/2021-192	0	3



Path: \\golder-gds-complex\data\fire\enr\sswver\CAD\GIS\Client\teck_coal\fording_river\09_projects\21456080\200-205-192-04.dwg | File Name: 21456080-200-205-192-04.dwg



SCALE 1:500 m **A** TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH MAIN DAM (STN 1+150 TO STN 1+600) AND WEST DAM (STN 0+000 TO 0+400)

LEGEND

	APPROXIMATE GROUND SURFACE
	APPROXIMATE POND ELEVATION
	APPROXIMATE PREVIOUSLY DESIGNED CREST
	APPROXIMATE ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE
	APPROXIMATE MATERIALS BOUNDARY BENEATH SURFACE
	APPROXIMATE TAILINGS SURFACE (FROM BATHYMETRY)
	COARSE REJECTS (CR)
	COMBINED COARSE AND FINE REJECTS (CCFR)
	TILL
	IN SITU TILL
	FLOODPLAIN DEPOSITS (SAND AND GRAVEL)
	TAILINGS
	BEDROCK

NOTES

1. ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
2. MATERIALS SHOWN SCHEMATICALLY ONLY.
3. RIPRAP PRESENT FROM APPROXIMATELY 0+205 TO 0+680, NOT SHOWN ON SECTION.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO THE ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.
5. POND GENERALLY AT SOUTH END OF STP ONLY (SEE FIGURE 2 AND 3).

REFERENCES

1. POND ELEVATION IS FROM THE MONTHLY TAILINGS DAM INSPECTION, COMPLETED ON 23 AUGUST 2021.
2. TAILINGS SURFACE SHOWN FOR MAIN DAM SECTION AND BASED ON 2021 BATHYMETRY AT STN. 1+420 PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS, SURVEYED: 17 OCTOBER 2021.
3. ORIGINAL GROUND ESTIMATED BASED ON 1968 ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE CONTOURS PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS, FILE NAME: "1968_CONTOURS_BG.dwg", RECEIVED: 8 DECEMBER 2015 AND GOLDER. 1976. TAILINGS STORAGE PROPOSED 1977 EXTENSION. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V75193. SUBMITTED JANUARY 1976 (GROUND SURFACE DIGITIZED BY GOLDER FROM COMINCO DRAWING (UNNAMED), FIGURE 1 LOCATION OF BOREHOLES).
4. FRO. 2010. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION REPORT JULY-SEPTEMBER 2010. SUBMITTED NOVEMBER 2010.
5. GOLDER. 1976. REPORT TO FORDING COAL LTD. ON TAILINGS STORAGE PROPOSED 1977 EXTENSION. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V75193. SUBMITTED JANUARY 1976.
6. GOLDER. 2013. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DAM 2012 CONSTRUCTION DAM RAISE AS-BUILT REPORT. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. PROJECT NO. 1214270098-2013-303-R-REV0-6400. SUBMITTED 1 APRIL 2013.
7. GOLDER. 2014d. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DAM CONSTRUCTION RECORD REPORT FOR THE 2013 DAM RAISE. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. REFERENCE NO. 1314270098-2014-542-R-REV0-6000. SUBMITTED 30 OCTOBER 2014.

THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED. WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED, THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IT IS TECK'S PROPERTY. TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2022-03-25

DESIGNED N.CARRIERE

PREPARED M.HEAL


REVIEWED C.McGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING


PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
**SOUTH TAILINGS POND
TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH MAIN DAM (STN. 1+150 TO 1+600)
AND WEST DAM (STN. 0+000 TO 0+400)**

PROJECT NO. 21456080 PHASE/TASK/DOC. 200/205/2021-192 REV. 0 FIGURE 4



Path: \\golder-gds-complex\data\file\enr\covec\CAD\GIS\Client\teck_coal\fording_river\09_projects\21456080\200-205-192-05.dwg | File Name: 21456080-200-205-192-05.dwg



SCALE 1:500 m **B** TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH WEST DAM (STN 0+400 TO 1+150)

LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE GROUND SURFACE
- APPROXIMATE POND AND RIVER ELEVATION
- APPROXIMATE PREVIOUSLY DESIGNED CREST
- APPROXIMATE ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE
- APPROXIMATE MATERIALS BOUNDARY BENEATH SURFACE
- APPROXIMATE TAILINGS SURFACE (FROM BATHYMETRY)
- COARSE REJECTS (CR)
- COMBINED COARSE AND FINE REJECTS (CCFR)
- TILL
- IN SITU TILL
- FLOODPLAIN DEPOSITS (SAND AND GRAVEL)
- TAILINGS
- BEDROCK

NOTES

- ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- MATERIALS SHOWN SCHEMATICALLY ONLY.
- RIPRAP PRESENT FROM APPROXIMATELY 0+205 TO 0+680, NOT SHOWN ON SECTION.
- ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO THE ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.
- POND GENERALLY AT SOUTH END OF STP ONLY (SEE FIGURES 2 AND 3).

REFERENCES

- POND ELEVATION IS FROM THE MONTHLY TAILINGS DAM INSPECTION, COMPLETED ON 23 AUGUST 2021.
- TAILINGS SURFACE FOR WEST DAM SECTION BASED ON 2021 BATHYMETRY AT STN. 1+040 PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS, SURVEYED: 17 OCTOBER 2021.
- ORIGINAL GROUND ESTIMATED BASED ON 1968 ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE CONTOURS PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS, FILE NAME: "1968_CONTOURS_BG.dwg", RECEIVED: 8 DECEMBER 2015 AND GOLDER. 1976. TAILINGS STORAGE PROPOSED 1977 EXTENSION. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V75193. SUBMITTED JANUARY 1976 (GROUND SURFACE DIGITIZED BY GOLDER FROM COMINCO DRAWING (UNNAMED), FIGURE 1 LOCATION OF BOREHOLES).
- FRO. 2010. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION REPORT JULY-SEPTEMBER 2010. SUBMITTED NOVEMBER 2010.
- GOLDER. 1976. REPORT TO FORDING COAL LTD. ON TAILINGS STORAGE PROPOSED 1977 EXTENSION. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V75193. SUBMITTED JANUARY 1976.
- GOLDER. 2013. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DAM 2012 CONSTRUCTION DAM RAISE AS-BUILT REPORT. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. REFERENCE NO. 1214270098-2013-303-R-REV0-6400. SUBMITTED 1 APRIL 2013.
- GOLDER. 2014d. SOUTH TAILINGS POND DAM CONSTRUCTION RECORD REPORT FOR THE 2013 DAM RAISE. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. REFERENCE NO. 1314270098-2014-542-R-REV0-6000. SUBMITTED 30 OCTOBER 2014.
- GOLDER. 2015. SOUTH TAILINGS POND WEST DAM GEOPHYSICAL INVESTIGATION. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. REFERENCE NO. 1522835-2015-002-R-REV0-3000. SUBMITTED 26 MAY 2015.
- KWL. 1976. DRAWING NO. 8-76-3. DRAWING PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. SUBMITTED 1976.


THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED. WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED, THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IF IS TECK PROPERTY, TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE
PREPARED	M.HEAL
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH
APPROVED	J.CUNNING



PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH
TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
**SOUTH TAILINGS POND
TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH WEST DAM (STN. 0+400 TO 1+150)**

PROJECT NO.	PHASE/TASK/DOC.	REV.	FIGURE
21456080	200/205/2021-192	0	5

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3S/B



- LEGEND**
- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS
 - BATHYMETRY CONTOURS
 - 2017 NTP CREST SURVEY
 - EXTENT OF NTP DOWNSTREAM RIPRAP
 - 2021 SITE VISIT PHOTOGRAPH LOCATION
 - NTP DAM REFERENCE LINE AND STATION

- NOTES**
- ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
 - COORDINATES ARE IN UTM ZONE 11, ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.
 - TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS SHOWN AT 5.0 m MINOR AND 25.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.
 - BATHYMETRY CONTOURS SHOWN AT 1.0 m MINOR AND 5.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

- REFERENCES**
- 2021 LIDAR TOPOGRAPHY AND AERIAL PHOTO PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED, RECEIVED: 12 OCTOBER 2021, DATE FLOWN: 22 JULY 2021.
 - GOLDER 2021. TURNBULL TAILINGS STORAGE FACILITY AND NORTH TAILINGS POND BATHYMETRY SURVEY. GOLDER REF NO. 21471666-2021-240-TM-REV A-2000. 3 DECEMBER 2021.
 - 2017 NTP CREST SURVEY PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. RECEIVED: 8 JANUARY 2018. FILE NAME: NTP CREST SURVEY - 171121C.xls.

THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED, WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IT IS TECK PROPERTY. TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE	
PREPARED	M.HEAL	
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH	
APPROVED	J.CUNNING	

PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
NORTH TAILINGS POND
2021 SITE VISIT PHOTOGRAPH LOCATIONS

PROJECT NO.	PHASE/TASK/DOC.	REV.	FIGURE
21456080	200/205/2021-192	0	6





LEGEND

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS

BATHYMETRY CONTOURS

2017 NTP CREST SURVEY

EXTENT OF NTP DOWNSTREAM RIPRAP

GPS MONITORING LOCATION

FRO MONITORING WELL LOCATION

VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER LOCATION

VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETER AND INCLINOMETER LOCATION

NTP DAM REFERENCE LINE AND STATION

- NOTES**
1. ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

2. COORDINATES ARE IN UTM ZONE 11, ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

3. TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS SHOWN AT 5.0 m MINOR AND 25.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

4. BATHYMETRY CONTOURS SHOWN AT 1.0 m MINOR AND 5.0 m MAJOR INTERVAL.

THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED, WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED. THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IT IS TECK PROPERTY. TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE	
PREPARED	M.HEAL	
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH	
APPROVED	J.CUNNING	

- REFERENCES**
1. 2021 AERIAL PHOTO AND LIDAR TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED, RECEIVED: 12 OCTOBER 2021, DATE FLOWN: 22 JULY 2021.

2. GOLDER 2021. TURNBULL TAILINGS STORAGE FACILITY AND NORTH TAILINGS POND BATHYMETRY SURVEY. GOLDER REF NO. 21471666-2021-240-TM-REV A-2000. 3 DECEMBER 2021.

3. GPS MONITORING LOCATIONS BASED ON INITIAL READINGS OF DATA FROM GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED: 13 DECEMBER 2017.

4. LOCATION OF INCLINOMETERS AND ALL VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS (EXCEPT FOR CP17-NTP-01, -02, AND -04) DOWNLOADED FROM FRO'S GEOEXPLORER, ACCESSED ON 13 DECEMBER 2017.

5. 2017 NTP CREST SURVEY PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. RECEIVED 8 JANUARY 2018. FILE NAME: NTP CREST SURVEY - 171121C.xls

6. LOCATION OF VIBRATING WIRE PIEZOMETERS CP17-NTP-01, -02, AND -04 FROM NORWEST CORPORATION. 2018. FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS NORTH TAILINGS POND - GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION RESULTS. PROJECT NO. 324-37. 17 MAY 2018.


PROJECT
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
**NORTH TAILINGS POND
MONITORING LOCATIONS**

PROJECT NO. 21456080	PHASE/TASK/DOC. 200/205/2021-192	REV. 0	FIGURE 7
-------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------	-------------



Path: \\golder-gds-complex\data\file\encompass\CAD\GIS\client\teck_coal\fording_river\09_projects\21456080\200\205\201-192\02_PRODUCTION\DWG | File Name: 21456080_200-205-192-08.dwg



LEGEND

- APPROXIMATE GROUND SURFACE
- APPROXIMATE POND AND RIVER ELEVATION
- APPROXIMATE ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE
- APPROXIMATE MATERIALS BOUNDARY BENEATH SURFACE
- COARSE REJECTS (CR)
- COARSE REJECTS (CR) OR REBUILT WITH COMBINED COARSE AND FINE REJECTS (CCFR)
- TILL
- IN SITU TILL
- FLOODPLAIN DEPOSITS (SAND AND GRAVEL)
- FLOODPLAIN DEPOSITS (SAND AND GRAVEL) OR REBUILT RIPRAP
- RIPRAP
- TAILINGS
- TOPSOIL
- BEDROCK

NOTES

- ALL UNITS ARE SHOWN IN METRES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- MATERIAL ZONING SHOWN SCHEMATICALLY ONLY.
- ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO THE ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.
- POND GENERALLY AT SOUTH END OF NTP FACILITY ONLY (SEE FIGURE 6 AND 7).

REFERENCES

- POND ELEVATION IS FROM THE MONTHLY TAILINGS DAM INSPECTION, COMPLETED ON 26 AUGUST 2021.
- ORIGINAL GROUND ESTIMATED BASED ON 1968 ORIGINAL GROUND SURFACE CONTOURS PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS, FILE NAME: "1968_CONTOURS_BG.dwg", RECEIVED: 8 DECEMBER 2015.
- COMINCO (COMINCO LTD.). 1973. FEASIBILITY PROPOSAL FOR FORDING OPERATIONS TAILINGS DIKE EXTENSION TO ELEVATION 5,400 FEET. SUBMITTED 3 JULY 1973.
- 2017 NTP CREST SURVEY PROVIDED BY TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. RECEIVED 8 JANUARY 2018. FILE NAME: NTP CREST SURVEY - 171121C.xls
- GOLDER BRAWNER. 1970. SECOND REPORT TO COMINCO LTD., ON TAILINGS POND AND DYKE PROPOSED FORDING RIVER COAL PROJECT FORDING RIVER BRITISH COLUMBIA. REPORT PREPARED FOR COMINCO LTD. REFERENCE NO. V6994. SUBMITTED APRIL 1970.
- GOLDER BRAWNER. 1974. REPORT TO FORDING COAL LTD. ON PHASE II TAILINGS DAM CONSTRUCTION SPARWOOD B.C. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V73020-5. SUBMITTED FEBRUARY 1974.
- GOLDER. 1975. REPORT TO FORDING COAL LTD. RE CONSTRUCTION OF PHASE 4, ZONE 1b OF THE FORDING COAL TAILINGS DYKE. REPORT PREPARED FOR FORDING COAL LTD. REFERENCE NO. V75013. SUBMITTED 17 FEBRUARY 1975.
- GOLDER. 2014d. NORTH TAILINGS POND DAM 2013 FLOOD REPAIRS CONSTRUCTION SUMMARY. REPORT PREPARED FOR TECK COAL LIMITED FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS. PROJECT NO. 1314270098-2014-R-REV0-7000. SUBMITTED OCTOBER 30, 2014.

THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN WERE DEVELOPED FOR THE PROJECT FOR WHICH THE FIGURE WAS ISSUED. WITH DATA AVAILABLE AT THE TIME IT WAS CREATED, THEY ARE NOT INTENDED FOR REUSE OR APPLICATION TO OTHER PROJECTS, INITIATIVES OR ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN THAT FOR WHICH THIS FIGURE WAS DEVELOPED. THIS FIGURE WAS PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR TECK'S USE, AND IT IS TECK'S PROPERTY. TECK MAY RELY ON THE FIGURE FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS INTENDED. NO RELIANCE IS EXTENDED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. GOLDER IS NOT LIABLE OR RESPONSIBLE FOR THIRD PARTY USE OF THIS FIGURE AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN. GOLDER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE OR LIABLE FOR USE OF THIS FIGURE OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN FOLLOWING ANY MANIPULATION, ADAPTATION, MODIFICATION OR ALTERATION CARRIED OUT WITHOUT GOLDER'S CONSENT.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-25
DESIGNED	N.CARRIERE
PREPARED	M.HEAL
REVIEWED	C.McGRATH
APPROVED	J.CUNNING

PROJECT
2021 ANNUNAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SOUTH
TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE
**NORTH TAILINGS POND
TYPICAL SECTION THROUGH DAM**

PROJECT NO.	PHASE/TASK/DOC.	REV.	FIGURE
21456080	200/205/2021-192	0	8

IF THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN, THE SHEET SIZE HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM A3/B

APPENDIX A

Site Photographs

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-1

26 May 2021



South Tailings Pond (STP) overview, looking southwest.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-2

26 May 2021



STP overview, looking northeast.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-3

26 May 2021



STP north single point discharge pipeline extension to main pond, and north end beach area, looking southeast.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-4

26 May 2021



STP West Dam downstream slope and riprap along Fording River, looking southeast from approximately Sta. 0+400.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-5

26 May 2021



STP West Dam, downstream slope, Fording River diversion channel cut and access road below dam, looking northwest from approximately Sta. 0+650.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-6

26 May 2021



STP West Dam access road along downstream slope, Fording River, and pipeline bridge, looking northwest from approximately Sta. 0+900.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-7

