Response to Church of England and Swedish Council on Ethics for the AP Funds tailings disclosure request

Updated July 13, 2022

Tailings Dam Identifier	Location (latitude/longitude)	Ownership Structure	Status	Date of Initial Operation	Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design, and within design intent?	Raising Method	Current Maximum Height (m)	Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m^3)	Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment in 5 years (m3 in January 2028)	Most Recent Independent Expert Review (in addition to annual expert engineer of record review)	Full and Complete Relevant Engineering Records including Design, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and/or Closure?	Hazard Categorization of this Facility, Based on the Consequence of Failure	Classification System Guideline Followed	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, as per the design criteria and requirements in place, by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm)?	Internal/in-house Engineering Specialist Oversight of this Facility, or, External Engineering Support for this Purpose?	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and updated to reflect current and anticipated conditions? If so, when?	Closure Plan in Place for this Dam? Does it include Long Term Monitoring?	Tailings facilities are assessed against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change?	Other relevant information and supporting documentation
Beaverdell North Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	49.441605° / -119.095922°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1980	Yes	Downstream	12	384,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + WSP	No - inactive facility with no credible flow to community (s)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Beaverdell South Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	49.435957° / -119.097717°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1954	Yes	Downstream	10	544,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + WSP	No - inactive facility with no credible flow to community (s)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Bullmoose Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	55.136278° / -121.476274°	Owned and operated	Closed	1983	Yes	Downstream	38	4,400,000	4,400,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Douglas Mine (United States)	46.523795°/- 113.182639°	Owned and operated	Closed	1963	Yes	Downstream	15	108,661	108,661 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + Parsons	Yes (2003)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Duck Pond Tailings Management Facility (Canada)	46.647480° / -56.490561°	Owned and operated	Inactive	2006	Yes	Single Stage	9.5	1,260,000	1,260,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (2019)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Elkview Lagoon A (Canada)	49.752513° / -114.874237°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1968	Yes	Single Stage	4	185,000	185,000 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Conceptual and none envisioned for this minor structure	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Elkview Lagoon B (Canada)	49.754061° / -114.874280°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1968	Yes	Single Stage	4	287,700	287,700 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Conceptual and none required for this minor structure	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Elkview Lagoon C (Canada)	49.754622° / -114.87837°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1970	Yes	Single Stage	19.5	4,658,600	4,658,600 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, there were stability concerns in 2002, these were addressed through implementation of recommendations shortly thereafter inclusive of cessation of use and allowing the facility to drain. It was subsequently deemed stable	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Elkview Lagoon D (Canada)	49.748306° /- 114.874021°	Owned and operated	Active	1972	Yes	Single Stage	57	22,380,000	22,800,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, there were stability concerns in 2002, these were addressed through implementation of recommendations shortly thereafter. It was subsequently deemed stable	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2007)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Elkview West Fork Tailings Facility (Canada)	49.751937° /- 114.791046°	Owned and operated	Active	2006	Yes	Single Stage	80	7,940,000	12,741,000	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible path	Yes and none envisioned due to nature of structure	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Embalse de Relaves Carmen de Andacollo (Chile)	