26 May 2021



STP West Dam downstream slope and Fording River diversion channel cut, looking northeast from approximately Sta. 1+000.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-8

26 May 2021



Pipe	Seepage Rate (L/s)
North (left)	0.07
Bypassing pipes (estimated)	0.30

STP West Dam till slope below dam toe and West Seepage collection Pipes, looking northeast from approximately Sta. 1+000.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-9

26 May 2021



STP West Dam downstream slope, looking southeast from approximately Sta. 1+200.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-10

26 May 2021



STP Main Dam downstream slope showing erosion above seepage return wells, looking southeast from approximately Sta. 1+450.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-11

26 May 2021



STP Main Dam downstream slope and till bench above coarse rejects section of slope, looking west from approximately Sta. 1+450.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-12

26 May 2021



STP Main Dam downstream slope, erosion above well, looking northwest from approximately Sta. 1+400.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-13

26 May 2021



STP Main Dam crest, downstream slope, and tailings beach, looking southwest from approximately Sta. 1+650.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-14

26 May 2021



STP Main Dam crest and upstream slope at south abutment, looking southwest from approximately Sta. 1+800.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-15

26 May 2021



STP water reclaim line from Turnbull TSF, looking southwest.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-16

26 May 2021



Lower section of spillway channel, looking southwest.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-17

26 May 2021



Spillway channel at invert, looking northwest.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-18

5 July 2021



Overview of completed spillway construction, drone photo provided by FRO.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-19

25 May 2021



North Tailings Pond (NTP) overview of pond and upstream slope at south end, looking north from approximately Sta. 1+400.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-20

25 May 2021



NTP Dam crest and upstream slope, looking northeast from approximately Sta. 1+275.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-21

25 May 2021



NTP Dam downstream slope, looking northeast from approximately Sta. 1+400.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-22

25 May 2021



NTP Dam toe and area of ponding after rain events near at Sta. 1+250, looking northeast.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-23

25 May 2021



NTP Dam downstream slope and riprap along Fording River, looking northeast from approximately Sta. 0+900.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-24

25 May 2021



NTP Dam crest, upstream slope, and tailings surface, looking southeast from approximately Sta. 0+600.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-25

25 May 2021



NTP downstream slope, looking northwest from approximately Sta. 0+550.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-26

25 May 2021



NTP Dam crest and upstream slope, looking southeast from approximately Sta. 0+500.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-27

25 May 2021



NTP upstream slope and tailings surface, looking northwest from approximately Sta. 0+350.

2021 Annual Facility Performance Report for South Tailings Pond and North Tailings Pond

PHOTOGRAPH A-28

25 May 2021



NTP downstream slope, downstream riprap, and Fording River, looking south from approximately Sta. 0+250.

APPENDIX B

South Tailings Pond Inspection Report

Client:	Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations	By:	Clara Lee, P.Eng.
Project:	21456080 FRO Tailings Facilities 2021 Annual Facility Performance Report	Date:	26 May 2021
Location:	South Tailings Pond	Reviewed by:	John Cuning, P.Eng.

General Information			
Dam Type:	Zoned Earth Fill		
Weather Conditions:	Sunny	Temp:	14°C

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
1.0 DAM CREST		13, 14	
1.1 Crest Elevation	Elev. 1,637.85 m (minimum) for Main Dam confirmed with 2021 LiDAR survey		
1.2 Reservoir Level/Freeboard	Elev. 1636.02 m (31 August 2021) 1.1 m below spillway invert		
1.3 Distance to Tailings Pond	Wide beach upstream along south abutment, ~10 m wide beach near barge at Sta. 1+600 with increasing beach width to over 30 m at Sta. 1+400, wide beach upstream of West Dam	13, 14	
1.4 Surface Cracking	None		
1.5 Unexpected Settlement	None		
1.6 Lateral Movement	None		
1.7 Other Unusual Conditions or Structures	Minor rutting on crest		Add surfacing and re-grade crest
2.0 UPSTREAM SLOPE		14, 15	
2.1 Slope angle	Generally 1.4 to 1.75H:1V		Crest graded to drain upstream Riprap placed along upstream slope of Main Dam and part of West Dam
2.2 Signs of Erosion	None		
2.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	None		
2.4 Cracks	None		
2.5 Face Liner Condition (If Applicable)	None		

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
2.6 Other Unusual Conditions	Vegetation growing on slopes	14	Erosion area in tailings upstream of pipe bridge, near discharge location of pipeline from barge last used in 2020
3.0 DOWNSTREAM SLOPE		4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13	
3.1 Slope Angle	± 1.5 to 1.75H:1V		Lower portion of Main Dam slope locally over-steepened with respect to design, bench in Main Dam slope provides overall slope around 1.75H:1V
3.2 Signs of Erosion	Yes	7, 10, 12	Main Dam above seepage collection well West Dam/Main Dam contact
3.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	None		
3.4 Cracks	None		
3.5 Seepage or Wet Areas	None		
3.6 Vegetation Growth	Yes	9, 13	On Main Dam and parts of West Dam
3.7 Other Unusual Conditions	Vegetation growing on slopes		
4.0 DOWNSTREAM TOE AREA		4, 7, 8, 9, 12	
4.1 Seepage from Dam	Yes	8	Water noted flowing in ditch along West Dam downstream toe, appears to mainly be flow from Fording River and Maxam plant area
4.2 Signs of Erosion	No		
4.3 Signs of Turbidity in Seepage Water	None		
4.4 Discoloration/Staining	None		
4.5 Outlet Operating Problem (If Applicable)	N/A		
4.6 Other Unusual Conditions	Gopher holes along West Dam		

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
5.0 ABUTMENTS		14	
5.1 Seepage at Contact Zone (Abutment/Embankment)	None		Crest low at north abutment area Some ponded water at waste water cells area
5.2 Signs of Erosion	None		
5.3 Excessive Vegetation	None		
5.4 Presence of Rodent Burrows	None		
5.5 Other Unusual Conditions	Yes		Pipeline crosses at north abutment
6.0 RESERVOIR		1, 2	
6.1 Stability of Slopes	Good		
6.2 Distance to Nearest Slide (If Applicable)	Rail embankment adjacent to impoundment		
6.3 Estimate of Slide Volume (If Applicable)			
6.4 Floating Debris			
6.5 Other Unusual Conditions	Yes		Raised beach at north end (as-constructed) Waste water cells at north abutment area
7.0 EMERGENCY SPILLWAY/OUTLET STRUCTURE	Construction in progress in to be completed summer 2021	16, 17, 18	
7.1 Surface Condition	Good		
7.2 Signs of Erosion	None		Recently hydroseeded
7.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	None		
7.4 Cracks	None		
7.5 Settlement	Minor		2 areas on slopes above channel
7.6 Presence of Debris or Blockage	None		
7.7 Closure Mechanism Operational	None		
7.8 Slope Protection	None		
7.9 Instability of Side Slopes	None		
7.10 Other Unusual Conditions			Class 250 not in place from 0+300 to 0+550 in May 2021

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
8.0 INSTRUMENTATION			
8.1 Piezometers	Yes		<p>West Dam:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 2 standpipes (not read) ■ 2 retrofit standpipes with vibrating wire ■ 4 VW piezometers <p>Main Dam:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 1 standpipe (not read) ■ 2 retrofit standpipes with vibrating wire ■ 5 VW piezometers <p>In tailings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 2 VW piezometers <p>Locations shown in plan in Figure 3 of the AFPR report</p>
8.2 Settlement Cells	None		
8.3 Thermistors	None		
8.4 Settlement Monuments (GPS)	Yes		<p>GPS units monitor crest and toe movements – see Appendix E of the AFPR report.</p> <p>Locations shown in plan in Figure 3 of the AFPR report.</p>
8.5 Accelerograph	None		
8.6 Inclinator	Yes		<p>West Dam</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 1 location <p>Main Dam</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ 3 locations <p>See Appendix F of the AFPR report. Locations shown in plan in Figure 3 of the AFPR report</p>

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
8.7 Weirs and Flow Monitors	Yes	8	Below West Dam, seepage flow monitoring from collection pipes, north seepage area culverts, and ditch at south end of West Dam see 5.4.1.1 of the AFPR report
8.8 Data Logger(s)	Yes		On piezometers and GPS units, all instrumentation connected to GeoExplorer system
8.9 Other	Water level sensor, staff gauge, and camera		A sensor and staff gauge are mounted on the reclaim barge. A camera was installed in August 2020 to view the STP West Dam and Fording River for real-time visual monitoring
9.0 DOCUMENTATION			
9.1 Operation, Maintenance and Surveillance (OMS) Manual	FRO Tailings Facility OMS Manual		
9.1.1 OMS Manual Exists	Yes		
9.1.2 OMS Manual Reflects Current Dam Conditions	Yes		
9.1.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		Version 2020.04
9.2 Emergency Response Plan (ERP)	ERP: Internal to Teck		STP included in site tailings facilities ERP. (SP&P EP.009.R1)
9.2.1 ERP Exists	Yes		
9.2.2 ERP Reflects Current Conditions	Yes		
9.2.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		
9.3 Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP)	EPP: Internal to Teck		STP included in site tailings pond dam breach EPP (SP&P EP.008 R2)
9.3.1 EPP Exists	Yes		
9.3.2 EPP Reflects Current Conditions	Yes		
9.3.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		Version R2
10. NOTES			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spillway construction was completed in July 2021 			
Inspectors:	John Cunning, P.Eng. Clara Lee, P.Eng.	Date:	26 May 2021

APPENDIX C

**North Tailings Pond
Inspection Report**

Client:	Teck Coal Limited, Fording River Operations	By:	Clara Lee, P.Eng.
Project:	21456080 FRO Tailings Facilities 2021 Annual Facility Performance Report	Date:	25 May 2021
Location:	North Tailings Pond	Reviewed by:	John Cunning, P.Eng.

General Information			
Dam Type:	Zoned Earth Fill		
Weather Conditions:	Light rain, windy	Temp:	8°C

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
1.0 DAM CREST		20, 24, 27	
1.1 Crest Elevation	Elev. 1,652.60 m (minimum) for Main Dam confirmed with 2021 LiDAR survey		
1.2 Reservoir Level/Freeboard	Elev. 1650.20 m (1 September 2021) 2.40 m freeboard		High water level in March 2021 required pumping to STP
1.3 Distance to Tailings Pond	Full beach Approx. Sta. 0+000 to 1+100 0 m (south end) Approx. Sta. 1+100 to 1+400	24 20	Usually no beach at south end
1.4 Surface Cracking	None		
1.5 Unexpected Settlement	None		
1.6 Lateral Movement	None		
1.7 Other Unusual Conditions or Structures	Rutting		Minor ponding on crest Site 1 – old tailings line capped at upstream Site 2 – Dual steel lines capped Site 3 – Steel pipe valve closed Site 4 – black PVC open, visible on downstream only
2.0 UPSTREAM SLOPE		19, 20, 27,	
2.1 Slope angle	Generally 1.4 to 1.5H:1V		
2.2 Signs of Erosion	None		
2.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	None		
2.4 Cracks	None		

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
2.5 Face Liner Condition (If Applicable)	None		
2.6 Other Unusual Conditions	Abandoned pipes		
3.0 DOWNSTREAM SLOPE		21, 22, 23, 25, 28	
3.1 Slope Angle	1.4 to 1.75H:1V		Original design of 1.4H:1V; rebuilt design of 1.5 to 1.75H:1V following 2013 flood repairs
3.2 Signs of Erosion	Minor surficial erosion		
3.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	None		
3.4 Cracks	None		
3.5 Seepage or Wet Areas	Dry		
3.6 Vegetation Growth	Yes	21, 23, 25	Good grass growth along most areas of the downstream slope
3.7 Other Unusual Conditions	Abandoned pipes		Vertical culvert and abandoned pipes on downstream slope
4.0 DOWNSTREAM TOE AREA		22, 23, 25, 28	
4.1 Seepage from Dam	None		
4.2 Signs of Erosion	None		
4.3 Signs of Turbidity in Seepage Water	None		
4.4 Discoloration/Staining	None		
4.5 Outlet Operating Problem (If Applicable)	N/A		
4.6 Other Unusual Conditions	Ponded water at downstream toe near Sta. 1+250		Standing water ponded at downstream toe – same area as observed in previous years
5.0 ABUTMENTS			
5.1 Seepage at Contact Zone (Abutment/Embankment)	None		
5.2 Signs of Erosion	None		
5.3 Excessive Vegetation	None		
5.4 Presence of Rodent Burrows	None		
5.5 Other Unusual Conditions	Yes		Flow in Liverpool outlet ditch at the north abutment

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
6.0 RESERVOIR		19, 20	
6.1 Stability of Slopes	Good		
6.2 Distance to Nearest Slide (If Applicable)	n/a		Coal stockpile on the west
6.3 Estimate of Slide Volume (If Applicable)	TBC		
6.4 Floating Debris	None		
6.5 Other Unusual Conditions	Yes	19 24	Barge is crooked from being stuck in tailings, barge not in use Silt fences installed on tailings surface for dust control
7.0 EMERGENCY SPILLWAY/OUTLET STRUCTURE			No spillway or emergency outlet
7.1 Surface Condition	N/A		
7.2 Signs of Erosion	N/A		
7.3 Signs of Movement (Deformation)	N/A		
7.4 Cracks	N/A		
7.5 Settlement	N/A		
7.6 Presence of Debris or Blockage	N/A		
7.7 Closure Mechanism Operational	N/A		
7.8 Slope Protection	N/A		
7.9 Instability of Side Slopes	N/A		
7.10 Other Unusual Conditions	N/A		
8.0 INSTRUMENTATION			
8.1 Piezometers	Yes		Piezometers installed in three vertical boreholes drilled on the dam crest in 2015. Seven piezometers installed in tailings in 2017 to support closure studies. See Section 5.5.1.3 of the AFPR report for details on the instrumentation
8.2 Settlement Cells	None		

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
8.3 Thermistors	None		
8.4 Settlement Monuments (GPS)	Yes		GPS units monitor crest and toe movements – see Appendix E of the AFPR report Locations shown in plan Figure 7 of the AFPR report
8.5 Accelerograph	None		
8.6 Inclinator	Yes		Three inclinometers installed in 2015 See Appendix F of the DSI report. Locations shown in plan in Figure 7 of the AFPR report
8.7 Weirs and Flow Monitors	None		
8.8 Data Logger(s)	Yes		On piezometers and GPS, all instrumentation connected to GoeExplorer system
8.9 Other	Water level monitor		Piezometer has been placed in ponded water to read water level in the pond
9.0 DOCUMENTATION			
9.1 Operation, Maintenance and Surveillance (OMS) Manual	FRO Tailings Facility OMS Manual		
9.1.1 OMS Manual Exists	Yes		
9.1.2 OMS Manual Reflects Current Dam Conditions	Yes		
9.1.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		Version 2020.04
9.2 Emergency Response Plan (ERP)	ERP: Internal to Teck		NTP included in site tailings facilities ERP. (SP&P EP.009.R1)
9.2.1 ERP Exists	Yes		
9.2.2 ERP Reflects Current Conditions	Yes		
9.2.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		
9.3 Emergency Preparedness Plan (EPP)	EPP: Internal to Teck		NTP included in site tailings pond dam breach EPP (SP&P EP.008 R2)
9.3.1 EPP Exists	Yes		

Inspection Item	Observations/Data	Photo	Comments & Other Data
9.3.2 EPP Reflects Current Conditions	Yes		
9.3.3 Date of Last Revision	25 May 2020		Version R2
10. NOTES			
Inspectors:	John Cunning, P.Eng. Clara Lee, P.Eng.	Date:	25 May 2021

APPENDIX D

Summary of FRO Dam Inspection Action Items

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April*

Inspected By: Patrick Lea

Inspection Date: 2 September 2020

Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 15 degrees

ACTION ITEMS

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the “Additional Comments” section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to monitor To be repaired during Spillway construction 	P4	September 2020/Spillway Construction
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream Erosion Gullies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to monitor during weekly inspections 	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Robyn GaebelInspection Date: 8 Sept 2020Weather & Temperature: -2° cloudy, Frost**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment (Main Dam)	Upstream erosion gullies - to be repaired as part of the spillway construction - continue to monitor	P4	Spillway Construction Sept/Oct 2020
Main & West Dam	Downstream Erosion Gullies - continue to monitor	P4	On going