-30.266758° / -71.103254°	Owned and operated	Active	2009	Yes	Downstream	109.5	126,856,006 m3 (31-12-2022) 133,540,093 m3 (30-06-2023 estimated)	150,116,585	2022	Yes	Extreme	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + Wood (now WSP E&I)	Yes (2023)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fisherman Road Tailings Impoundment Area (Canada)	49.484757° / -117.390042°	Land owned but never operated by Teck	Inactive	1890	No - There are no design and construction records available. Tailings were last deposited in 1957. Teck owns the land, but did not operate the facility. Ongoing site investigations to assess geotechnical and hydrological conditions. No dam safety concerns from engineering assessments	N/A	2.5	95,000 m3 (no change closed facility)	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from dam safety inspection and past performance, however, it does not have sufficient capacity to route the required IDF and upgraded water management was recommended by EoR.	Significant	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - Flow failure is not expected from this closed facility	a) Developing Final closure/remediation plan b) Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River 2 Pit - 3 Pit Tailings Disposal Area (Canada)	50.180256 / -114.896016	Owned and operated	Inactive	1995	Yes	Centerline	6	8,830,000	8,830,000	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this in-pit facility	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River North Tailings Pond (Canada)	50.190898° / -114.895532°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1972	Yes	Downstream	24	3,800,000	3,800,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2017)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River South Tailings Pond	50.177177° / -114.876420°	Owned and operated	Active	1977	Yes	Downstream	35	12,100,000	12,760,000	2023	Yes	Very High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2017)	Yes and Yes (both conceptual)	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River Turnbull Pit South Tailings Storage Facility	50.218553 / -114.873263	Owned and operated	Active	2016	Yes	n/a - in-pit facility	n/a - in-pit facility	10,100,000	9,500,000	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this in-pit facility	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Fording River Swift South Spoil Co-Management Facility	50.146036333/- 114.87119544	Owned and operated	Inactive	2021	Yes	Dry Stack or Comingled Facility	125	4,432,609	4,432,609 (inactive, no plans to expand)	2023	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Design eliminated flow failure modes and adopted extreme loading conditions - no credible failure modes	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Greenhills Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	50.059724° / -114.861663°	Owned and operated	Active	1983	Yes	Downstream	55	17,000,000	20,550,000	2023	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + Golder	Yes (2019)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, Bethlehem (Canada)	50.509400° / -120.987025°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1963/1964	Yes	Hybrid: Upstream / Centerline	91	68,100,000	68,100,000 (no change, closed facility)	2022	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, Highland (Canada)	50.547334° / -121.123614°	Owned and operated	Active	1977	Yes	Centerline	161	1,190,300,000	1,460,300,000	2022	Yes	Extreme	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, 7 Day Pond (Canada)	50.476° / -121.025°	Owned and operated	Active	2020	Yes	Centreline	6	204,566	320,000	2023	Yes	Low	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, 24 Mile Lake (Canada)	50.511° / -121.060°	Owned and operated	Active	2019	Yes	Centreline	NA	963,000	3,500,000	2023	Yes	Low	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, Highmont (Canada)	50.432155° / -120.921190°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1980	Yes	Centerline	47	27,700,000	27,700,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Highland Valley Copper, Trojan (Canada)	50.514552° / -121.005987°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1973	Yes	Hybrid - Centerline / Upstream	70	26,000,000	26,000,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Line Creek TSF (Canada)	49.132622° / -77.603511°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1993	Yes	Single Stage	15	5,992,050	5,992,050 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (2012), new 2023 update in progress	Yes and Yes	In progress	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Magmont Tailings Dam (United States)	37.630936° / -91.108224°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1968	Yes	Downstream	41.2	15,435,000	15,435,000 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings

Tailings Dam Identifier	Location (latitude/longitude)	Ownership Structure	Status	Date of Initial Operation	Is the dam currently operated or closed as per currently approved design, and within design intent?	Raising Method	Current Maximum Height (m)	Current Tailings Storage Impoundment Volume (m^3)	Planned Tailings Storage Impoundment in 5 years (m3 in January 2028)	Most Recent Independent Expert Review (in addition to annual expert engineer of record review)	Full and Complete Relevant Engineering Records including Design, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and/or Closure?	Hazard Categorization of this Facility, Based on the Consequence of Failure	Classification System Guideline Followed	Has this facility, at any point in its history, failed to be confirmed or certified as stable, as per the design criteria and requirements in place, by an independent engineer (even if later certified as stable by the same or a different firm)?	Internal/in-house Engineering Specialist Oversight of this Facility; or, External Engineering Support for this Purpose?	Has a formal analysis of the downstream impact on communities, ecosystems and critical infrastructure in the event of catastrophic failure been undertaken and updated to reflect current and anticipated conditions? If so, when?	Closure Plan in Place for this Dam? Does it include Long Term Monitoring?	Tailings facilities are assessed against the impact of more regular extreme weather events as a result of climate change?	Other relevant information and supporting documentation
Pend Oreille Tailings Pond 1 (United States)	48.899872°/-117.351680°	Owned and operated	Closed	1968	Yes	Upstream	21	954,715	954,715 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	N/A	CDA	No	Both Teck + AECOM	No - closed, drained with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pend Oreille Tailings Pond 2 (United States)	48.889117°/-117.348237°	Owned and operated	Closed	1973	Yes	Upstream	6	225,330	225,330 (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	N/A	CDA	No	Both Teck + AECOM	No - closed, drained with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pend Oreille Tailings Pond 3 (United States)	48.881379° / -117.346786°	Owned and operated	Inactive	2003	Yes	Downstream	24.3	2,812,010	2,915,297	2022	Yes	High	CDA	No	Both Teck + KP	No - subgrade facility with no credible flow mode	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pinchi Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	54.627353° / -124.426425°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1967	Yes	Downstream	15	1,000,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Pine Point Tailings Impoundment Area (Canada)	60.879272° / -114.437272°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1964	Yes	Downstream	9	N/A	36,597,270	2022	Yes	Significant	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + Golder	No, very remote isolated/closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Red Dog Tailings Storage Facility (United States)	68.060681° / -162.871763°	Owned and operated	Active	1989	Yes	Downstream/Centerline Hybrid	63.4	66,830,000	71,373,000	2023	Yes	Very High	CDA	No	Both Teck + WSP	Yes (Inundation Study 2019 + Human Rights Assessment 2020)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Independent Tailings Review Board. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sa Dena Hes North Tailings Embankment (Canada)	60.526250° / -128.850678°	Owned and operated	Closed	1991	Yes	Single Stage	15	466,670	466,670 (no change closed facility)	2023	Yes	High	CDA Guidelines	No	Both Teck + SRK	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	In progress	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan Calcine Tailings Storage Facility (Canada)	49.647142°/ -115.956205°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1972	Yes	Upstream	4.6	817,500	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan East Gypsum Dike, West Gypsum Dike, Gypsum Divider Dike, NorthEast Gypsum Dike (Canada)	49.644530° / -115.935520°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1969	Yes	Upstream/Single Stage	22.9	4,578,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - Dam Breach & Inundation Study completed in 2014 but tailings runout not completed.	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan Iron pond (Canada)	49.657872° / -115.937887°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1975	Yes	Upstream	29	15,527,800	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	High	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, there was a failure in 1948 that travelled off-site and another failure in 1991 which was contained within the tailings Facility. The dike was repaired, toe berms were constructed and slopes significantly flattened following the 1991 failure. There has e been no stability issues since then.	Both Teck + KCB	Yes (2014)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan Old Iron Dike and Iron TSF Divider Dike (Canada)	49.658303° / -115.952749°	Owned and operated	Inactive	~1900	Yes	Upstream	7.6	9,682,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	Yes, failures occurred in 1926 and 1930. New dike constructed and toe berms constructed and slopes flattened in 1990's. No stability issues reported since early f ailures.	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Sullivan Siliceous No. 1 Dike, Siliceous No. 2 Dike, Siliceous No. 3 Dike (Canada)	49.657971° / -115.923109°	Owned and operated	Inactive	1923	Yes	Upstream	12.5	15,648,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2022	Yes	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck + KCB	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Quebrada Blanca Tailings storage facility	-21.055288° / -68.849062°	Owned and Operated	Active	2023	Yes	Centreline	120	0	124,000,000	2022	Yes	Extreme	Canadian Dam Association (CDA)	No	Both Teck + WSP Golder	Yes (2022)	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Lennard Shelf	-18.309092 / 125.786968	JV Owned - Teck, 50%, Glencore 50% Teck - Operator	Closed	1998	Yes	NA	12	7,000,000	N/A (no change closed facility)	2021	Yes	Low	Western Australia DMRS	No	Both Teck + ATC Williams Pty Ltd	No - no credible failure/flow potential from this closed facility	Yes and Yes	Yes	Extreme weather within design storms considered. Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Line Creek Operations Rail Loop Ponds	49.88751/ -114.85408	Owned and operated	Active	1981	Yes	Centreline	3-7	100,000	100,000	2023	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from engineering assessments.	Low	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck and Thurber	Yes (2020)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings
Line Creek Operations Pond Fines Dump	49.87759/ -114.85844	Owned and operated	Inactive	2000	Yes	n/a - dry stack	50	200,000	200,000	2023	No - However, there is sufficient information to make an informed dam safety assessment. There are no dam safety concerns from engineering assessments.	Significant	BC Health, Safety and Reclamation Code for Mines 2017 (code references CDA Guidelines)	No	Both Teck and Thurber	Yes (2023)	Conceptual Yes and Yes	Yes	Annual review by Engineer of Record. Teck's approach to tailings management and links to our most recent external dam safety inspections and dam safety reviews is found at www.Teck.com/tailings

Non-operated Joint Venture Facilities

Presa de Relaves de Antamina (Peru)	-9.541285° / -77.033332°	JV with COMPAÑIA MINERA ANTAMINA S.A. (BHP, Glencore, Mitsubishi, Teck)	Active	2001	Yes	Downstream/Centerline Hybrid	240	374,000,000	600,000,000	2019	Yes	Extreme	CDA	No	Both Antamina + Golder	Yes (2018)	Yes and Yes	Yes	