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: Robyn GaebelInspection Date: 15 Sept (Turnbull STP) 16 Sept 2020 (2P-3P/NTP)Weather & Temperature: warm, smoky / smoky (less) cool
21°C / 13°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
STP South Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies - repaired during spillway construction - continue to monitor	P4	Sept - Oct 2020
Main & West Dam (STP)	Downstream Erosion Gullies - continue to monitor	P4	On Going
STP/NTP	Regular Dam Maint. Items - working through with Pump Crew	P4	On Going
2P-3P	Request access be cleared for inspection of facilities - Complete Sept 16 2020		

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Robyn GaebelInspection Date: 22 Sept 2020Weather & Temperature: Sunny w partial cloud
+19°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies - to be repaired during spillway construction	P4	Sept - Oct 2020
Main & West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies - continue to monitor.	P4	on going
Crest & General	Regular Dam Maint Items - working through w pump crew	P4	on going

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Robyn Gabel & Pat LeaInspection Date: Sept 30th 2020Weather & Temperature: Cloudy + 9°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies - to be repaired during spillway construction	P4	Oct - Nov 2020
Main & West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies - continue to monitor	P4	on going
Crest & General	Regular Dam Maint Items - working through pump crew	P4	on going

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 7-OCT-2020Weather & Temperature: 20°C, Sunny**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor - To be repaired during spillway construction	P4	2020 Spillway Construction
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 15 - OCT - 2020Weather & Temperature: Overcast, 3°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment	Upstream Erosion Gullies: - Continue to monitor - To be repaired during spillway construction	P4	2020 Spillway Construction
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream Erosion Gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 21 October 2020Weather & Temperature: Overcast w/ Sunny breaks, -5°C to -1°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment STP	Upstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor - To be repaired as part of the spillway construction.	P4	2020 Spillway Construction
STP Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 29-OCT-2020Weather & Temperature: Overcast, Showers, +1°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor - To be repaired as part of the spillway construction	P4	2020 Spillway Construction
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 2 - NOV - 2020Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 5°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
North Abutment	Upstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor - To be repaired as part of the Spillway Construction	P4	2020 Spillway Construction
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 16 Nov 2020Weather & Temperature: Sunny, -8°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unauthorized excavation of trench on crest as part of spillway project. Notify QP & EOR Request backfill requirements from EOR and backfill as soon as possible 	P2	25-November-2020
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to monitor during weekly inspections 	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 1 - Dec - 2020Weather & Temperature: -5°C, Sunny**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment	- Unauthorized excavation of trench on crest as part of spillway project ↳ trench being heated and hoarded ↳ sourcing material, to be backfilled ASAP	P2	4 - Dec - 2020
Main Dam West Dam	- Downstream Erosion Gullies: ↳ continue to monitor during weekly inspections.	P4	Ongoing.

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 15 December 2020Weather & Temperature: Snowing, -5°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
South Abutment	Unauthorized excavation of trench on crest ↳ Backfilled to the specifications of the EOR	P2	Completed
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 30-Dec-2020Weather & Temperature: Overcast, light snow -7°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: WTP & TURNBULL: 7 JAN 2021 STP, 3P: 12 JAN 2021Weather & Temperature: Overcast, 0°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream Erosion Gullies: ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspection	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 27 - JAN - 2021Weather & Temperature: Overcast, light snow, -9°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream Erosion Gullies: ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspection	P4	Ongoing

Fording River Operations
Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Teck

Inspected By: PATRICK LEA

Inspection Date: 9-FEB-2021

Weather & Temperature: Sunny, -29°C

ACTION ITEMS

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 23 FEB 2021Weather & Temperature: Scattered clouds, -1°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: ↳ Continue to monitor during weekly inspection	P4	Ongoing

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 9 - March - 2021Weather & Temperature: -10°C, Sunny**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspection	PH	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 23 MARCH 2021Weather & Temperature: Sunny, -10°C to -3°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing.

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly inspections from May – October, inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: PATRICK LEAInspection Date: 6 April 2021Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 0°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during inspections	P4	Ongoing

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: PATRICK LEA & Katie GoguenInspection Date: April 21 to April 22Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 5°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies: - Continue to monitor during weekly inspection	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly inspections from May – October, inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: Katie Goguen + Patrick LeaInspection Date: May 4, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, partially cloudy, 6°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam + West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor during weekly inspection	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form*Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April*Inspected By: KATIE GOGUEN + PATRICK LEAInspection Date: May 14, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 4°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam + West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUEN + PATRICK LEAInspection Date: May 19, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, 8°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam + West Dam	Downstream Slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUEN + PATRICK LEA

Inspection Date: MAY 25, 2021

Weather & Temperature: Light rain, 5°C

ACTION ITEMS

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
—	—	—	—

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: June 01 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, 18°C**ACTION ITEMS**1:45pm

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam & West Dam	Downstream Slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor	P4	ongoing
" "	Downstream slope erosion gullies → Redirect water to ditch away from DIS Slope face	P2	Summer 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Katie GoguenInspection Date: June 07, 2021Weather & Temperature: Partly Cloudy, 8°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam & West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies ↳ continue to monitor	P4	ongoing
" "	Downstream slope erosion gullies ↳ Redirect water to ditch away from D/S slope face	P2	Summer 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: June 15, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, 18°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam + West Dam	Downstream Slope erosion gullies ↳ continue to monitor	P4	ONGOING
Main Dam + West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies ↳ redirect water to ditch/away from D/S slope face	P2	Summer 2021

Monthly Tailings Dam Inspection Form

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: June 22-24Weather & Temperature: Sun, 18°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
STP Main and West Dams	D/S slope erosion gullies → re-direct water to ditch away from slope	P2	Summer 2021
STP Main and West Dams	D/S slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	Ongoing
NTP D/S Toe Road	Excavated material from drilling program → to be regraded	P3	Summer/Fall 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Katie GoguenInspection Date: June 29, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, 25°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main and West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies → re-direct water runoff to ditch	P2	Summer 2021
Main and West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies → monitor during weekly inspection	PM	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: July 07, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 25°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main and West Dam	Downstream erosion gullies → Project to redirect runoff	P2	Summer 2021
Main and West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor	P4	Ongoing

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Katie GoguenInspection Date: July 14, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sunny, 20°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main Dam + West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies ↳ continue to monitor	P4	Ongoing
Main Dam + West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies ↳ redirect runoff	P2	Summer 2021

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: July 22, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, Wind 20°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Discharge Pipe	change discharge to end of pipe	P1	July 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: July 29, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, 18°, smokey**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main and West Dams	inspect D/S slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor	P4	Ongoing
Main and West Dams	Set up project to re-direct run off from D/S slope	P2	Summer/ Fall 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: AUGUST 03, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun, Smokey, 28°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main + West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies → continue to monitor	P4	Ongoing
Main + West Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies ↳ redirect runoff project	P2	Fall 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: AUGUST 10 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun ☁ Clouds, 18°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main + West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies ↳ visual inspections, continue to monitor	P4	ongoing
Main + West Dam	Downstream slope erosion gullies ↳ Project to re-direct runoff	P2	Fall 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly Inspections from May – October, Inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: Katie GoguenInspection Date: August 17, 2017Weather & Temperature: Rain, cloudy, 5°C**ACTION ITEMS**

Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
West Dam and Main Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies visual inspections, continue to monitor	P4	ongoing
West Dam and Main Dam	D/S slope erosion gullies ↳ set up project to re-direct water runoff into ditch	P2	Fall 2021

STP Dam Inspection Form

Weekly inspections from May – October, inspections twice per month from November – April

Inspected By: KATIE GOGUENInspection Date: AUGUST 23, 2021Weather & Temperature: Sun w Cloud, 14°C**ACTION ITEMS**




Record any items of concern noted during the inspection; location of each action item shall be marked on the attached facility maps. If required, additional items can be included in the "Additional Comments" section.

Priority	Description
1	A high probability or actual dam safety issue considered immediately dangerous to life, health or the environment, or a significant regulatory concern.
2	If not corrected, could likely result in dam safety issues leading to injury, environmental impact or significant regulatory action; or, a repetitive deficiency that demonstrates a systematic breakdown of procedures.
3	Single occurrences of deficiencies or non-conformances that alone would not be expected to result in dam safety issues.
4	Best Management Practice as a suggestion for continuous improvement towards industry best practices that could further reduce potential risks. This typically includes ongoing construction items within the appropriate construction cycle.

Location	Item Description & Responsibility	Priority	Target Completion Date
Main + West Dam	redirecting runoff into ditch instead of towards D/s slope	P2	Q3 2021
Main + West Dam	D/s slope erosion gullies ↳ continue to monitor during weekly inspections	P4	ongoing

APPENDIX E

GPS Plots



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. THIS GPS UNIT WAS REPLACED AND THE BASE STATION SWITCHED IN OCTOBER 2018. CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR COMPARISON WITH DATA OF OLD GPS, WHICH REFERENCED A DIFFERENT BASE STATION.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 01**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-1



STP-GPS 02: 2021 Change in Elevation



STP-GPS 02: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2022-03-23

PREPARED W. BITAR

DESIGN W. BITAR

REVIEW C. MCGRATH

APPROVED J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE




**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 02**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
E-2



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2022-03-23

PREPARED W. BITAR

DESIGN W. BITAR

REVIEW C. MCGRATH

APPROVED J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 03**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-3



STP-GPS 04: 2021 Change in Elevation



STP-GPS 04: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. THIS GPS UNIT WAS REPLACED AND THE BASE STATION SWITCHED IN MAY 2018. CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR COMPARISON WITH DATA OF OLD GPS, WHICH REFERENCED A DIFFERENT BASE STATION.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.




CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT
SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND
TITLE
**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 04**

PROJECT No. 21456080	Phase/Task/Doc. 200/205/2021-192	Rev. 0	FIGURE E-4
--------------------------------	--	------------------	----------------------



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2022-03-23

PREPARED W. BITAR

DESIGN W. BITAR

REVIEW C. MCGRATH

APPROVED J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 05**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-5



STP-GPS 07: 2021 Change in Elevation



STP-GPS 07: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE




**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 07**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
E-6



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. THIS GPS UNIT WAS REPLACED AND THE BASE STATION SWITCHED IN MAY 2018. CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR COMPARISON WITH DATA OF OLD GPS, WHICH REFERENCED A DIFFERENT BASE STATION.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 08**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-7



STP-GPS 09: 2021 Change in Elevation



STP-GPS 09: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. THIS GPS UNIT WAS REPLACED AND THE BASE STATION SWITCHED IN APRIL 2020. CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR COMPARISON WITH DATA OF OLD GPS, WHICH REFERENCED A DIFFERENT BASE STATION.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 09**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-8



STP-GPS 11: 2021 Change in Elevation



STP-GPS 11: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. THIS GPS UNIT WAS REPLACED AND THE BASE STATION SWITCHED IN JULY 2018. CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR COMPARISON WITH DATA OF OLD GPS, WHICH REFERENCED A DIFFERENT BASE STATION.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE





**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
STP-GPS 11**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
E-9



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.




CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT
SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND
TITLE
**NORTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
NTP-GPS 01**

PROJECT No. 21456080	Phase/Task/Doc. 200/205/2021-192	Rev. 0	FIGURE E-10
--------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------------------



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.




CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT
SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND
TITLE
**NORTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
NTP-GPS 02**

PROJECT No. 21456080	Phase/Task/Doc. 200/205/2021-192	Rev. 0	FIGURE E-11
--------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------------------



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2022-03-23

PREPARED W. BITAR

DESIGN W. BITAR

REVIEW C. MCGRATH

APPROVED J. CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**NORTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
NTP-GPS 03**

PROJECT No.
21456080


Phase/Task/Doc.
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0


FIGURE
E-12




NTP-GPS 04: 2021 Plan View



NTP-GPS 04: 2021 Change in Elevation



NTP-GPS 04: 2021 Velocity and CRD



LEGEND

- INITIAL READING (SEPTEMBER 2020)
- 2020/2021 READINGS
- ▲ LAST READING (August 2021)

NOTES

1. DATA DOWNLOADED FROM GEOEXPLORER IN SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. DUE TO THE MANNER IN WHICH GPS DATA IS REFERENCED AT FRO, CHANGE IN ELEVATION VS. TIME IS PLOTTED FOR REVIEW.

CLIENT
TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT




YYYY-MM-DD	2022-03-23
PREPARED	W. BITAR
DESIGN	W. BITAR
REVIEW	C. MCGRATH
APPROVED	J. CUNNING

PROJECT
SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND
TITLE
**NORTH TAILINGS POND - GPS MONITORING DATA AT
NTP-GPS 04**

PROJECT No. 21456080	Phase/Task/Doc. 200/205/2021-192	Rev. 0	FIGURE E-13
--------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------------------

APPENDIX F

Slope Inclinometer Data



LEGEND

12/13/2015	1/11/2016	3/4/2018
4/4/2016	7/8/2018	8/12/2018
1/19/2017	4/9/2017	5/3/2017
5/4/2017	7/21/2017	3/20/2018
7/13/2018	8/27/2018	3/15/2019
8/24/2019	12/5/2019	4/20/2020
7/7/2020	5/14/2021	6/24/2021

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2021-03-18


PREPARED C.MCGRATH

DESIGN C.MCGRATH


REVIEW C.MCGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-01**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-1



LEGEND

12/18/2015	1/11/2016	3/4/2016
4/4/2016	7/12/2016	8/12/2016
1/18/2017	4/6/2017	5/4/2017
7/21/2017	3/20/2018	7/13/2018
9/27/2018	4/2/2019	6/24/2019
12/5/2019	4/20/2020	7/7/2020
5/14/2021	6/24/2021	

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT


TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT




YYYY-MM-DD	2021-03-18
PREPARED	C.MCGRATH
DESIGN	C.MCGRATH
REVIEW	C.MCGRATH
APPROVED	J.CUNNING

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE


**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-02**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-2



LEGEND

12/18/2015	1/12/2016	3/4/2016
4/4/2016	7/12/2016	8/12/2016
1/18/2017	4/6/2017	5/4/2017
7/21/2017	3/20/2018	7/13/2018
8/27/2018	4/2/2019	6/24/2019
4/20/2020	7/7/2020	5/14/2021
8/25/2021		

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2021-03-18


PREPARED C.MCGRATH

DESIGN C.MCGRATH


REVIEW C.MCGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-03**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-3



LEGEND

12/18/2015	3/4/2016	4/4/2016
7/12/2016	8/12/2016	1/23/2017
4/8/2017	5/4/2017	7/21/2017
3/20/2018	7/13/2018	9/26/2018
4/2/2019	6/24/2019	12/5/2019
4/20/2020	7/7/2020	5/14/2021
6/24/2021		

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2021-03-18


PREPARED C.MCGRATH

DESIGN C.MCGRATH


REVIEW C.MCGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**SOUTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-04**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-4



LEGEND

12/18/2015	1/12/2016	3/1/2016
4/4/2016	7/11/2016	8/9/2016
1/23/2017	4/20/2017	7/19/2017
11/14/2017	3/21/2018	7/13/2018
9/26/2018	8/24/2019	11/27/2019
4/20/2020	7/7/2020	5/13/2021
8/24/2021		

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2021-03-18

PREPARED C.MCGRATH

DESIGN C.MCGRATH

REVIEW C.MCGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**NORTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-05**


PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192


Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-5

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



North Tailings Pond, Inclinometer TH15-05
Fording River Operations



LEGEND

12/18/2015	1/12/2016	3/1/2016
4/6/2016	7/11/2016	8/9/2016
1/23/2017	4/20/2017	7/19/2017
11/14/2017	3/20/2018	7/13/2018
9/26/2018	4/2/2019	6/24/2019
11/27/2019	4/20/2020	7/7/2020
5/13/2021	6/24/2021	

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT

TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT



YYYY-MM-DD 2021-03-18

PREPARED C.MCGRATH

DESIGN C.MCGRATH

REVIEW C.MCGRATH

APPROVED J.CUNNING

PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**NORTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-06**


PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192


Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-6

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



North Tailings Pond, Inclinator TH15-06
Fording River Operations



LEGEND

12/18/2015	1/12/2016	3/1/2016
4/6/2016	7/11/2016	8/9/2016
1/23/2017	4/5/2017	7/19/2017
8/18/2017	3/20/2018	7/13/2018
9/26/2018	4/2/2019	6/24/2019
11/27/2019	4/20/2020	7/7/2020
5/14/2021	7/5/2021	

REFERENCES

1. DATA PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS SEPTEMBER 2021.
2. LOCATIONS FROM GEOEXPLORER.
3. A-A AXIS AZIMUTH PROVIDED BY FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS 15 NOVEMBER 2017.
4. ELEVATIONS ARE IN ELK VALLEY ELEVATION DATUM.

CLIENT


TECK COAL LIMITED
FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
ELKFORD, B.C.

CONSULTANT




YYYY-MM-DD	2021-03-18
PREPARED	C.MCGRATH
DESIGN	C.MCGRATH
REVIEW	C.MCGRATH
APPROVED	J.CUNNING

DIRECTION A



DIRECTION B



PROJECT

SOUTH AND NORTH TAILINGS PONDS
2021 ANNUAL FACILITY PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR
SOUTH TAILINGS POND AND NORTH TAILINGS POND

TITLE

**NORTH TAILINGS POND - SLOPE INCLINOMETER DATA
AT TH15-07**

PROJECT No.
21456080

Phase
200/205/2021-192

Rev.
0

FIGURE
F-7

APPENDIX G

KWL Riprap Inspection Report



KERR WOOD LEIDAL
consulting engineers

Okanagan
202 - 3334 30th Avenue
Vernon, BC V1T 2C8
T 250 503 0841
F 250 503 0847

Technical Memorandum

DATE: February 7, 2022

TO: Patrick Lea, P.Eng.
Teck Coal Ltd. – Fording River Operations

CC: John Cuning, P.Eng.
Golder Associates Ltd.

FROM: Jason Miller, P.Eng.

RE: TECK COAL LIMITED – FORDING RIVER OPERATIONS
2021 NTP and STP Riprap Inspection
Our File 0008.320-300

Introduction

Teck Coal Ltd. – Fording River Operations (FRO) retained Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL) to complete an inspection of the riprap along the North Tailings Pond (NTP) and South Tailings Pond (STP) embankments. Jason Miller, P.Eng. of KWL is the design engineer of record for bank protection works along the NTP and STP adjacent to the Fording River.

The riprap inspection is a component of the Annual Dam Safety Inspection (DSI) currently being completed by Golder Associates Ltd. (Golder). Golder is the Engineer of Record (EoR) for the NTP and STP tailings storage facilities at FRO. This technical memorandum summarizes the findings of KWL's riprap inspection and will be appended to the 2021 Annual DSI.

Background

KWL has a long history working at FRO. KWL was involved in the design and construction of the Fording River diversion to allow the construction of the STP. KWL has also provided hydrotechnical support to FRO following major flood events on the Fording River.

A severe flood on the Fording River in June 2013 caused extensive damage to FRO infrastructure and necessitated emergency mitigation works. Post-flood works included design and construction of a new riprap revetment to protect the NTP and part of the STP. Construction of bank protection works occurred in 2013, 2014, 2016 and 2017. Upon completion, continuous bank protection works had been constructed along the Fording River channel where it flows along the toe of the NTP dam, and along about one-third of the channel where it flows along the toe of the STP dam. The existing NTP and STP riprap is designed to the 200-year return period flood.¹ FRO is continuing a parallel process to establish appropriate Fording River flood risk mitigation for long-term operation of its tailings storage facilities.

¹ Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. 2016 Bank Protection Design for NTP/STP – Design Brief. Prepared for Teck Coal Ltd. – Fording River Operations. January 2017.



Field Inspection

A site visit was conducted on September 22, 2021 by Jason Miller, P.Eng. of KWL to assess the condition of the NTP and STP riprap bank protection works. The assessment began at the STP and then moved to the NTP. At the time of the inspection the ground and riprap were snow free.

NTP Inspection

Riprap extends from upstream of the NTP to about Sta. 1+075 of the Golder NTP dam baseline as shown on Figure 1. Visual inspection of the lower riprap slope is impeded by gravel placed over the riprap during 2013 construction. The upper riprap slope placed during 2016/2017 is visible. The 2021 riprap inspection confirmed the riprap appears to be in good condition. Details of the inspection are provided below.

The section of riprap from approximately Sta. 0+100 to 0+200 is set back from the river and fully buried and no part of the revetment is visible. The surface above the riprap was checked for signs of movement such as cracks or settlement. A previously-noted hole around Sta. 0+140 m (north of the Liverpool outlet culvert crossing) does not appear to have changed since the 2020 inspection. The hole is 0.9 m wide by 0.6 m long and appears to be material that has fallen into the void between the riprap (i.e., interlocked riprap is visible in the hole just below the gravel surface). It does not appear that any riprap has moved as this is an isolated location with no other visible signs of movement.

Downstream of the fully buried section, gravel-covered sections of the revetment top and slope were checked for signs of movement such as cracks or openings in the gravel along the slope that would indicate voids developing within the revetment or settlement of the upper riprap. Several locations between Sta. 0+175 and Sta. 0+550 along the right bank have eroded to some extent; however, the erosion has been of the gravel overlying the riprap and has not impacted the riprap revetment. In the erosion areas, the banks are over-steepened at 1 horizontal to 1 vertical (1H:1V) due to the loss of the gravel cast over the slope and this has not impacted the riprap slope. No additional visual signs of movement were observed over the length of the revetment slope.

A small 0.35 m diameter sinkhole remains on top of the riprap about 1.5 m from the top of the slope (approximate Sta. 0+710) with no visible changes since it was observed in 2019. The 0.3 m deep opening appears to be the result of finer road surface material falling into the voids between the large riprap pieces. This is not unexpected given the method of construction and is not considered evidence of a problem.

The exposed toe and slope of the revetment was observed and appears to be in good condition with no visual signs of scour or displacement except as noted above.

Some of the locally supplied rock is known to weather and degrade. Degradation was observed on several rocks along the revetment with minimal degradation from the 2020 riprap inspection. The degradation is intermittent and has not affected the overall integrity of the protection works. If degradation becomes more widespread, the average size (mass) of the riprap will decrease and rock interlocking may be compromised. Both processes can reduce the level of protection provided by the riprap. Remedial work may be required if future inspections confirm ongoing weathering and degradation. This year's inspection did not include any test holes to review rock degradation below the visible rock layer.

Previously, test holes were excavated in 2016 and 2017 during riprap upgrades. These test holes found the riprap placed in 2013 to be of good quality, but the riprap gradation was smaller than the expected gradation at the top of the test holes. This may be a result of selective placement to construct an access road on top of the revetment. At this time, further test pitting is not suggested as the exposed riprap is in good condition and it is reasonable to assume that degradation of the buried rock is similar to that of exposed sections.



Generally, the crest of the NTP riprap revetment is within ± 0.1 m of the design elevation; however, there are a few areas where the riprap crest is up to 0.4 m lower than the design elevation (refer to profile on record drawings in completion report). The low areas correspond to approximate stationing 0+215 to 0+290, 0+470 to 0+545, 0+625 to 0+665, 0+685 to 0+755 and 0+920 to 0+940.² This reduces the freeboard in these areas from the design freeboard of 1.0 m to 0.6 m.

A reduced freeboard means that the revetment has a reduced capacity to handle variations from the design conditions; 0.6 m freeboard is considered the minimum acceptable freeboard for many flood protection projects throughout BC. Particular attention regarding signs of settlement or water nearing the crest should be paid to these areas on annual inspection and during high water events. Signs of settling or subsidence should be confirmed by topographic survey and levels of protection should be raised if required. No evidence of systematic settling or subsidence was observed during the 2021 inspection. FRO should take advantage of future opportunities to cost-effectively raise the revetment to achieve the design freeboard (e.g., if future work is required along the river side slope of the NTP).

STP Inspection

A riprap revetment protects the STP embankment toe from Sta. 0+240 to 0+685 of the Golder STP dam baseline (refer to Figure 2). Most of the riprap slope is exposed and visible along the length of the revetment, except for a 20 m length at the upstream end which is covered in finer rock (200 mm minus rock). The riprap is well interlocked with smaller riprap filling the voids of the larger riprap. The riprap slope is generally about 2H:1V, except for a 20 m long section (Sta. 0+270 to Sta. 0+290) that is 1.5H:1V. The riprap appears to be in good condition. Details of the inspection are provided below.

The top of the riprap apron is covered in river gravel and is not visible for inspection; its condition is assumed similar to that observed along the revetment slope. The gravel-covered apron was checked for signs of movement such as cracks or openings in the gravel that would indicate voids or settlement developing within the toe apron. No signs of movement were observed. Water was observed flowing out of the riprap apron at Sta. 0+365 and is not impacting the integrity of the revetment. This is likely a result of water from the river flowing through the alluvial gravel bed and into the riprap apron.

The Fording River currently flows on the opposite side of the channel for most of the length except for the downstream 150 m where the active channel flows against the riprap embankment. There is evidence that water levels were about 0.75 m to 1 m up the riprap slope in several locations during freshet 2021. The main river channel has scoured about 1.5 m deep for about a 6 m long section at Sta. 0+670, which appears to be below the riprap toe apron. A couple of pieces of riprap toe apron appear to have fallen into the scour hole, but this does not appear to have impacted the riprap slope that is set behind the riprap apron. This area should be monitored for further erosion and material displacement.

There is continued weathering (cracking and flaking) of individual riprap pieces along the entire length of the STP protection works. Currently, the degradation remains intermittent and has not affected the overall integrity of the protection works. If degradation becomes more widespread, the average size (mass) of the riprap will decrease and rock interlocking may be compromised. Both processes can reduce the level of protection provided by the riprap. Remedial work may be required if future inspections confirm ongoing weathering and degradation.

² Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. 2016/2017 Bank Protection for NTP/STP – Completion Report. Prepared for Teck Coal Ltd. – Fording River Operations. December 2017.



General Observations

All riprap used for NTP and STP bank protection works was salvaged from toes of spoils or sorted from spoils or hauled directly from the pit. The resistance to weathering is therefore expected to vary locally throughout both revetments. Over time, inspections may identify pockets of more resistant and/or less resistant material. More frequent visual monitoring by FRO staff should occur in areas where a significant portion of the riprap slope (i.e., more than the occasional rock) is found to be showing signs of degradation. Presently there are no areas identified as requiring increased monitoring.

Teck currently has a stockpile of riprap located south of the STP for use at either tailings facility in case of an emergency. KWL reviewed the stockpile as part of the riprap inspection to confirm it remains suitable for use. The stockpile of rock was sourced from on-site mining operations, same as the rock used to build the riprap bank protection for the tailings facilities. Similar to the other riprap on site, some of the rock is weathering and degrading. At this time, the rock remains suitably sized for emergency use on the Fording River. The stockpile should be reviewed annually as part of the NTP/STP riprap inspection to confirm it remains suitable for use.

Teck may consider using a drone to capture the riprap embankment on an annual basis as a secondary tool for comparing the riprap condition year over year. Where settlement, cracking, voids, or other signs of movement become visible on the surface, test pits should be completed to confirm the quality and integrity of buried riprap (and if needed, remediated). Each annual inspection should review the inspection history and highlight potential changes.

Summary and Recommendations

Exposed riprap along the NTP and STP is generally in good condition and is designed to provide erosion protection during the 200-year return period flood. Some erosion of gravel placed on top of the riprap along the right bank was noted at a few riffles along the NTP; however, the erosion does not impact the integrity of the NTP bank protection, and no action is required at this time for the riprap bank protection. A scour hole has developed at the downstream end (Sta. 0+675) of the STP revetment which has resulted in a couple pieces of the riprap apron falling into the scour hole. This has not impacted the riprap revetment; however, the area should be monitored regularly for any further changes and repairs completed if required.

There continues to be deterioration of some of the riprap from weathering located intermittently along the NTP and STP riprap revetments. This is also expected to be the case for buried riprap. A couple of sinkholes on the top of the riprap identified in previous annual inspections are still present; however, the field assessment did not identify any significant changes that raises concerns about the performance of concealed (i.e., buried or gravel-covered) riprap, and the condition of the concealed riprap is assumed to be comparable or better than that of equivalent exposed sections. The emergency stockpile of riprap located south of the STP continues to experience weathering but remains suitable for use in case of emergency.

Inspections of the riprap and riprap stockpile should be completed at least annually. The riprap should continue to be monitored for weathering during these annual inspections. Teck may consider developing a standard flight path and obtain drone imagery of the riprap embankment on an annual basis as a secondary tool to monitor the condition of the riprap. This would allow the imagery to be compared year over year to help identify areas that may be deteriorating faster than others.

Test pits may be required if surface deformation suggests potential problems with buried riprap. For example, if future inspections document ongoing surface anomalies in the vicinity of the surface depressions at NTP Sta. 0+140 and 0+710. Mitigative action (e.g., riprap replacement) may be required if several rocks near one another show evidence of degradation. Supplementary inspections should continue to be conducted after high water events on the Fording River, which include freshet or precipitation driven events. Any deficient sections should be repaired as soon as possible to limit further degradation and risk to the NTP or STP.



There are areas along the NTP riprap where the riprap is up to 0.4 m lower than the design elevation. This reduces the freeboard in these areas to 0.6 m. Particular attention should be paid to these areas on annual inspection and during high water events. Signs of settling or subsidence should be confirmed by survey and levels of protection should be raised if required. Teck should seek opportunities to cost-effectively achieve the intended 1 m freeboard (e.g., by combining with an independent but adjacent construction project).


Design of the riprap erosion protection works is based on the 200-year return period flood, which is subject to numerous uncertainties. For example, the energy of the flood can significantly change channel conditions. In addition, larger floods are possible, including the breach of an upstream valley-spanning structure like the Fording River Multiplate embankment. The design and status of the NTP and STP riprap should be reviewed and revised as needed within the context of FRO's larger review of design and performance requirements for the NTP and STP tailings storage facilities.

Closure

We trust this provides a satisfactory assessment of the riprap protection along the NTP and STP. Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

Prepared by:



Jason Miller, P.Eng.
Water Resources Engineer

Reviewed by:

David Roche, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.
Senior Water Resources Engineer

JM/tdl

Encl.: Enclosure-A: Photographs
Figure 1: North Tailings Pond
Figure 2: South Tailings Pond



Statement of Limitations

This document has been prepared by Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL) for the exclusive use and benefit of the intended recipient. No other party is entitled to rely on any of the conclusions, data, opinions, or any other information contained in this document.

This document represents KWL's best professional judgement based on the information available at the time of its completion and as appropriate for the project scope of work. Services performed in developing the content of this document have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering profession currently practising under similar conditions. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

Copyright Notice

These materials (text, tables, figures and drawings included herein) are copyright of Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL). Teck Coal Ltd. – Fording River Operations is permitted to reproduce the materials for archiving and for distribution to third parties only as required to conduct business specifically relating to the 2021 NTP and STP Riprap Inspection. Any other use of these materials without the written permission of KWL is prohibited.

Revision History

Revision #	Date	Status	Revision Description	Author
0	February 7, 2022	Final		JM

Proudly certified as a leader in quality management under Engineers and Geoscientists BC's OQM Program from 2013 to 2021.



Enclosure-A: Photographs



Photo 1: Looking upstream along alignment of NTP riprap that is buried (approx. Sta. 0+125)



Photo 2: Small sinkhole about 0.9 by 0.6 m at approx. Sta. 0+140. This is along the alignment of the buried setback riprap



Photo 3: Riprap degradation due to weathering on several pieces of riprap along NTP (Sta. 0+225)



Photo 4: Looking upstream at NTP riprap (from approx. Sta. 0+250)



**Photo 5: Looking downstream at NTP riprap
(from approx. Sta. 0+350)**



**Photo 6: Looking at erosion of the gravel material
placed on top of the riprap at one of the riffle
crest bank tie-ins on the NTP (approx. Sta. 0+550)**



**Photo 7: Looking downstream at NTP riprap
(from approx. Sta. 0+705)**



**Photo 8: Looking downstream at STP riprap that
is over-steepened (1.5H:1V) (from approx.
Sta. 0+270)**



Photo 9: Looking downstream at STP riprap and where water is flowing out of riprap apron (approx. Sta. 0+365)



Photo 10: Riprap degradation due to weathering on several pieces of riprap



Photo 11: Looking downstream at STP riprap (from approx. Sta. 0+475)



Photo 12: Erosion along the toe apron of the STP riprap at Sta. 0+670



Photo 13: Emergency riprap stockpile south of STP



Photo 14: Weathering riprap at the emergency riprap stockpile




Photo 15: Riprap at emergency riprap stockpile

Teck Coal - Fording River Operations (FRO)

NTP and STP Riprap Inspection



KERR WOOD LEIDAL
consulting engineers



Project No. 8-320

Date February 2022

Scale 1:10,000

0 50 100 200 Meters

North Tailings Pond


Figure 1

Teck Coal - Fording River Operations (FRO)

NTP and STP Riprap Inspection



KERR WOOD LEIDAL
consulting engineers



Project No. 8-320

Date February 2022

Scale 1:10,000

0 50 100 200 Meters

South Tailings Pond

Figure 2



golder.com